

---

**NAME**

- 5 1. Write a Context Free Grammar for the language  $L$ , where  $L = \{ a^i b^j c^k \mid k = (i - j), \text{ if } i \geq j, \text{ else } k = 0 \}$ . Hint: Splitting into two cases makes your job easier.

- 3 2. Let  $L1, L2$  be Non-Regular CFLs;  $R1, R2$  be Regular; Answer is about  $S$  and there should be just one cell per row that has an  $X$ .

<i>Definition of S / Characterization of S</i>	<i>Always Regular</i>	<i>At worst CFL</i>	<i>Might not be CFL</i>
$S = L1 \cdot L2$			
$S = R1 - L1$			
$S = R1 - R2$			
$S \supseteq R1$			
$S \subseteq R1$			
$S = L1 \cap R1$			

- 2 3. Which of the following are correct definitions of an ambiguous grammar? In each case,  $w$  is a terminal string. Write **T**(true) or **F**(false) in the underlined area following each statement

There are two distinct parse trees for some string  $w$  derived by the grammar \_\_\_\_\_

There are two distinct derivations of some string  $w$  derived by the grammar \_\_\_\_\_

There are two distinct rightmost derivations of some string  $w$  derived by the grammar \_\_\_\_\_

There are two distinct leftmost derivations of some string  $w$  derived by the grammar \_\_\_\_\_

- 4 4. Show that **Context-Free Languages** are closed under **Non-Empty-Left-Right Quotient with Regular Languages**. Non-Empty-Left-Right Quotient of a CFL  $L$  and a Regular Language  $R$ , both of which are over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ , is denoted  $NELRQ(L, R)$ , and defined as

$$NELRQ(L, R) = \{ y \mid xyz \in L; x, z \in R; \text{ and } y \neq \lambda \}.$$

That is, we select a non-empty substring  $y$  of  $xyz$  in  $L$ , provided  $x$  and  $z$  are both in the Regular Language  $R$ .

You may assume substitution  $f(a) = \{a, a'\}$ , and homomorphisms  $g(a) = a'$  and  $h(a) = a, h(a') = \lambda$ .

Here  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $a'$  is a distinct new character associated with each  $a \in \Sigma$ . No justification is required.

$NELRQ(L, R) =$  \_\_\_\_\_

12 5. Consider some language  $L$ . For each of (a) and (b), and for each of the three possible complexities of  $L$ , indicate whether this is possible (Y or N) and present evidence. Recall that

$$\max(A) = \{ w \mid w \in A \text{ and for no } x \neq \lambda \text{ does } wx \in A \}$$

If you answer Y, you must provide an example language  $A$  and the resulting  $L$ . In the case of part (b) you must also present a homomorphism  $\sigma$ . If you answer N, state some known closure property that reflects a bound on the complexity of  $L$ . Note: I did the first of each of the three parts for you.

a.)  $L = \max(A)$  where  $A$  is context-free, not regular.

Can  $L$  be Regular? Circle Y or N.

If yes, show  $A$  and argue  $\max(A)$  is Regular; if no, why not?

YES. Let  $A = \{ a^i b^j \mid i, j > 0 \text{ and } j > i \}$

$L = \max(A) = \emptyset$ , a regular set, as every string in  $A$  can be extended with more b's.

Can  $L$  be a non-regular CFL? Circle Y or N.

If yes, show  $A$  and argue  $\max(A)$  is a CFL; if no, why not?

Can  $L$  be more complex than a CFL? Circle Y or N.

If yes, show  $A$  and argue  $\max(A)$  is not a CFL; if no, why not?

b.) Let  $\sigma$  be a homomorphism from  $\Sigma$  into regular languages, such that, for each  $a \in \Sigma$ ,  $\sigma(a) = w_a$ , for some string  $w_a$ . Let  $A$  be a context free, non-regular language and let  $L = \sigma(A)$ .

Can  $L$  be Regular? Circle Y or N.

If yes, show  $A$  and  $\sigma$ , and argue  $\sigma(A)$  is Regular; if no, why not?

YES. Let  $A = \{ a^n b^n \mid n > 0 \}$

Define  $\sigma(a) = \lambda$  and  $\sigma(b) = \lambda$

$L = \sigma(A) = \{ \lambda \}$ , a regular set.

Can  $L$  be a non-regular CFL? Circle Y or N.

If yes, show  $A$  and  $\sigma$ , and argue  $\sigma(A)$  is a CFL; if no, why not?

Can  $L$  be more complex than a CFL? Circle Y or N.

If yes, show  $A$  and  $\sigma$ , and argue  $\sigma(A)$  is not a CFL; if no, why not?

**10 6.** Use the Pumping Lemma for CFLs to show that the following language **L** is not Context Free.

$$\mathbf{L} = \{ \mathbf{a}^n \mathbf{b}^{\text{sum}(1..n)} \mid n > 0 \}. \text{ Here } \text{sum}(1..n) = \sum_1^n i.$$

Be explicit as to why each case you analyze fails to be an instance of **L** and, of course, make sure your cases cover all possible circumstances. I have done the first two steps for you.

*ME: Assume L is Context Free*

*PL: Provides a whole number  $N > 0$  that is the value associated with L based on the Pumping Lemma*

*ME:*

12 7. Present the CKY recognition matrix for the string **aababb** assuming the Chomsky Normal Form grammar,  $G = (\{ S, T, U, V, W, A, B \}, \{ a, b \}, R, S)$ , specified by the rules **R**:

- $S \rightarrow A T \mid B U$
- $T \rightarrow b \mid B S \mid A V$
- $U \rightarrow a \mid A S \mid B W$
- $V \rightarrow T T$
- $W \rightarrow U U$
- $A \rightarrow a$
- $B \rightarrow b$

	a	a	b	a	b	b
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						

**A little help**

Non-Terminal	First Symbol in Rules	Second Symbol in Rules
S	None	$T \rightarrow B S ; U \rightarrow A S$
T	$V \rightarrow T T$	$S \rightarrow A T ; V \rightarrow T T$
U	$W \rightarrow U U$	$S \rightarrow B U ; W \rightarrow U U$
V	None	$T \rightarrow A V$
W	None	$U \rightarrow B W$
A	$S \rightarrow A T ; T \rightarrow A V ; U \rightarrow A S$	None
B	$S \rightarrow B U ; T \rightarrow B S ; U \rightarrow B W$	None

Is **aababb** in  $L(G)$ ? \_\_\_\_\_

What is the order of execution of this approach to determine if some  $w, |w| = N$ , is in  $L$ ? \_\_\_\_\_

What is the algorithmic strategy, e.g., greedy, divide and conquer, dynamic programming, backtracking or randomized, associated with this CKY algorithm called? \_\_\_\_\_

8. Consider the CFG  $G = ( \{ S, A, B \}, \{ a, b \}, R, S )$  where  $R$  is:

$S \rightarrow SAb \mid AbBa$

$A \rightarrow aS \mid a$

$B \rightarrow bS \mid b$

In the PDA you create below, you may (and are encouraged) to use a transition diagram where transitions have arcs with labels of form  $a, \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  where  $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma^*$ . Note: This just means that you can use extended stack operations that push or pop arbitrary length strings.

6 a.) Present a pushdown automaton that parses the language  $L(G)$  using either a top down or bottom up strategy.

INITIAL CONTENTS OF STACK = \_\_\_\_\_

1 b.) Now, using the notation of **IDs** (Instantaneous Descriptions,  $[q, x, z]$ ), describe how your PDA in (a) accepts strings generated by  $G$ .

9. Demonstrate the steps associated with a Reduced, then Chomsky Normal Form grammar.

3 a.) Consider the context-free grammar  $G_1 = ( \{ S, A, B \}, \{ 0, 1 \}, R_1, S )$ , where  $R_1$  is:

$S \rightarrow AB$

$A \rightarrow 0A0 \mid \lambda$

$B \rightarrow 1B1 \mid \lambda$

Remove all  $\lambda$ -rules, except possibly for a start symbol, creating an equivalent grammar  $G_1'$ . Show **all** rules.

*Nullable* = {                      }

- 3 b.) Consider the context-free grammar  $G2 = ( \{ S, A, B \}, \{ 0, 1 \}, R2, S )$ , where  $R2$  is

$S \rightarrow AB \mid B$   
 $A \rightarrow 1A0 \mid 10$   
 $B \rightarrow A \mid AA$

Remove all **unit** rules, creating an equivalent grammar  $G2'$ . Show **all** rules.

$Unit(S) = \{ \quad \}; Unit(A) = \{ \quad \}; Unit(B) = \{ \quad \}$

- 3 c.) Consider the context-free grammar  $G3 = ( \{ S, A, B \}, \{ 0, 1 \}, R3, S )$ , where  $R3$  is

$S \rightarrow AB \mid BB$   
 $A \rightarrow 1A0$   
 $B \rightarrow 0B1 \mid 01$

Remove all non-productive non-terminals, creating an equivalent grammar  $G3'$ . Show **all** rules.

$Productive = \{ \quad \}; Unproductive = \{ \quad \}$

- 4 d.) Consider the reduced context-free grammar  $G4 = ( \{ S, A, B \}, \{ 0, 1 \}, R4, S )$ , where  $R4$  is

$S \rightarrow AABB$   
 $A \rightarrow 1B0 \mid 10$   
 $B \rightarrow 0A1 \mid 01$

Convert to an equivalent Chomsky Normal Form grammar  $G4'$ . Show **all** rules.