

Generally useful information.

- The notation $z = \langle x, y \rangle$ denotes the pairing function with inverses $x = \langle z \rangle_1$ and $y = \langle z \rangle_2$.
- The minimization notation $\mu y [P(\dots, y)]$ means the least y (starting at 0) such that $P(\dots, y)$ is true. The bounded minimization (acceptable in primitive recursive functions) notation $\mu y (u \leq y \leq v) [P(\dots, y)]$ means the least y (starting at u and ending at v) such that $P(\dots, y)$ is true. Unlike the text, I find it convenient to define $\mu y (u \leq y \leq v) [P(\dots, y)]$ to be $v+1$, when no y satisfies this bounded minimization.
- The tilde symbol, \sim , means the complement. Thus, set $\sim S$ is the set complement of set S , and predicate $\sim P(x)$ is the logical complement of predicate $P(x)$.
- A function P is a predicate if it is a logical function that returns either **1 (true)** or **0 (false)**. Thus, $P(x)$ means P evaluates to **true** on x , but we can also take advantage of the fact that **true** is **1** and **false** is **0** in formulas like $y \times P(x)$, which would evaluate to either y (if $P(x)$) or **0** (if $\sim P(x)$).
- A set S is recursive if S has a total recursive characteristic function χ_S , such that $x \in S \Leftrightarrow \chi_S(x)$. Note χ_S is a predicate. Thus, it evaluates to **0 (false)**, if $x \notin S$.
- When I say a set S is re, unless I explicitly say otherwise, you may assume any of the following equivalent characterizations:
 1. S is either empty or the range of a total recursive function f_S .
 2. S is the domain of a partial recursive function g_S .
- If I say a function g is partially computable, then there is an index g (I know that's overloading, but that's okay as long as we understand each other), such that $\Phi_g(x) = \Phi(x, g) = g(x)$. Here Φ is a universal partially recursive function.
 Moreover, there is a primitive recursive function STP , such that $STP(x, g, t)$ is **1 (true)**, just in case g , started on x , halts in t or fewer steps.
 $STP(x, g, t)$ is **0 (false)**, otherwise.
 Finally, there is another primitive recursive function $VALUE$, such that $VALUE(x, g, t)$ is $g(x)$, whenever $STP(x, g, t)$.
 $VALUE(x, g, t)$ is defined but meaningless if $\sim STP(x, g, t)$.
- The notation $f(x) \downarrow$ means that f converges when computing with input x , but we don't care about the value produced. In effect, this just means that x is in the domain of f .
- The notation $f(x) \uparrow$ means f diverges when computing with input x . In effect, this just means that x is not in the domain of f .
- The **Halting Problem** for any effective computational system is the problem to determine of an arbitrary effective procedure f and input x , whether or not $f(x) \downarrow$. The set of all such pairs, K_0 , is a classic re non-recursive one.
- The **Uniform Halting Problem** is the problem to determine of an arbitrary effective procedure f , whether or not f is an algorithm (halts on all input). The set of all such function indices is a classic non re one.
- $A \leq_m B$ (A many-one reduces to B) means that there exists a total recursive function f such that $x \in A \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in B$. If $A \leq_m B$ and $B \leq_m A$ then we say that $A \equiv_m B$ (A is many-one equivalent to B). If the reducing function is 1-1, then we say $A \leq_1 B$ (A one-one reduces to B) and $A \equiv_1 B$ (A is one-one equivalent to B).

1. Choosing from among **(REC)** recursive, **(RE)** re non-recursive, **(coRE)** co-re non-recursive, **(NR)** non-re/non-co-re, categorize each of the sets in a) through d). Justify your answer by showing some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate.

a.) $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ is finite} \}$

b.) $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ is empty} \}$

c.) $\{ \langle f, x \rangle \mid f(x) \text{ converges in at most 20 steps} \}$

d.) $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ converges in at most 20 steps for some input } x \}$

2. Let set **A** be recursive, **B** be re non-recursive and **C** be non-re. Choosing from among **(REC)** recursive, **(RE)** re non-recursive, **(NR)** non-re, categorize the set **D** in each of a) through d) by listing **all** possible categories. No justification is required.

a.) $D = \sim C$

b.) $D \subseteq A \cup C$

c.) $D = \sim B$

d.) $D = B - A$

3. Prove that the **Halting Problem** (the set $\text{HALT} = K_0 = L_u$) is not recursive (decidable) within any formal model of computation. (Hint: A diagonalization proof is required here.)

4. Using reduction from the known undecidable **HasZero**, $\mathbf{HZ} = \{ f \mid \exists x f(x) = 0 \}$, show the non-recursive-ness (undecidability) of the problem to decide if an arbitrary primitive recursive function **g** has the property **IsZero**, $\mathbf{Z} = \{ f \mid \forall x f(x) = 0 \}$. Hint: there is a very simple construction that uses **STP** to do this. **Just giving that construction is not sufficient; you must also explain why it satisfies the desired properties of the reduction.**

5. Define $\mathbf{RANGE_ALL} = \{ f \mid \mathbf{range}(f) = \mathbb{N} \}$.

a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of this set. (Hint: Look at **c.)** and **d.)** to get a clue as to what this must be.)

b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that $\mathbf{RANGE_ALL}$ is undecidable.

c.) Show that $\mathbf{TOTAL} \leq_m \mathbf{RANGE_ALL}$, where $\mathbf{TOTAL} = \{ f \mid \forall y \ \varphi_f(y) \downarrow \}$.

d.) Show that $\mathbf{RANGE_ALL} \leq_m \mathbf{TOTAL}$.

e.) From **a.)** through **d.)** what can you conclude about the complexity of $\mathbf{RANGE_ALL}$?

6. This is a simple question concerning Rice's Theorem.

a.) State the strong form of Rice's Theorem. Cover all conditions for it to apply; don't skimp on details.

b.) Describe a set of partial recursive functions whose membership cannot be shown undecidable through Rice's Theorem. What condition is violated by your example?

7. Using the definition that S is recursively enumerable iff S is either empty or the range of some algorithm f_S (total recursive function), prove that if both S and its complement $\sim S$ are recursively enumerable then S is decidable. To get full credit, you must show the characteristic function for S , χ_S , in all cases. Be careful to handle the (two) extreme cases. Hint: This is not an empty suggestion.