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Introduction To Normalization

- In general, the goal of a relational database design is to generate a set of relation schemas that create an accurate representation of the real-world situation that is being modeled.
  - The design must also allow information to be stored without unnecessary redundancy, yet also allow for that information to be retrieved efficiently.
- A technique that can be used to identify this set of suitable relational schemas is called normalization.
- The process of normalization builds a set of schemas, each of which is in an appropriate normal form.
- Normalization is a bottom-up approach to database design that begins by examining the relationships between attributes.
- To determine if a relation schema is in one of the desirable normal forms, additional information is required about the real-world scenario that is being modeled. Most of this additional information is represented by a type of data dependency known as a functional dependency.
Introduction To Normalization

• The process of normalization can be defined formally as:

Normalization: A technique for producing a set of relational schemas with desirable properties given the data requirements pertaining to the real-world situation that is being modeled.

• The process of normalization was first developed in the early 1970s by E.F. Codd.

• Normalization is most often performed as a series of tests on a relational schema to determine whether it satisfies or violates the requirements of a given normal form.

• Codd initially proposed three normal forms called first (1NF), second (2NF), and third (3NF). Subsequently, R. Boyce and Codd together introduced a stronger definition for third normal form called Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF).

• All four of these normal forms are based upon the concept of a functional dependency. Higher normal forms that go beyond BCNF, such as fourth (4NF) and fifth (5NF), as well as several others, have also subsequently been introduced. These higher normal forms utilize other types of data dependencies and some of these apply to situations that are quite rare. We will concentrate only on the first four normal forms and not examine any of the higher normal forms.
Introduction To Normalization

• The process of normalization is a formal method that identifies relational schemas based upon their primary or candidate keys and the functional dependencies that exists amongst their attributes.

• Normalization is primarily a tool to validate and improve a logical design so that it satisfies certain constraints that *avoid unnecessary duplication of data.*

• Normalization is the process of decomposing relations with anomalies to produce smaller, *well-structured* relations.
Introduction To Normalization

• A well-structured relation contains minimal data redundancy and allows users to insert, delete, and update rows without causing data inconsistencies.

• Goal is to avoid anomalies
  – **Insertion Anomaly** – adding new rows forces user to create duplicate data.
  – **Deletion Anomaly** – deleting rows may cause a loss of data that would be needed for other future rows.
  – **Modification Anomaly** – changing data in a row forces changes to other rows because of duplication.
Example – Anomalies In A Relation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emp_ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Dept_Name</th>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>Course_Title</th>
<th>Date_Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Margaret Simpson</td>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>SPSS</td>
<td>6/19/200X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Margaret Simpson</td>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>Surveys</td>
<td>10/7/200X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Alan Beeton</td>
<td>Accounting</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>Tax Acc</td>
<td>12/8/200X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Chris Lucero</td>
<td>Info Systems</td>
<td>43,000</td>
<td>SPSS</td>
<td>1/12/200X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Chris Lucero</td>
<td>Info Systems</td>
<td>43,000</td>
<td>C++</td>
<td>4/22/200X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>Lorenzo Davis</td>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Susan Martin</td>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td>SPSS</td>
<td>6/19/200X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Susan Martin</td>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>8/12/200X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question – Is this a relation?  
Answer – Yes: unique rows and no multivalued attributes

Question – What’s the primary key?  
Answer – Composite: Emp_ID, Course_Title
Anomalies in this Table

- **Insertion** – can’t enter a new employee without having the employee take a class.
- **Deletion** – if we remove employee 140, we lose information about the existence of a Tax Acc class.
- **Modification** – giving a salary increase to employee 100 forces us to update multiple records.

Why do these anomalies exist?
Because there are two themes (entity types) into one relation. This results in duplication, and an unnecessary dependency between the entities.

**General rule of thumb:** a table should not pertain to more than one entity type.
Brief Overview Of The Steps in Normalization

• **First Normal Form (1NF):** All multi-valued attributes have been removed from the table. Only a single value (possibly null) exists at the intersection of each row and column of the table.

• **Second Normal Form (2NF):** All partial functional dependencies have been removed. [Non-key attributes are identified by only the full primary key.]

• **Third Normal Form (3NF):** All transitive functional dependencies have been removed. [Non-key attributes are identified by only the primary key.]

• **Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF):** Any remaining anomalies that result from functional dependencies have been removed. [More than one primary key existed for the same non-key attributes.]
Brief Overview Of The Steps in Normalization

- Table with multivalued attributes
  - First normal form
    - Second normal form
      - Third normal form
        - Remove remaining anomalies resulting from multiple candidate keys
  - Remove multivalued attributes
    - Remove partial dependencies
    - Remove transitive dependencies
  - Fourth normal form
    - Fifth normal form
    - Boyce-Codd normal form
    - Remove multivalued dependencies
    - Remove remaining anomalies

Figure 5-22, page 212
Important Note

• The design of a relational database should have included a conceptual modeling step (producing an ER diagram) for the enterprise (as we have done).

• This step was followed by a transformation process that converted the ER diagram into a set of relational tables.

• The first step in the transformation process generated a table (relation) for every multi-valued attribute for a given entity.

• This means that every table (relation) that was created was in fact a relation and thus is in 1NF.

• In our earlier discussion of anomalies, the table was in 1NF but was not a well-structured table as it contained certain anomalies. Normalization will remove these anomalies.
Functional Dependencies

- A **functional dependency** is a constraint between two attributes (or sets of attributes).
  - For any relation R, attribute B is functionally dependent on attribute A if, for every valid instance of A, that value of A uniquely determines the value of B.
  - The functional dependency of B on A is denoted as: \( A \rightarrow B \).

- **Example:**

  EMP_COURSE (Emp_ID, Course_Title, Date_Completed)

  The relation instance shown on the right satisfies the functional dependency
  
  Emp_ID, Course_Title \( \rightarrow \) Date_Completed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emp_ID</th>
<th>Course_Title</th>
<th>Date_Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Excel</td>
<td>4/1/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>5/20/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Tax Acct.</td>
<td>3/14/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>C++</td>
<td>11/16/2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Excel</td>
<td>6/27/2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>8/12/2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A 1NF, But Not Well-structured, Table

#### Figure 5-26: INVOICE relation (1NF) (Pine Valley Furniture Company)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order_ID</th>
<th>Order_Date</th>
<th>Customer_ID</th>
<th>Customer_Name</th>
<th>Customer_Address</th>
<th>Product_ID</th>
<th>Product_Description</th>
<th>Product_Finish</th>
<th>Unit_Price</th>
<th>Ordered_Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>10/24/2004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Value Furniture</td>
<td>Plano, TX</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dining Table</td>
<td>Natural Ash</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>10/24/2004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Value Furniture</td>
<td>Plano, TX</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Writer's Desk</td>
<td>Cherry</td>
<td>325.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>10/24/2004</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Value Furniture</td>
<td>Plano, TX</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Entertainment Center</td>
<td>Natural Maple</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>10/25/2004</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Furniture Gallery</td>
<td>Boulder, CO</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4-Dr Dresser</td>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>10/25/2004</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Furniture Gallery</td>
<td>Boulder, CO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Entertainment Center</td>
<td>Natural Maple</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Anomalies in this Table

- **Insertion** – if new product is ordered for order 1007 of existing customer, customer data must be re-entered, causing duplication.

- **Deletion** – if we delete the Dining Table from Order 1006, we lose information concerning this item's finish and price.

- **Update** – changing the price of product ID 4 requires update in several records.
Functional Dependencies in this Table

- Full Dependency
- Transitive Dependencies
- Partial Dependencies
Definition of 2NF

• A relation is in 2NF if it is in 1NF and every non-key attribute is fully functionally dependent on the ENTIRE primary key.

  – Every non-key attribute must be defined by the entire key, not by only part of the key. (A partial dependency exists whenever a non-key attribute is functionally dependent on only a portion of the primary key.)

  – No partial functional dependencies exist in a 2NF relation.
Why INVOICE Table Is Not In 2NF

Order_ID \rightarrow \text{Order\_Date, Customer\_ID, Customer\_Name, Customer\_Address}

Product\_ID \rightarrow \text{Product\_Description, Product\_Finish, Unit\_Price}

Therefore, NOT in 2\text{nd} Normal Form
Converting A N2NF Relation Into A 2NF Relation

- To convert a relation containing partial dependencies into a 2NF relation, the following steps are required:

  1. Create a new relation for each primary key attributed (or combinations of attributes) that is a determinant in a partial dependency. That attribute is the primary key in the new relation.

  2. Move the non-key attributes that are dependent on this primary key attribute (or attributes) from the old relation into the new relation.
Converting A N2NF Relation Into A 2NF Relation

EXAMPLE

### ORDER_LINE (3NF)
- `Order_ID`
- `Product_ID`
- `Ordered_Quantity`

### PRODUCT (3NF)
- `Product_ID`
- `Product_Description`
- `Product_Finish`
- `Unit_Price`

### CUSTOMER_ORDER (2NF)
- `Order_ID`
- `Order_Date`
- `Customer_ID`
- `Customer_Name`
- `Customer_Address`

Transitive Dependencies
Consequences of the Definition of 2NF

• A 1NF relation will be in 2NF if any of the following conditions hold:

  1. The primary key consists of only one attribute. By definition, there cannot be a partial dependency in such a relation.

  2. No non-key attributes exist in the relation (all of the attributes in the relation are part of the primary key). By definition, there are no functional dependencies (other than the trivial ones) in such a relation.

  3. Every non-key attribute is functionally dependent on the full set of primary key attributes.
Definition of 3NF

- A relation is in 3NF if it is in 2NF and every no transitive dependencies exist.

  - A transitive dependency in a relation is a functional dependency between two (or more) non-key attributes.

  - PrimaryKey → A→ B.
Converting A N3NF Relation Into A 3NF Relation

To convert a relation containing transitive dependencies into a 3NF relation, the following steps are required:

1. For each non-key attributed (or set of attributed) that is a determinant in the relation, create a new relation. That attribute (or set of attributes) becomes the primary key in the new relation.

2. Move all of the attributes that are functionally dependent on the attribute from the old relation into the new relation.

3. Leave the attribute (which serves as the primary key in the new relation) in the old relation to serve as a foreign key that allows an association between the two relations.
Converting A N3NF Relation Into A 3NF Relation

EXAMPLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order_ID</th>
<th>Order_Date</th>
<th>Customer_ID</th>
<th>Customer_Name</th>
<th>Customer_Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

CUSTOMER_ORDER (2NF)

Transitive Dependencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order_ID</th>
<th>Order_Date</th>
<th>Customer_ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

ORDER (3NF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customer_ID</th>
<th>Customer_Name</th>
<th>Customer_Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

CUSTOMER (3NF)