

- 6 1. In each case below, consider **R1** to be Regular, **R2** to be finite, and **L1** and **L2** to be non-regular CFLs. Fill in the three columns with **Y** or **N**, indicating what kind of language **L** can be. No proofs are required. Read  $\subseteq$  as “contained in and may equal.”  
Put **Y** in all that are possible and **N** in all that are not.

Definition of L	Regular?	CFL, non-Regular?	Not even a CFL?
$L = L1 / L2$	<b>Y</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>Y</b>
$L = L1 - R1$	<b>Y</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>N</b>
$L = \Sigma^* - L1$	<b>N</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>Y</b>
$L \subseteq R2$	<b>Y</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>N</b>

- 3 2. Choosing from among **(D) decidable**, **(U) undecidable**, **(?) unknown**, categorize each of the following decision problems. No proofs are required. **L** is a language over  $\Sigma$ ; **w** is a word in  $\Sigma^*$

Problem / Language Class	Regular	Context Free	Context Sensitive	Phrase Structured
$L = \emptyset ?$	<b>Y</b>	<b>Y</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>N</b>
$L \text{ is } \Sigma^* ?$	<b>Y</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>N</b>

- 4 3. Prove that any class of languages, **C**, closed under union, concatenation, intersection with regular languages, homomorphism and substitution (e.g., the Context-Free Languages) is closed under **Double Interior Retention with Regular Sets**, denoted by the operator  $\|$ , where **L**  $\in$  **C**, **R** is Regular, **L** and **R** are both over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ , and  
 $L\|R = \{ vx \mid v, x \in R \text{ and } \exists u, w \in \Sigma^+ \text{ such that } uvwx \in L \}$ .  
 You may assume substitution  $f(a) = \{a, a'\}$ , and homomorphisms  $g(a) = a'$  and  $h(a) = a, h(a') = \lambda$ . Here  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $a'$  is a new character associated with each such  $a \in \Sigma$ .  
 You only need give me the definition of  $L\|R$  in an expression that obeys the above closure properties; you do not need to prove or even justify your expression.

$L\|R = \underline{h(f(L) \cap g(\Sigma^+) R g(\Sigma^+) R)}$

- 4 4. Specify True (T) or False (F) for each statement.

Statement	T or F
An algorithm exists to determine if a Phrase Structured Grammar generates $\lambda$	<b>F</b>
If <b>P</b> is Unsolvable then Rice’s Theorem can always show this	<b>F</b>
The Context Sensitive Languages are closed under complement	<b>T</b>
If $P \leq_m \text{Halt}$ then <b>P</b> must be RE	<b>T</b>
The <b>RE</b> sets are closed under intersection	<b>T</b>
The correct traces of a Turing Machine’s Computations form a Context Free Language	<b>F</b>
The Post Correspondence Problem is decidable if $ \Sigma  = 1$	<b>T</b>
There is an algorithm to determine if <b>L</b> is finite, for <b>L</b> a Context Sensitive Language	<b>F</b>

- 4 5. Let  $P = \langle \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle, \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle \rangle$ ,  $x_i, y_i \in \Sigma^+$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , be an arbitrary instance of PCP. We can use PCP's undecidability to show the undecidability of the problem to determine if a **Context Free Grammar** is ambiguous. Present grammars,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , associated with an arbitrary instance of PCP,  $P$ , such that  $\mathcal{L}(G_1) \cap \mathcal{L}(G_2)$  is non-empty if and only if there is a solution to  $P$ .

Define  $G_1 = (\{X\}, \Sigma \cup \{ [i] \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \}, R_1, X)$ ,  $G_2 = (\{Y\}, \Sigma \cup \{ [i] \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \}, R_2, Y)$ , where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are the sets of rules (this is your job):

$$X \rightarrow x_i X [i] \mid x_i [i] \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$Y \rightarrow y_i Y [i] \mid y_i [i] \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

- 12 6. Choosing from among (REC) recursive, (RE) re non-recursive, (coRE) co-re non-recursive, (NRNC) non-re/non-co-re, categorize each of the sets in a) through d). Justify your answer by showing some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate.

a.)  $A = \{ f \mid \text{range}(\varphi_f) \text{ has no values greater than } 10 \}$

$$\underline{\forall \langle x, t \rangle [ STP(f, x, t) \Rightarrow VALUE(f, x, t) \leq 10 ]} \quad \underline{CoRE}$$

b.)  $B = \{ \langle f, x \rangle \mid \varphi_f \text{ converges on every value (input) greater than or equal to } x \}$

$$\underline{\forall y \exists t [ y \geq x \Rightarrow STP(f, y, t) ]} \quad \underline{NRNC}$$

c.)  $C = \{ f \mid \varphi_f \text{ converges for at least one value (input) of } x \text{ in at most } x \text{ steps} \}$

$$\underline{\exists x [ STP(f, x, x) ]} \quad \underline{RE}$$

d.)  $D = \{ f \mid \text{if } \varphi_f(f) \text{ converges it takes more than } f \text{ steps to do so} \}$

$$\underline{\sim STP(f, f, f)} \quad \underline{REC}$$

- 2 7. Looking back at Question 6, which of these are candidates for using Rice's Theorem to show their unsolvability? Check all for which Rice Theorem might apply.

a) X      b) X      c) \_\_\_      d) \_\_\_

8. Show that a set  $S$  is an infinite decidable (solvable/recursive) set if and only if it can be described as the range of a monotonically increasing algorithm. I will start the proof.
- 3 a.) Let  $S$  be an infinite recursive set. As  $S$  is decidable, it has a characteristic function  $\chi_S$  where  $\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ , when  $\mathbf{x} \in S$ , and  $\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , otherwise. Using  $\chi_S$  as a basis, we wish to define a monotonically increasing algorithm  $f_S$  whose range is  $S$ . Note that, since  $S$  is non-empty, it has a smallest element and, since it is infinite, it has no largest element. I have started the proof using primitive recursion (induction). You must complete it by writing in the formula to compute  $f_S(\mathbf{y}+1)$  given we know the value of  $f_S(\mathbf{y})$ .

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in S \Leftrightarrow \chi_S(\mathbf{x})$

// list the smallest element

Define  $f_S(0) = \mu \mathbf{x} \chi_S(\mathbf{x})$

// list the next item in monotonically increasing order. That's your job!!

$f_S(\mathbf{y}+1) = \underline{\mu \mathbf{x} [ \chi_S(\mathbf{x}) \ \&\& \ \mathbf{x} > f_S(\mathbf{y}) ]}$

- 3 b.) Let  $S$  be the range of some monotonically increasing enumerating algorithm  $f_S$ . Show that  $S$  must be an infinite recursive set. First  $S$  is infinite since  $\forall \mathbf{x} f_S(\mathbf{x}+1) > f_S(\mathbf{x})$ . You must now present a characteristic function  $\chi_S$  that takes advantage of the infinite nature of  $S$  and the fact that  $f_S$  is monotonically increasing and so enumerates any item  $\mathbf{x}$  in some known bounded amount of time.

$\chi_S(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{\exists \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{x} [ f_S(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} ]}$

- 6 9. Let sets  $A$  be a **non-empty** recursive (decidable) set and let  $B$  be re non-recursive (undecidable). Consider  $C = \{ z \mid z = \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{x}}, \text{ where } \mathbf{x} \in A \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \in B \}$ .  
Note: Here, we define  $0^0$  to be 1 (yeah, I know that's a point of debate in Mathematics, but not in this question). For (a)-(c), either show sets  $A$  and  $B$  and the resulting set  $C$ , such that  $C$  has the specified property (argue convincingly that it has the correct property) or demonstrate (prove by construction) that this property cannot hold.
- a. Can  $C$  be recursive? Circle **Y** or **N**.  
 **$A = \{ 0 \}; B = \text{HALT}; C = \{ x^0 \mid x \in \text{HALT} \} = \{ 1 \}$ , which is recursive**
- b. Can  $C$  be re non-recursive? Circle **Y** or **N**.  
 **$A = \{ 1 \}; B = \text{HALT}; C = \{ x^1 \mid x \in \text{HALT} \} = \text{HALT}$ , which is re, non-recursive**
- c. Can  $C$  be non-re? Circle **Y** or **N**.

**$\text{Let Range}(f_A) = A; \text{Range}(f_B) = B$**

**Define  $f_C(x, y) = f_B(x) \wedge f_C(y) = \{ x^y \mid x \in A, y \in B \} = C$**

**But then  $C$  is enumerated by  $f_C$  and hence is re.**

10. Define CounterID (CI) =  $\{ f \mid \text{for all input } x, f(x) \neq x \}$ .

- 2 a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of this set. (Hint: Look at c.) and d.) to get a clue as to what this must be.)

$$\forall x \exists t \{ STP(f, x, t) \ \&\& \ VALUE(f, x, t) \neq x \}$$

- 5 b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that CI is undecidable.

*Non-Trivial as:  $S \in CI$  and  $C0 \notin CI$*

*Let  $f, g$  be arbitrary indices of procedures such that  $\forall x f(x) = g(x)$*

$$f \in CI \Leftrightarrow \forall x f(x) \downarrow \ \&\& \ f(x) \neq x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x g(x) \downarrow \ \&\& \ g(x) \neq x \quad \text{since } \forall x f(x) = g(x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g \in CI$$

*Thus, using the Strong Form of Rice's we have that CI is undecidable.*

- 5 c.) Show that  $TOTAL \leq_m CI$ , where  $TOTAL = \{ f \mid \forall x f(x) \downarrow \}$ .

*Let  $f$  be an arbitrary index of a procedure*

*Define  $\forall x G_f(x) = f(x) - f(x) + x + 1$*

$$f \in TOTAL \Leftrightarrow \forall x f(x) \downarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x G_f(x) = x + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow G_f \in CI$$

$$f \notin TOTAL \Leftrightarrow \exists x f(x) \uparrow$$

$$\Rightarrow G_f \notin CI$$

- 1 d.) From a.) through c.) what can you conclude about the complexity of CI (Recursive, RE, RE-COMplete, CO-RE, CO-RE-COMplete, NON-RE/NON-CO-RE)?

**NON-RE/NON-CO-RE**