Direct Proof of Part 2 Question 2

Should start with:

Let x be an arbitrary element that belongs to A. Our goal is to prove that x belongs to B.

Since x belongs to A, $\{x\}$ belongs to P(A).

Since P(A) is a subset of P(B), $\{x\}$ must belong to P(B).

By definition of power, all elements in any element of P(B), are elements of B. Thus, all elements of $\{x\}$ belong to B, which means x belongs to B, as desired.

Number Theory - Proofs of Beginning principles

if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$, then $a \mid c$.

Goal is to find some integer x such that c = ax.

Since $a \mid b$, there exists an integer w, such that b = aw.

Since b | c, there exists an integer y, such that c = by.

c = by = (aw)y = (wy)a, since w and y are integers, their product is an integer, thus, we can conclude that $a \mid c$.

if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, then a = b or a = -b.

Since $a \mid b$, there exists an integer w, such that b = aw.

Since $b \mid a$, there exists an integer y, such that a = by.

a = by = (aw)y = (wy)a, where w and y are integers.

a = (wy) a

1 = wy, since w, y are ints, either both are 1 or both are -1.

Plug back in to get a = b or a = -b

5x + 10y, since x and y are integers and we can factor out 5, we have: = 5(x + 2y), thus 5 | (5x + 10y).

But 5 does NOT evenly divide into 132.

Thus, there are no solutions.

If x and y are integers and 13 | (3x+4y), prove that 13 | (7x + 5y)

Goal: express 7x + 5y as 13 times an integer.

7x + 5y = 13x - 6x + 13y - 8y= 13(x+y) - 2(3x+4y) = 13(x+y) - 2(13c), since 13 | (3x+4y), for some integer c. = 13(x + y - 2c), since x, y and c are integers, so is x + y - 2c, It follows that 13 | (7x + 5y)

7x + 5y = c(3x+4y) + (13n)x + (13m)y, goal is to find some integers c, n and m that make this work.

- 7 = 3c + 13n
- 5 = 4c + 13m

We don't want the 13s to bug us a lot, so a tool that will help us is mod.

Temporarily skipping: proof of infinite primes, division algorithm (will come back to)

Mod Rules

Definition of $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ **if and only if** $n \mid (a-b)$ **.**

 $7 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

 $13 \equiv -17 \pmod{30}$

 $123456788 \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$ (Note: rule for divisibility by 9 is that whatever the Remainder is when you divide the sum of the digits of a number by 9 is the same remainder as when you divide the number by 9.) Intuitively, mod just means both numbers leave the same remainder when divided by n, where n is the mod number. You can't do n = 0.

if $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow (a+c) \equiv (b+c) \pmod{n}$ if $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Rightarrow ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$ if $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Rightarrow a^n \equiv b^n \pmod{n}$ if $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Rightarrow f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{n}$ for any polynomial f(x)with integer coefficients.

if $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \land b \equiv c \pmod{n} \Rightarrow a \equiv c \pmod{n}$

if $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \land c \equiv d \pmod{n} \Longrightarrow a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$

if $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \land c \equiv d \pmod{n} \Rightarrow ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$

Example of Mod Proof

if $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Rightarrow ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$

Since $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, it follows that $n \mid (b - a)$. This means there exists some integer x such that b - a = nx. Thus, b = a + nx.

Goal: to show that n | (bc - ac).

bc - ac = c(b - a) = c(nx) = n (cx), since c and x are integers, cx is an integer and we've proven that n | (bc - ac).

bc - ac = (a+nx)c - ac = ac + nxc - ac = n(cx), and we get to the same result.

One Cool Thing with Mod Rules

Modular Exponentiation...

Problem: Determine the remainder when 2^{123} is divided by 7.

Note: result under column i is $2^i \equiv x \mod 7$ (the one result, x, in between 0 and 6.)

Exp	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Result	1	2	4	1	2	4	1	2

If $8 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$, then

 $8(2) \equiv 1(2) \pmod{7}$

 $16 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$

 $16(2) \equiv 2(2) \pmod{7}$

EVERY TABLE LIKE THIS WILL EVENTUALLY REPEAT!!!

I could have stopped the table at 3.

Since 123 is divisible by 3, 2¹²³ leaves a remainder of 1 when divided by 7.

 2^{437} divided by 7 \rightarrow 437 leaves a remainder of 2 when divided by 3, so the remainder when 2^{437} is divided by 7 is 4.

Fast Modular Exponentiation

Cycle method works well if the cycle is small!

But sometimes the cycles are not small.

Let's look at $2^{31} \mod 19$

Exp	0	1	2	4	8	16
Result	1	2	4	16	9	5

We know that $2^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{19}$

Instead of just going to 2^3 , why not calculate 2^4 :

 $2^4 = (2^2)^2 = 4^2 = 4 \ge 4 \ge 16 \pmod{19}$

Use multiplication rule, but instead of multiplying by 2, multiply by 4.

 $2^8 = (2^4)^2 = 16^2 \equiv (-3)^2 \pmod{19}$ because $16 \equiv -3 \pmod{19}$

 $16 \ge 16 \equiv -3 \ge (-3) \pmod{19}$

 $2^{16} = (2^8)^2 \equiv 9^2 = 81 \equiv 5 \pmod{19}$

 $2^{31} = (2^{16})(2^8)(2^4)(2^2)(2^1) \equiv 5(9)(16)(4)(2) \equiv (45)(-3)(8) \equiv 7(-24) \equiv 7(-5) \equiv -35 \equiv 3 \pmod{19}$

The question what is the remainder when 2^{31} is divided by 19 is the same question as:

What is the unique integer x, with $x \ge 0$ and x < 19 such that $2^{31} \equiv x \pmod{19}$?