Supplement to Homework 3: Data Flow Analysis

Here's an example that Neeraj and I discussed, which may be helpful in working the problems in section 1.7. I use the calculational style (as in the handouts we provided in class [1, Section 4.2] [2, Chapter 4], see also Gries's article in CACM [3]), to justify each step.

Consider the following equations among sets drawn from a universe U, where $a, b \in U$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X_1 & = & \{a\} \\ X_2 & = & \{b\} \cup X_1 \cup X_2 \end{array}$$

We can represent these equations as a function F as follows.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F & : & (U \times U) \to (U \times U) \\ F(u_1, u_2) & = & (F_1(u_1, u_2), F_2(u_1, u_2)) \\ F_1(u_1, u_2) & = & \{a\} \\ F_2(u_1, u_2) & = & \{b\} \cup u_1 \cup u_2 \end{array}$$

We wish to solve these using Chaotic Iteration. Let us represent the steps of the Chaotic Iteration algorithm using the symbol \rightsquigarrow . Writing $\vec{u} = (x, y)$ to mean $u_1 = x \land u_2 = y$ (because when dealing with 12-tuples it will be convenient to have a smaller formula), we can calculate as follows.

$$\vec{u} = (\{\}, \{\})$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle \text{by definition of } F_2, u_2 \neq F_2(\{\}, \{\}) \rangle$$

$$\vec{u} = (\{\}, \{b\})$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle \text{by definition of } F_1 \rangle$$

$$\vec{u} = (\{a\}, \{b\})$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle \text{by definition of } F_2 \rangle$$

$$\vec{u} = (\{a\}, \{a, b\})$$

At this point no more steps are possible, so the fixed point of F is $\vec{u} = (\{a\}, \{a, b\})$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X_1 & = & \{a\} \\ X_2 & = & \{a,b\} \end{array}$$

is a solution to the equations above.

References

- Ralph-Johan Back and Joakim von Wright. Refinement Calculus: A Systematic Introduction. Graduate Texts in Computer Science. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [2] Edsger W. Dijkstra and Carel S. Scholten. Predicate Calculus and program semantics. Springer-Verlag, NY, 1990.
- [3] David Gries. Teaching calculation and discrimination: A more effective curriculum. Communications of the ACM, 34(3):44–55, March 1991.