A Space-Economical Suffix Tree Construction Algorithm Edward M. McCreight (1976)

> { From Ukkonen to McCreight and Weiner: A Unifying } View of Linear-Time Suffix Tree Construction R. Giegerich and S. Kurtz (1997)

#### Overview

- Algorithm for constructing auxiliary digital search trees to help in search operations of substrings.
- Advantages over other algorithms: Economical in Space.
- We describe the algorithm
- Incremental changing of the search tree corresponding to changes in the text.

#### Motivation

• Text editors

Automatic command completion

## Constructing a Suffix Tree Algorithm

- Given a string S, we build an index to S in the form of a search tree T, whose paths are the suffixes of S.
- Each path starting from the root of this tree represents a different suffix.
- An edge is labeled with a string.
- the concatenation of these labels on through a path gives us a suffix.
- Each leaf correspond uniquely to positions within S.





Constructing a Suffix Tree Algorithm by McCreight: denoted mcc

The algorithm requires that:

S1. The final character of the string S should not appear elsewhere in S.

S1 yields:

- 1. No suffix of S is a prefix of a different suffix of S.
- 2. There is a leaf for each suffix of S.

#### Algorithm *mcc* Constraints on the Tree

T1. An edge of T may represent any nonempty substring of S.

- T2. Each internal node of T, except the root, must have at least two outgoing edges.
- T3. Siblings edges represent substrings with different starting characters.

#### Algorithm *mcc* Constraints on the Tree

- Since every leaf maps uniquely to a suffix of *S*, then T2 yields that the number on internal nodes in *T* ≤ *n*=/*S*/ (since every branching yields another leaf).
- *Proposition: The mapping of S into T, unique, up to order among siblings.*

#### Algorithm *mcc* Example



- • $\Sigma$  the alphabet
- We use a, b, c, d to denote characters in  $\Sigma$ .
- p, q, s, t, u, v, w, y, z to denote strings.
- If t = uvw for some strings (possibly empty)
  U,V,w then u is a prefix of t, V is a t -word, and
  W is a suffix of t.

• A prefix or suffix of *t* is *prope*r, if it is different from *t*.

•By *path(k)* we denote the concatenation of the edge labels on the path from the root of *T* to the node k.

•By T3 path labels are unique and we can denote *k* by <u>w</u>, if and only if path(k) = w.

Another terminology (McCreight):

• Definition:

Node *k* is called the **locus** of the string *uv*, if the path from the root to *k* denotes *uv*.

• hence, the locus of *uv* is <u>*uv*</u>.



• The **Extended Locus** of a string *u* is the locus of the shortest extension of *u*, *uw* (w is possibly empty), .s.t. <u>*uw*</u> is a node in T.



### **Definitions**

• The Contracted Locus of a string u is the locus of the longest prefix of u, x (x is possibly empty), s.t.  $\underline{x}$  is a node in T.

*Example: u=hello*, *x=hell* 



## **Definitions**

- Let S be our main string
- Suf<sub>i</sub> is the suffix of S beginning at the i<sup>th</sup> position (position are counted from  $1 \rightarrow suf_1 = S$ ).
- head<sub>i</sub> is the longest prefix of suf<sub>i</sub>, which is also a prefix of suf<sub>i</sub> for some j<i.</li>
- **tail**<sub>i</sub> is defined s.t.  $suf_i = head_i tail_i$



• Constraint S1 assures that tail, is never empty.

#### Overview of mcc

To build the suffix tree for ababc *mcc* inserts every step i the  $suf_i$  into tree  $T_{i-1}$ :



### Overview of mcc

- To do this we have to insert every step suf<sub>i</sub> without duplicating its prefix in the tree, so we need to find its longest prefix in the tree.
- Its longest prefix in the tree is by definition head<sub>i</sub>.
- Example:

Suf<sub>3</sub>=abc. Since we already have the word ab in the tree thus we need to start from there bulding our new suffix. Note that indeed ab=head<sub>3</sub>, tail<sub>i</sub>=c.



•So what we do is finding the extended locus of  $head_i$  in  $T_{i-1}$  and its incoming edge is split by a new node which spawns a new edge labeled tail<sub>i</sub>.

#### Algorithm *mcc* Overview of *mcc*

Overview of *mcc*'s operations via example of *ababc*:



#### Algorithm *mcc* Overview of *mcc*

Notice that head<sub>i</sub> is the longest prefix of  $suf_i$  that its extended locus exists within  $T_{i-1}$ .



### The Data Structure

• For efficiency we would represent each label of an edge by 2 numbers denoting its starting and ending position in the main string.



#### The Data Structure

- Thus, the actual insertion of an edge to the tree takes O(1).
- The introduction of a new internal node and *tail<sub>i</sub>* takes O(1), hence,
- if mcc could find the extended locus of head<sub>i</sub> in T<sub>i-1</sub> in constant time, in average over all steps, then mcc is linear in n.
- This is done by exploiting the following lemma:

## The Data Structure

**Lemma 1**: If  $head_{i-1} = xu$  for some character x and some string u (possibly empty), then u is a prefix of  $head_i$ .

*Proof.* head<sub>i-1</sub>=xu, hence, there is a  $j \le i$  s.t. xu is a prefix of both  $suf_{j-1}$  and  $suf_{i-1}$ .

- *1. xu* is a prefix of  $suf_{j-1} \rightarrow u$  is a prefix of  $suf_j$ .
- 2. *xu* is a prefix of  $suf_{i-1} \rightarrow u$  is a prefix of  $suf_i$ .

By (1), (2): there is some  $j \le i$  such that u is a prefix of both  $suf_j$ and  $suf_i$ .

Hence, by definition of head: u is a prefix of *head*<sub>*i*</sub>.

S: ...xu....xu... j-1 i-1

#### The Data Structure



a

\$

#### S=bdababdc, head<sub>5</sub>=ab, head<sub>6</sub>=bd b d a b a b d \$

#### Algorithm *mcc* The Data Structure

To exploit this we introduce Suffix Links: From each internal node xu, where |x|=1, we add a pointer to the node  $\underline{u}$ .



## Algorithm *mcc* The Data Structure



#### The Data Structure

**Note:** All suffix links are *atomic* in the sense that <u>*xu*</u> is suffix linked to <u>*u*</u> where |x| = 1.

We shall present *mcc* and prove by induction on *i*, the step number of *mcc*, that

- P1: in T<sub>i</sub> every internal node, except perhaps the locus of head<sub>i</sub> (head<sub>i</sub>), has a valid suffix link.
- P2: in step i mcc visits the contracted locus of head<sub>i</sub> in T<sub>i-1</sub>.

P2 yields that we can use the contracted locus of head<sub>i-1</sub> to jump with the suffix link to some prefix of head<sub>i</sub>. P1 assure us that there is such suffix link.

## Algorithm *mcc* Base case for P1



P1 holds since there is no internal nodes.
(note that head<sub>1</sub>=ε (ε=root)).



P2 holds since head<sub>1</sub>= $\varepsilon$  and in step 1 mcc visits the root which is the locus of  $\varepsilon$ ( $\varepsilon$ =root) in T<sub>0</sub>.

#### mcc – substep A

In this substep *mcc* will identify strings it had already dealt with in the previous steps, in order to make a shortcut leap to the 'middle' of its current *head*.

#### mcc – substep A

Identify 3 strings: xuw s.t.

- 1. head<sub>i-1</sub> = xuw
- *xu* is the contracted locus of head<sub>i-1</sub> in T<sub>i-2</sub>, i.e. *xu* is a node in T<sub>i-2</sub>. If the contracted locus of head<sub>i-1</sub> in T<sub>i-2</sub> is the root then *u*=ε.
   |*x*| ≤ 1. *x*=ε only if head<sub>i-1</sub>=ε.

Algorithm mcc: Substep A Illustrating substep A in the i<sup>th</sup> step: the move from  $T_{i-1}$  to  $T_i$ 



#### mcc – substep A

Our goal here is to go directly to the locus of *u* in the tree so that we could seach for w (substep B) and then for *v* (substep C).
## mcc – substep A

Notice that:

- In the previous step head<sub>i-1</sub> was found.
- Since  $|x| \le 1$  then by lemma 1:

head<sub>i</sub> = uwv for some, yet to be discovered, string (possibly empty) v.

• By induction hyp P2, *mcc* visited <u>xu</u> in the previous step (i-1), hence it can identify xu.

- mcc substep A
- If  $u = \varepsilon$  then  $c \leftarrow root$

(note that root =  $\underline{u}$ )

- else,  $c \leftarrow \{ suffix link of \underline{xu} \}$  (note that  $c=\underline{u} )$  explanation:
- *u*≠ε thus by definition <u>xu</u> existed (as the contracted locus of xuw) in T<sub>i-2</sub> hence by P1: the internal node <u>xu</u> has a suffix link.
- By P2 we remember <u>xu</u> from step *i*-1 and we can now follow its suffix link.

## mcc – substep A

uwv = head<sub>i</sub> hence from the definition of head, the extended locus of *uw* exists in T<sub>i-1</sub>.
Now we can start going down the edges, from <u>u</u> to find the extended locus of *uw*.

## Mcc – substep B: Rescanning

To rescan *w*:

 $\bullet$  d  $\leftarrow$  uw

- Find the edge that starts with the first character of *w*. Denote the edge's label *z* and the node it leads to *f*.
- If |w| > |z| then start a recursive rescan of w-z (or w<sub>|z|</sub>) from *f*.
- If  $|w| \le |z|$ , then w is a prefix of z, and we found the **extended** locus of uw.
- Construct a new node (if needed): <u>uw</u>.

Algorithm mcc: Substep B - rescanning Illustrating substep B in the i<sup>th</sup> step: rescanning substring w.



## mcc – substep B: Scanning

Make the suffix link of <u>xuw</u> point to d.
Hence, we have defined a suffix link to the node we constructed in step i-1.
By this and induction hyp → P1 holds in T<sub>i</sub>.



# mcc – substep C - Scanning

- Scan the edges from *d* in order to find the extended locus of *uwv*.
- Since we don't know yet what is v we must scan each character in the path from d downward, comparing it to tail<sub>i-1</sub>.
- When we 'fall out of the tree' we have found *v*.
- The last node in this trek is the contracted locus of head<sub>i</sub> in T<sub>i-1</sub>, which proves P2.
- When we reach the extended locus of *uwv* we construct the new node *uwv*, if needed.
- Construct the new leaf edge  $tail_i$ .

### Algorithm *mcc* mcc – substep C: Scanning

Scanning for the requested v. Comparing each character of the downward path beginning at d to *tail<sub>i</sub>*. When the comparison fails we have reached  $head_i$ .



# Maintaining T2

We shall prove that when we add a new node in the end of substep B as the locus of uw then we obey constraint T2 that an internal node has at least 2 son edges.

# Maintaining T2

Lemma: In step i, at the end of substep B we add a new node only if v is empty.
Proof. In step i, If v is not empty then head<sub>i</sub>=uwv and head<sub>i-1</sub>=xuw hence, w.l.g. we can write S as follows:
S=...xuWZ...uWV...xuWV...
Thus, we have 2 occurences of uw with different extensions, uwv, uwz, that occur already in the tree.
Hence, there is a branching node uw.

Position i-1

# Maintaining T2

Corollary: In the i<sup>th</sup> step if v is empty then we add an outgoing edge from the locus of  $uv=head_i$ . Thus the only case where we add a node we add an outgoing edge to it.

## **Time Complexity Analysis**

**<u>Define</u>**:  $\operatorname{res}_{i} = wv \{ tail_{i} \}$  in step *i*. Hence, res; is the suffix of S rescanned and scanned during step *i*. **Observation:** For every intermediate node *f* encountered in the rescan phase of step *i*, the substring z, labeling the edge to f, is contained in  $res_i$  but not in  $res_{i+1}$ .

### **Time Complexity Analysis** Illustrating substep B in the i<sup>th</sup> step: rescanning substring w.



- Explanation: if we encounter node *f* in step *i* during the rescan phase of substep B then *f* must be an internal node in T<sub>i-1</sub> hence P1 yields that in T<sub>i+1</sub>, *f* has a suffix link.
- Assume w.l.g that  $f = \underline{az}$
- This suffix link serves us in substep A of step *i*+1 to reach the node <u>z</u>, hence we do not have to rescan substring z again.



- **Define**: int<sub>i</sub> = number of intermediate nodes (*f*) rescanned during step *i*.
- The observation yields:  $|(res_{i+1})| \le |(res_i)| - int_i$
- Hence,
- $1 = |(res_n)| \le |(res_{n-1})| int_{n-1} \le \dots \le |(res_1)| \sum_{i=1}^n int_i \text{ (since int_n=0)} \Longrightarrow$
- $1 \le |(res_1)| \sum_{i=1}^{n} int_i = n \sum_{i=1}^{n} int_i \Longrightarrow$
- $\sum_{i=1}^{n} int_i \le n 1$
- i.e., the total number of intermediate nodes rescanned  $\leq n$ .

# **Time Complexity Analysis**

- The total number of characters scanned in substep C to locate  $head_i$  (the length of v):
- In step *i* the number of characters scanned during step C is

 $|(head_i)| - |(head_{i-1})| + 1$ 

since we already rescanned w (the suffix of head<sub>i-1</sub>) in substep B. +1 comes from the first character of head<sub>i-1</sub>).

• The number of characters scanned is:

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} [|(head_i)| - |(head_{i-1})| + 1] = |(head_n)| - |(head_0)| + n = n$ 

• Therefore, the total time complexity is O(n+n)=O(n)

# Updating the suffix tree

We shall see how to update the suffix tree (not online), when a substring of the main string is being replaced by another.

## Updating the suffix tree

Goal:

- Given a string S = uwv, and its corresponding suffix tree, we change S, so that: S = uzv.
- We wish to update the suffix tree to represent the change in S.

## Updating the suffix tree

- In order to make it possible to update the tree effectively, i.e., not change the whole tree, we would adopt a numbering scheme representing the positions of *S*, in which a position number need never change after it has been assigned.
- Also, the position numbers are strictly monotonic.
- Hence, the suffixes of *v*, for instance, need not to be changed, when we change *uwv* to *uzv*.
- This requires a large pool of position numbers.

## Paths in need to be changed

- We consider what kind of paths might need to change, by the change:  $uwv \rightarrow uzv$ .
- Denote *u*\* as the longest suffix of *u* that appears elsewhere in *uwv*.
- <u>Definition</u>: a *w-splitters* (w.r.t  $uwv \rightarrow uzv$ ) are the strings of the form tv, where t is a nonempty suffix of  $u^*w$ .
- Equivalently, *splitters* are the paths which properly contain *v* and whose last edge do not contain *wv*.



Note that due to our numbering scheme and data structure the label *xwv* 'changes' automatically to *xzv*.

as it is.

the end of y. Stays

**Paths in need to be changed** Illustrating paths that **are** affected by the change: Suffix = u'wv, u'=suf(u\*):

(1) root xy=w: u'x need a change, since the yv positions indices of the leaf and its father change. (2) root u'wv

Need to update the last edge label, since the position index in the leaf changes.

## Overview of the algorithm

- The updating algorithm removes all wsplitters paths and inserts all z-splitters paths,
- while preserving properties T1,T2,T3.

### **Overview of the algorithm**

- 3 stages of the algorithm, *umcc*:
- 1. Discover u\*wv, the longest w-splitter.
- Delete all paths tv, t=suf(u\*w), from the tree.
- Insert all paths sv, s=suf(u\*z), into the tree.



### Phase 1:

- Denote  $u^{(i)}$  the suffix of u of length i.
- Examine the paths  $u^{(1)}wv$ ,  $u^{(2)}wv$ ,  $u^{(4)}wv$ ,  $u^{(8)}wv$ , ...

until a non w-splitter is discovered, say,  $u^{(k)}wv$ .

• Every path *u*<sup>(*i*)</sup>*wv* examined takes O(i) time.

#### Phase 2:

- Examine the paths u<sup>(k)</sup>wv, u<sup>(k-1)</sup>wv, ... until the longest w-splitter is discovered, u\*wv.
   <u>Time complexity:</u>
- This search can take full advantage of the suffix links, as in *mcc*, since *k* is incremented by 1, each step, hence it takes O(k) time.
- |u\*|>k/2
- Phase 1 takes O(1+2+4+...+k)
- Phase 2 takes O(k)
- Hence, stage 1 takes O(u\*)

- Delete all paths tv, t=suf(u\*w), |t|≠0, from the tree.
- The deletion is done in order, from the longest to the shortest.
- Suppose that for all suffixes s of u\*w longer than t, the deletion of sv has been already done.
- We now consider how to delete tv.

#### The general case is illustrated

- delete the edge labeled *q* and its leaf.
- If node *f* has more than 2 sons than this is enough.
- Otherwise, delete node *f*, and make *k* the son of *p*; label turn to *yo*.
- Potential problem: an existing suffix link to *f*.



- Denote the last internal node in the path xu\*zv by s\* where |x|=1.
- We show that this problem could arise only for a unique node, *s*\*.

### Lemma 2:

- Whenever a node *f* is deleted there is no suffix link pointing to it, except perhaps that of node *s*\*.
- 2. Every path in *T* has a suffix path, except perhaps  $xu^*zv$ .

#### proof:

- Base: (1) is trivially true. (2) is true, since we haven't change the tree, so the only path without a suffix path is the path whose suffix path is the longest w-splitter, xu\*zv.
  - Induction:
    - (1)

assume m is a node having its suffix link point to f, than m could not have an outgoing edge labeled q, since arlqwould be a longer splitter than rlq so it would have been already deleted.





- Thus, node *f* has only one son edge that has a prefix path in *T*. Hence, node *m* has exactly 2 son edges (otherwise there would be more than 1 paths in *T* without a suffix path, in contrast to induction hyp), and the path having no suffix path must pass through *m*, so node *m* is actually *s*\*.
  - (2)
    - If we delete *u\*wv* then since we have already changed *xu\*wv* to *xu\*zv*, hence its prefix path doesn't exist anyway.
    - If we delete a proper suffix of  $u^*wv$  then, we have already deleted its prefix path in T.
- In both cases we haven't prevented any path in T of a suffix path.

Insert all paths sv, s=suf(u\*z), into the tree.

- We do it as if we are running *mcc* with a pre-initialized suffix tree that already contains all suffixes of *v*. Denote that tree *T(v)*, and this variant algorithm as *umcc(v)*.
- We already have all the suffixes longer than *u\*zv*, so we start running *mcc* from there:
- Denote  $j = |(u)| |(u^*)| + 1$
- Denote k = |(uz)|
- We will insert the paths u\*zv, ..., dv (where d is the last character of uz), by running mcc from step j through k+1's rescanning substep (in order to connect the a suffix link to head\_k)

- We remember node *s*\* and its father (this settles the problem of the suffix link of *s*\*).
- The following 2 observations, corresponding to P1, P2, enable us to start running *mcc* from the j<sup>th</sup> step, with T(v):
  - 1.  $s^*$  or its father are the contracted locuses of  $head(v)_{j-1}$  in  $T(v)_{j-1}$ .
  - 2.  $s^*$  is the only internal node that might not have a suffix link in  $T(v)_{j-1}$ .

- We saw that finding  $u^*$  takes  $O(|u^*|)$ .
- Deleting all the paths of the form *tv*, where *t* is a nonempty suffix of *u*\**w*, requires finding the leaf edge of each path and deleting its leaf. Deleting the leaf is constant.
- Finding the leaf edges of all these paths can be done in a similar manner of *mcc(v)*:
  - Find the path *u\*wv*; remember its last internal node; follow its suffix link to find the last internal node of *suf<sub>i</sub>(u\*wv)*.
- Hence, deleting the paths takes  $O(|u^*wv|)$ .

## **Time Complexity Analysis**

Running *mcc* from step *j* through step k+1:

- Everything but scanning and rescanning takes constant time.
- Denote the last character of  $u^*w$  by d.
- Define *v*\* as the longest prefix of *dv* that occurs elsewhere in *uzv*.
## **Time Complexity Analysis**

During rescanning (substep B) we encounter:  $\sum_{i=j}^{k+1} \operatorname{int}(v)_i \leq |(\operatorname{res}(v)_j)| - |(\operatorname{res}(v)_{k+1})| + \operatorname{int}(v)_{k+1}$ 

- $|(res(v)_j)| \le |(suf_j)| = |(u^*zv)|$
- For all i:  $int(v)_i \le |(w)| \le |(head_{i-1}(v))|$ , where *w* is the substring rescanned in substep B.
- Hence,  $int(v)_{k+1} \leq |(head_k(v))|$ .
- For all i:  $|(res(v)_i)| \ge |(suf(v)_{i-1})| |(head_{i-1}(v))|$ .
- Hence,  $|(\operatorname{res}(v)_{k+1})| \ge |(\operatorname{suf}(v)_k)| |(head_k(v))|$ .

Time Complexity Analysis
Thus, ∑<sup>k+1</sup><sub>i=j</sub>int(v)<sub>i</sub> ≤ |(u\*zv)| +|(head<sub>k</sub>(v))| - |(suf(v)<sub>k</sub>)|+ |(head<sub>k</sub>(v))|
= |(u\*zv)| +2 |(v\*)| - |(dv)|
Hence, rescanning takes O(|u\*zv|+|v\*|).
Scanning (substep C):

- As in mcc analysis:
  - The number of character scanned in steps *j* through *k* is exactly (k-j+1)+|head(v)<sub>k</sub>| |head(v)<sub>i-1</sub>|
  - $|\text{head}(v)_k| = |v^*|$ ,  $|\text{head}(v)_{j-1}| = 0$ , hence
  - Scanning takes  $O(|u^*z|+|v^*|)$

Algorithm mcc

## **Time Complexity Analysis**

In total, updating the suffix tree takes  $O(|u^*|+|w|+|z|+|v^*|)$