**Research Paper Presentation Evaluation**

**Your name:**

**Date:**

**Presenter’s name:**

**Paper title:**

**Total Score:**

1. Technical content: Completeness and clarify? Are all the sections of the review presented in sufficient details (**origin** of the paper, network setting, **what** is being improved, what is the **improvement technique**, **when** is the improvement applied, how was the paper **evaluated, afterlife** of the paper) [35 points]
2. Paper critique (personal view of contributions): Did the presenter give adequate support for his or her comments? Strengths, weaknesses, and proposed improvements of the paper [15 pts]
3. Questions and Answers: Was the presenter able to answer questions or provide his/her opinion regardless of whether the answers were readily available from the paper? [20 pts]
4. Organization: Was the presentation well-organized so that you understood what to expect and the pieces flowed together well? [5 pts]
5. Slides: Were the visual aids effective? Did they improve the clarity of the presentation? [10 pts]
6. Presenter’s style: Was there interaction with audience, e.g., good eye contact? Voice volume effective? Were there repeated phrases, e.g., “Um”? [5 pts]
7. Time: Was the presenter within the allotted time (30-35 minutes?) [10 points]

Note: Please feel free to use the second page if necessary, with the appropriate subheading and make sure to provide overall grading based on the rubric provided above.

What have you learned from the research paper presentation? [2-3 sentences]

What aspects of the presentation were good? [Bulleted list]

What aspects of the presentation could have been improved? [Bulleted list]