**Research Paper Presentation Evaluation**

**Your name:**

**Date:**

**Presenter’s name:**

**Paper title:**

**Total Score:**

1. **Technical content:** Completeness and clarify? Are all the sections of the review presented in sufficient details (**origin** of the paper, **network setting**, **what** is being improved, what is the **improvement technique**, **when** is the improvement applied, how was the paper **evaluated, afterlife** of the paper) [35 points]
2. **Paper critique** (personal view of contributions): Did the presenter give adequate support for his or her comments? Strengths, weaknesses, and proposed improvements of the paper [15 pts]
3. **Questions and Answers**: Was the presenter able to answer questions or provide his/her opinion regardless of whether the answers were readily available from the paper? [15 pts]
4. **Organization:** Was the presentation well-organized so that you understood what to expect and the pieces flowed together well? [5 pts]
5. **Slides:** Were the visual aids effective? Did they improve the clarity of the presentation? [10 pts]
6. **Presenter’s style:** Was the presentation interactive? Were there repeated phrases? [10 pts]
7. **Time:** Was the presenter within the allotted time (30-35 minutes?) Was it too short or too long? [10 points]

Note: Please feel free to use the second page if necessary, with the appropriate subheading and make sure to provide overall grading based on the rubric provided above.

What have you **learned** from the research paper presentation? [2-3 sentences]

What aspects of the presentation were **good**? [Bulleted list]

What aspects of the presentation could have been **improved**? [Bulleted list]