# Homework 4: Operational Semantics and $\lambda$ Prolog

Due: November 9, 2004.

In this homework, you will apply your skills in logic programming to the study of operational semantics. Operational semantics are widely used in programming language theory. We will be using these techniques in our study of aspect-oriented programs which is coming up shortly.

This is an individual homework. That is, for this homework, you are to do the work on your own, not in groups.

For all  $\lambda$ Prolog programs, you must run your code with a  $\lambda$ Prolog system, such as the Teyjus system we recommend. You must also provide evidence that your program is correct (for example, test cases). Hand in a printout of your code and your testing.

You may use cut (!), not, and once in your code. (But don't get in to the mode of trying to sprinkle cut throughout your code to make it work; cut should be used sparingly and you should think about what you're doing instead.)

The problems in this homework all deal with an example in  $\lambda$ Prolog that we will discuss in class. The code is found in the hw4 subdirectory of our course homework directory, accessible from the course web page, and also from the directory

/home/course/cs541/public/homework/hw4/

on the department machines.

## 1 While Language Expressions

1. (20 points) This problem is to add a new expression that increments a location; this is similar to the (prefix) ++ operator of C and C++. To do this, in the module while\_syntax, add the syntax for a new expression (not a new command or operator) of the form inc L, where L is a location. The intended meaning is that inc L increments the value stored in location L by 1, and returns this final value. In the module while, add new semantic rules to specify this operation. (Hint: use access0 to access the store.) Finally, add tests to the module while\_test to test the new code, run them.

Hand a printout of the while\_syntax signature, the while and while\_test modules, and output from testing.

2. (10 points) The inc expression has side effects. Explain how the code in the while module is set up so that these side effects are not lost, but are reflected in subsequent evaluations. Give examples (which could be tests for the previous problem) as part of your explanation.

## 2 While Language Commands

3. (20 points) Add a do-until loop to the language, so that do C E, executes C, and then tests E; if the value of E is 1, then the command is finished, but otherwise it repeats, executing do C E again.

Hint: can a do-loop be desgared into other constructs in the language?

Hand a printout of the while\_syntax signature, the while and while\_test modules, and output from testing.

4. (40 points; extra credit) Consider adding break commands, as in C, C++, and Java, that break out of the smallest enclosing while or do-until loop. The syntax is easy, but the trick for this problem is showing how to give their semantics in the "little-step" style. Hint: if it's

useful, you can introduce abstract syntax for commands that do not correspond to anything in the user-visible concrete syntax to help with this. You might add a command like loop C, as an aid to jumping out of the loop body when the **break** command is encountered. But you have to think about what happens when **break** commands are embedded in abstract syntax trees like (semi (semi break  $C_1$ )  $C_2$ ).

5. (100 points; extra credit) Is is possible to handle labels and goto commands in such a little step operational semantics? If so, show how; if not, explain why it can't be done.

### 3 Richer Domains, adding Booleans

6. (60 points) Currently, the expressible and storable values are only integers. To add booleans as a separate kind of value, make a new module value which defines two types: value and boolean. The value module should be accumulated by the while\_syntax module. There should be two constructors for values: intval and boolval. There should also be constructors for the type boolean, tt and ff, representing true and false values (respectively). (Note that λProlog uses true as a built-in predicate already, so we can't use that.)

Also, add to the expressions (in while\_syntax's signature). First, add a boolean literal expression, bool B, where B is a boolean value. Also, add the short curcuit expressions and  $E_1 E_2$  (conjunction), and or  $E_1 E_2$  (disjunction), and neg E (logical negation), where E and  $E_i$  are expressions. Add expressions lt  $E_1 E_2$ , which only works for integer arguments and returns a boolean, and equals  $E_1 E_2$ , which compares either two integers or two booleans, and returns a boolean.

Change the definition of the language semantics in the module while as necessary. First change the declarations in while.sig so that store int is replaced with store value; this difference allows locations to store both integer and boolean values. Also change the types of the predicates that work with expressions so that they return values, not ints. In the module itself you'll have to make corresponding changes. In particular, change the if and while commands so that they require a test expression to return a boolean value. Then add the semantics for the new expressions. (Hint, if you get the message "cannot decide consistent type for overloaded operator" add an explicit typing to one of the arguments, as in I1:int < I2.)

When adding expression semantics, first decide what the terminal expression configurations are (ones to which no reductions apply). Then work on each new piece of syntax separately. If the expression isn't part of a terminal configuration, then write down the reduction rule when the subexpressions are reduced as far as possible. Then write rules for evaluating nonterminal subexpressions from left to right. To do this, look at the three op rules for an example. You will need several rules for each new expression.

Adjust the types in while\_test\_helpers, and revised the test cases and expected outputs in while\_test for these changes. (Note that the lists representing stores will now be lists of values, and that you'll have to modify the tests for while and if.) Get the existing tests to work again, then add tests for the new expressions.

Hand a printout of the new value module, and other changed modules. You should not need to change the **store** module, as that is already polymorphic in the things it stores. Also hand in output from testing.

### 4 Envrionments

- 7. (50 points) In this problem you'll add environments, which are mappings from identifiers to locations, to the language semantics. We will use strings as the domain of identifiers.
- Syntactically, it's easiest to make a change to the abstract syntax, so that id S, where S is a string, is considered to have type "location". Not, however that we are still using integers as

locations in the store. The syntax, id S, is just an abstract syntax tree in the syntactic domain of locations, not a semantical location. That is, in the signature of module while\_syntax, change (or add) the following constructor for locations.

type id string -> location.

To add environments to the semantics, you can use the module env, which is provided for you. We will be using environments of type (environ string int) to map from names (represented by strings) to semantic locations (integers).

To change the semantics, it seems best (for grading) to make a fork in the development files. Make a copy of the files for the module while to create a module while\_env. This module will accumulate the env module. In the signature, change the types of reducesE and reducesC to

```
type reducesE (environ string int)
         -> configurationE -> configurationE -> o.
type reducesC (environ string int)
          -> configurationC -> configurationC -> o.
```

You should also change the types of meaningE and meaningC to pass an environment as the first argument. Then change the rules in the while\_env.mod file to reflect the changed types and the new syntax. Note that environments are not part of configurations. When using rtc, you should apply it to (reducesC Env) and (reducesE Env), where Env is some environment, as this will make the types work out. Change all the rules to pass the environment to each rule, and either change or add new rules to deal with the new syntax for location abstract syntax trees (of the form id S). In particular, the semantics of assignment commands and dereference expressions need to be changed.

Finally, change the test aparatus, by making a copy of while\_test\_helpers in a new module named while\_test\_helpers\_env, changing the types as necessary, and making a copy of while\_test in a new module named while\_test\_env. You'll find it helpful to introduce a predicate of the form

```
type test_env (environ string int) -> o.
```

that is a "hook" for a test environment to be used by runC and runE. The rule(s) for this predicate should go in the while\_test\_env module. Change all the tests to work with id instead of loc. Add any additional tests you feel helpful.