

Generally useful information.

- The notation $z = \langle x, y \rangle$ denotes the pairing function with inverses $x = \langle z \rangle_1$ and $y = \langle z \rangle_2$.
- The minimization notation $\mu y [P(\dots, y)]$ means the least y (starting at 0) such that $P(\dots, y)$ is true. The bounded minimization (acceptable in primitive recursive functions) notation $\mu y (u \leq y \leq v) [P(\dots, y)]$ means the least y (starting at u and ending at v) such that $P(\dots, y)$ is true. Unlike the text, I find it convenient to define $\mu y (u \leq y \leq v) [P(\dots, y)]$ to be $v+1$, when no y satisfies this bounded minimization.
- The tilde symbol, \sim , means the complement. Thus, set $\sim S$ is the set complement of set S , and predicate $\sim P(x)$ is the logical complement of predicate $P(x)$.
- A function P is a predicate if it is a logical function that returns either 1 (**true**) or 0 (**false**). Thus, $P(x)$ means P evaluates to **true** on x , but we can also take advantage of the fact that **true** is 1 and **false** is 0 in formulas like $y \times P(x)$, which would evaluate to either y (if $P(x)$) or 0 (if $\sim P(x)$).
- A set S is recursive if S has a total recursive characteristic function χ_S , such that $x \in S \Leftrightarrow \chi_S(x)$. Note χ_S is a predicate. Thus, it evaluates to 0 (**false**), if $x \notin S$.
- When I say a set S is re, unless I explicitly say otherwise, you may assume any of the following equivalent characterizations:
 1. S is either empty or the range of a total recursive function f_S .
 2. S is the domain of a partial recursive function g_S .
- If I say a function g is partially computable, then there is an index g (I know that's overloading, but that's okay as long as we understand each other), such that $\Phi_g(x) = \Phi(x, g) = g(x)$. Here Φ is a universal partially recursive function. Moreover, there is a primitive recursive function **STP**, such that **STP**(g, x, t) is 1 (true), just in case g , started on x , halts in t or fewer steps. **STP**(g, x, t) is 0 (false), otherwise. Finally, there is another primitive recursive function **VALUE**, such that **VALUE**(g, x, t) is $g(x)$, whenever **STP**(g, x, t). **VALUE**(g, x, t) is defined but meaningless if \sim **STP**(g, x, t).
- The notation $f(x) \downarrow$ means that f converges when computing with input x , but we don't care about the value produced. In effect, this just means that x is in the domain of f .
- The notation $f(x) \uparrow$ means f diverges when computing with input x . In effect, this just means that x is **not** in the domain of f .
- The **Halting Problem** for any effective computational system is the problem to determine of an arbitrary effective procedure f and input x , whether or not $f(x) \downarrow$. The set of all such pairs, K_0 , is a classic re non-recursive one.
- The **Uniform Halting Problem** is the problem to determine of an arbitrary effective procedure f , whether or not f is an algorithm (halts on all input). The set of all such function indices is a classic non re one.
- $A \leq_m B$ (A many-one reduces to B) means that there exists a total recursive function f such that $x \in A \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in B$. If $A \leq_m B$ and $B \leq_m A$ then we say that $A \equiv_m B$ (A is many-one equivalent to B). If the reducing function is 1-1, then we say $A \leq_1 B$ (A one-one reduces to B) and $A \equiv_1 B$ (A is one-one equivalent to B).

1. Choosing from among **(REC) recursive**, **(RE) re non-recursive**, **(coRE) co-re non-recursive**, **(NRNC) non-re/non-co-re**, categorize each of the sets in a) through d). Justify your answer by showing some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate.

a.) $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ is finite} \}$ NRNC

Justification: $\exists x \forall y \geq x \forall t \sim \text{STP}(f, y, t)$

b.) $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ is empty} \}$ CO

Justification: $\forall x \forall t \sim \text{STP}(f, x, t)$

c.) $\{ \langle f, x \rangle \mid f(x) \text{ converges in at most 20 steps} \}$ REC

Justification: $\text{STP}(f, x, 20)$

d.) $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ converges in at most 20 steps for some input } x \}$ RE

Justification: $\exists x \text{STP}(f, x, 20)$

2. Let set **A** be recursive, **B** be re non-recursive and **C** be non-re. Choosing from among **(REC) recursive**, **(RE) re non-recursive**, **(NR) non-re**, categorize the set **D** in each of a) through d) by listing **all** possible categories. No justification is required.

a.) $D = \sim C$ RE, NR

b.) $D \subseteq A \cup C$ REC, RE, NR

c.) $D = \sim B$ NR

d.) $D = B - A$ REC, RE

3. Prove that the **Halting Problem** (the set $\text{HALT} = K_0 = L_u$) is not recursive (decidable) within any formal model of computation. (Hint: A diagonalization proof is required here.)

Look at notes.

4. Using reduction from the known undecidable **HasZero**, $\text{HZ} = \{ f \mid \exists x f(x) = 0 \}$, show the non-recursiveness (undecidability) of the problem to decide if an arbitrary partial recursive function **g** has the property **IsZero**, $\text{Z} = \{ f \mid \forall x f(x) = 0 \}$. Hint: there is a very simple construction that uses **STP** to do this. **Just giving that construction is not sufficient; you must also explain why it satisfies the desired properties of the reduction.**

$$\text{HZ} = \{ f \mid \exists x \exists t [\text{STP}(f, x, t) \ \& \ \text{VALUE}(f, x, t) == 0] \}$$

Let *f* be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure.

$$\text{Define } g_f(y) = 1 - \exists x \exists t [\text{STP}(f, x, t) \ \& \ \text{VALUE}(f, x, t) == 0]$$

If $\exists x f(x) = 0$, we will find the *x* and the run-time *t*, and so we will return 0 (1 - 1)

If $\forall x f(x) \neq 0$, then we will diverge in the search process and never return a value.

Thus, $f \in \text{HZ}$ iff $g_f \in \text{Z}$.

5. Define $\text{RANGE_ALL} = \{ f \mid \text{range}(f) = \aleph \}$.

a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of this set. (Hint: Look at **c.)** and **d.)** to get a clue as to what this must be.)

$$\forall x \exists \langle y, t \rangle [\text{STP}(f, y, t) \ \&\& \ \text{Value}(f, y, t) = x]$$

b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that RANGE_ALL is undecidable.

This is non-trivial as $I(x) = x \in \text{RANGE_ALL}$ and $C_0(x) = 0 \notin \text{RANGE_ALL}$

Let f, g be such that $\forall x \varphi_f(x) = \varphi_g(x)$.

$$f \in \text{RANGE_ALL} \iff \text{range}(f) = \aleph$$

$$\iff \text{range}(g) = \aleph \quad \text{since } g \text{ outputs the same value as } f \text{ for any input}$$

$$\iff g \in \text{RANGE_ALL}$$

Since the property is non-trivial and is an I/O property, Rice's Theorem says it is undecidable.

c.) Show that $\text{TOTAL} \leq_m \text{RANGE_ALL}$, where $\text{TOTAL} = \{ f \mid \forall y \varphi_f(y) \downarrow \}$.

Let f be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure φ_f . Define g such that $g(f)$, denoted g_f , is the index of the function φ_{g_f} defined by $\varphi_{g_f}(x) = \varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x) + x$.

$$f \in \text{TOTAL} \iff \forall x \varphi_f(x) \downarrow \iff \forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) = x \implies \forall x x \in \text{range}(g_f) \implies g_f \in \text{RANGE_ALL}$$

$$f \notin \text{TOTAL} \iff \exists x \varphi_f(x) \uparrow \iff \exists x \varphi_{g_f}(x) \uparrow \implies \exists x x \notin \text{range}(g_f) \implies g_f \notin \text{RANGE_ALL}$$

This shows that $\text{TOTAL} \leq_m \text{RANGE_ALL}$, as was desired.

d.) Show that $\text{RANGE_ALL} \leq_m \text{TOTAL}$.

Let f be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure φ_f . Define g such that $g(f)$, denoted g_f , is the index of the function φ_{g_f} defined by $\varphi_{g_f}(x) = \exists \langle y, t \rangle [\text{STP}(f, y, t) \ \&\& \ \text{Value}(f, y, t) = x]$.

$$f \in \text{RANGE_ALL} \iff \forall x \exists \langle y, t \rangle [\text{STP}(f, y, t) \ \&\& \ \text{Value}(f, y, t) = x] \iff \forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) \downarrow \iff g_f \in \text{TOTAL}$$

This shows that $\text{RANGE_ALL} \leq_m \text{TOTAL}$, as was desired.

e.) From a.) through d.) what can you conclude about the complexity of RANGE_ALL ?

a) shows that RANGE_ALL is no more complex than others that must use the alternating qualifiers $\forall \exists$. b) shows the problem is non-recursive. c) and d) combine to show that the problem is in fact of equal complexity with the non-re problem TOTAL , so the result in a) was optimal.

6. This is a simple question concerning Rice's Theorem.

a.) State the strong form of Rice's Theorem. Be sure to cover all conditions for it to apply.

Let P be a property of indices of partial recursive function such that the set

$S_P = \{ f \mid f \text{ has property } P \}$ has the following two restrictions

(1) S_P is non-trivial. This means that S_P is neither empty nor is it the set of all indices.

(2) P is an I/O behavior. That is, if f and g are two partial recursive functions whose I/O behaviors are indistinguishable, $\forall x f(x)=g(x)$, then either both of f and g have property P or neither has property P .

Then P is undecidable.

b.) Describe a set of partial recursive functions whose membership cannot be shown undecidable through Rice's Theorem. What condition is violated by your example?

There are many possibilities here. For example $\{ f \mid \exists x \sim \text{STP}(f,x,x) \}$ is not an I/O property and $\{ f \mid \exists x f(x) \neq f(x) \}$ is trivial (empty).

7. Using the definition that S is recursively enumerable iff S is either empty or the range of some algorithm f_S (total recursive function), prove that if both S and its complement $\sim S$ are recursively enumerable then S is decidable. To get full credit, you must show the characteristic function for S , χ_S , in all cases. Be careful to handle the extreme cases (there are two of them). Hint: This is not an empty suggestion.

Let $S = \emptyset$ then $\sim S = \mathcal{N}$. Both are re and $\forall x \chi_S(x) = 0$ is S 's characteristic function.

Let $S = \mathcal{N}$ then $\sim S = \emptyset$. Both are re and $\forall x \chi_S(x) = 1$ is S 's characteristic function.

Assume then that $S \neq \emptyset$ and $S \neq \mathcal{N}$ then each of S and $\sim S$ is enumerated by some total recursive function. Let S be enumerated by f_S and $\sim S$ by $f_{\sim S}$. Define

$\chi_S(x) = f_S(\mu y [f_S(y)=x \parallel f_{\sim S}(y)=x]) = x$.

Moreover, the minimization, while conceptually unbounded, always converges because both f_S and by $f_{\sim S}$ are algorithms.

Further, x must be in the range of one and only one of f_S or $f_{\sim S}$. Thus,

$\exists y f_S(y) = x$ or $\exists y f_{\sim S}(y) = x$.

The min operator (μy) finds the smallest such y and the predicate

$f_S(\mu y [f_S(y)=x \parallel f_{\sim S}(y)=x]) = x$ checks that x is in the range of f_S .

If it is, then $\chi_S(x) = 1$ else $\chi_S(x) = 0$, as desired.