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- The related notion of polynomial reducibility and equivalence require that the reducing function, $\mathbf{f}$ above, be computable in polynomial time in the size of the instance of the element being checked. The notation just replaces the $\mathbf{m}$ with a $\mathbf{p}$, as in $\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{p} \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{p} \mathbf{B}$.
- A decision problem $\mathbf{P}$ is in $\mathbf{P}$ if it can be solved by a deterministic Turing machine in polynomial time.
- A function problem $\mathbf{F}$ is in $\mathbf{F P}$ if it can be solved by a deterministic Turing machine in polynomial time.
- A decision problem $\mathbf{P}$ is in $\mathbf{N P}$ if it can be solved by a non-deterministic Turing machine in polynomial time. Alternatively, $\mathbf{P}$ is in NP if a proposed proof of any instance having answer yes can be verified by a deterministic Turing machine in polynomial time.
- A function problem $\mathbf{F}$ is in FNP if a proposed solution to it can be verified by a deterministic Turing machine in polynomial time. The proposed solution must be at most polynomial larger than the input.
- A decision problem $\mathbf{P}$ is $\mathbf{N P}$-complete if and only if it is in $\mathbf{N P}$ and, for any problem $\mathbf{Q}$ in $\mathbf{N P}$, it is the case that $\mathbf{Q} \leq \mathbf{p} \mathbf{P}$.
- A function problem $\mathbf{P}$ is $\mathbf{N P}$-hard if and only if there is an $\mathbf{N P}$-complete problem $\mathbf{Q}$ that is polynomial time Turing-reducible to $\mathbf{P}$. We often limit our domain of consideration to decision problems when talking of NP-hard, but the concept also applies to function problems.
- A function problem $\mathbf{P}$ is NP-easy if and only if it is polynomial time Turing-reducible to some $\mathbf{N P}$ problem $\mathbf{Q}$.
- A function problem $\mathbf{P}$ is NP-equivalent if and only if it is both NP-hard and NP-easy.

1. We described the proof that 3SAT is polynomial reducible to Subset-Sum.
a.) Describe Subset-Sum
b.) Show that Subset-Sum is in NP
c.) Assuming a 3SAT expression $(\mathbf{a}+\sim \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})(\sim \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\sim \mathbf{c})$, fill in the upper right part of the reduction from 3SAT to Subset-Sum.

|  | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a + \sim \mathbf { b } + \mathbf { c }}$ | $\sim \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\sim \mathbf{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim \mathbf{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{b}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim \mathbf{b}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim \mathbf{c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{1}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{\prime}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C 2} \mathbf{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

d.) List some subset of the numbers above (each associated with a row) that sums to $\mathbf{1 1 1 3 3} 3$. Indicate what the related truth values are for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$.
2. Partition refers to the decision problem as to whether some set of positive integers $\mathbf{S}$ can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets whose elements have equal sums. Subset-Sum refers to the decision problem as to whether there is a subset of some set of positive integers $\mathbf{S}$ that precisely sums to some goal number $\mathbf{G}$.
a.) Show that Partition $\leq \mathbf{p}$ Subset-Sum.
b.) Show that Subset-Sum $\leq \boldsymbol{p}$ Partition.
3. Consider the decision problem asking if there is a coloring of a graph with at most k colors, and the optimization version that asks what is the minimum coloring number of a graph. You can reduce in both directions. So, do that. Make sure you carefully explain for each direction just what it is that you are proving.
4. QSAT is the decision problem to determine if an arbitrary fully quantified Boolean expression is true. Note: SAT only uses existential, whereas QSAT can have universal qualifiers as well so it includes checking for Tautologies as well as testing Satisfiability. What can you say about the complexity of QSAT (is it in P, NP, NP-Complete, NP-Hard)? Justify your conclusion.
5. Consider the following set of independent tasks with associated task times:
(T1,7), (T2,6), (T3,2), (T4,5), (T5,6), (T7,1), (T8,2)
Fill in the schedules for these tasks under the associated strategies below.
Greedy using the list order above:


Greedy using a reordering of the list so that longest running tasks appear earliest in the list:


Greedy using a reordering of the list so that shortest running tasks appear earliest in the list:

6. Present a gadget used in the reduction of 3-SAT to some graph theoretic problem where the gadget guarantees that each variable is assigned either True or False, but not both. Of course, you must tell me what graph theoretic problem is being shown NP-Complete and you must explain why the gadget works.

