

1. Choosing from among **(REC) recursive**, **(RE) re non-recursive**, **(coRE) co-re non-recursive**, **(NR) non-re/non-co-re**, categorize each of the sets in a) through d). Justify your answer by showing some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate.

a.) $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ is finite} \}$

NR

Justification: $\exists x \forall y \geq x \forall t \sim \text{STP}(y, f, t)$

b.) $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ is empty} \}$

CO

Justification: $\forall x \forall t \sim \text{STP}(x, f, t)$

c.) $\{ \langle f, x \rangle \mid f(x) \text{ converges in at most 20 steps} \}$

REC

Justification: $\text{STP}(x, f, 20)$

d.) $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ converges in at most 20 steps for some input } x \}$

RE

Justification: $\exists x \exists t \text{STP}(x, f, t)$

2. Let set **A** be recursive, **B** be re non-recursive and **C** be non-re. Choosing from among **(REC) recursive**, **(RE) re non-recursive**, **(NR) non-re**, categorize the set **D** in each of a) through d) by listing **all** possible categories. No justification is required.

a.) $D = \sim C$

RE, NR

b.) $D \subseteq A \cup C$

REC, RE, NR

c.) $D = \sim B$

NR

d.) $D = B - A$

REC, RE

3. Prove that the **Halting Problem** (the set $\text{HALT} = K_0 = L_u$) is not recursive (decidable) within any formal model of computation. (Hint: A diagonalization proof is required here.)

Look at notes.

4. Using reduction from the known undecidable **HasZero**, $\text{HZ} = \{ f \mid \exists x f(x) = 0 \}$, show the non-recursive-ness (undecidability) of the problem to decide if an arbitrary primitive recursive function **g** has the property **IsZero**, $Z = \{ f \mid \forall x f(x) = 0 \}$. Hint: there is a very simple construction that uses **STP** to do this. **Just giving that construction is not sufficient; you must also explain why it satisfies the desired properties of the reduction.**

$$\text{HZ} = \{ f \mid \exists x \exists t [\text{STP}(x, f, t) \ \& \ \text{VALUE}(x, f, t) == 0] \}$$

Let f be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure.

$$\text{Define } g_f(y) = 1 - \exists x \exists t [\text{STP}(x, f, t) \ \& \ \text{VALUE}(x, f, t) == 0]$$

If $\exists x f(x) = 0$, we will find the x and the run-time t , and so we will return 0 ($1 - 1$)

If $\forall x f(x) \neq 0$, then we will diverge in the search process and never return a value.

Thus, $f \in \text{HZ}$ iff $g_f \in Z$.

5. Define **RANGE_ALL** = $\{ f \mid \text{range}(f) = \mathbb{N} \}$.

- a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of this set. (Hint: Look at c.) and d.) to get a clue as to what this must be.)

$$\forall x \exists \langle y, t \rangle [\text{STP}(y, f, t) \ \& \ \text{Value}(y, f, t) = x]$$

- b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that **RANGE_ALL** is undecidable.

This is non-trivial as $I(x) = x \in \text{RANGE_ALL}$ and $C_0(x) = 0 \notin \text{RANGE_ALL}$

Let f, g be such that $\forall x \varphi_f(x) = \varphi_g(x)$.

$$f \in \text{RANGE_ALL} \Leftrightarrow \text{range}(f) = \mathbb{N}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{range}(g) = \mathbb{N} \quad \text{since } g \text{ outputs the same value as } f \text{ for any input}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g \in \text{RANGE_ALL}$$

Since the property is non-trivial and is an I/O property, Rice's Theorem says it is undecidable.

- c.) Show that $\text{TOTAL} \leq_m \text{RANGE_ALL}$, where $\text{TOTAL} = \{ f \mid \forall y \varphi_f(y) \downarrow \}$.

Let f be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure φ_f . Define g such that $g(f)$, denoted g_f , is the index of the function φ_{g_f} defined by $\forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) = \varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x) + x$.

$$f \in \text{TOTAL} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \varphi_f(x) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow \forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) = x \Rightarrow \forall x x \in \text{range}(g_f) \Rightarrow g_f \in \text{RANGE_ALL}$$

$$f \notin \text{TOTAL} \Leftrightarrow \exists x \varphi_f(x) \uparrow \Leftrightarrow \exists x \varphi_{g_f}(x) \uparrow \Rightarrow \exists x x \notin \text{range}(g_f) \Rightarrow g_f \notin \text{RANGE_ALL}$$

This shows that $\text{TOTAL} \leq_m \text{RANGE_ALL}$, as was desired.

- d.) Show that $\text{RANGE_ALL} \leq_m \text{TOTAL}$.

Let f be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure φ_f . Define g such that $g(f)$, denoted g_f , is the index of the function φ_{g_f} defined by $\forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) = \exists y \varphi_f(y) = x$.

$$f \in \text{RANGE_ALL} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \exists y \varphi_f(y) = x \Leftrightarrow \forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow g_f \in \text{TOTAL}$$

This shows that $\text{RANGE_ALL} \leq_m \text{TOTAL}$, as was desired.

- e.) From a.) through d.) what can you conclude about the complexity of **RANGE_ALL**?

a) shows that **RANGE_ALL** is no more complex than others that must use the alternating qualifiers $\forall \exists$. b) shows the problem is non-recursive. c) and d) combine to show that the problem is in fact of equal complexity with the non-re problem **TOTAL**, so the result in a) was optimal.

6. This is a simple question concerning Rice's Theorem.

a.) State the strong form of Rice's Theorem. Be sure to cover all conditions for it to apply.

Let P be a property of indices of partial recursive function such that the set

$S_P = \{ f \mid f \text{ has property } P \}$ has the following two restrictions

(1) S_P is non-trivial. This means that S_P is neither empty nor is it the set of all indices.

(2) P is an I/O behavior. That is, if f and g are two partial recursive functions whose I/O behaviors are indistinguishable, $\forall x f(x)=g(x)$, then either both of f and g have property P or neither has property P .

Then P is undecidable.

b.) Describe a set of partial recursive functions whose membership cannot be shown undecidable through Rice's Theorem. What condition is violated by your example?

There are many possibilities here. For example $\{ f \mid \exists x \sim STP(x, f, x) \}$ is not an I/O property and $\{ f \mid \exists x f(x) \neq f(x) \}$ is trivial (empty).

7. Using the definition that S is recursively enumerable iff S is either empty or the range of some algorithm f_S (total recursive function), prove that if both S and its complement $\sim S$ are recursively enumerable then S is decidable. To get full credit, you must show the characteristic function for S , χ_S , in all cases. Be careful to handle the extreme cases (there are two of them). Hint: This is not an empty suggestion.

Let $S = \emptyset$ then $\sim S = \mathbb{N}$. Both are re and $\forall x \chi_S(x) = 0$ is S 's characteristic function.

Let $S = \mathbb{N}$ then $\sim S = \emptyset$. Both are re and $\forall x \chi_S(x) = 1$ is S 's characteristic function.

Assume then that $S \neq \emptyset$ and $S \neq \mathbb{N}$ then each of S and $\sim S$ is enumerated by some total recursive function. Let S be enumerated by f_S and $\sim S$ by $f_{\sim S}$. Define

$\chi_S(x) = f_S(\mu y [f_S(y)=x \parallel f_{\sim S}(y)=x]) = x$.

Note that x must be in the range of one and only one of f_S or $f_{\sim S}$. Thus, $\exists y f_S(y) = x$ or $\exists y f_{\sim S}(y) = x$.

The min operator (μy) finds the smallest such y and the predicate

$f_S(\mu y [f_S(y)=x \parallel f_{\sim S}(y)=x]) = x$ checks that x is in the range of f_S .

If it is, then $\chi_S(x) = 1$ else $\chi_S(x) = 0$, as desired.