Fall, 2003

Name: \_\_\_\_

## Test on Functional Languages, Haskell, OO Languages, and Smalltalk

## Special Directions for this Test

This test has 8 questions and pages numbered 1 through 10.

This test is open book and notes.

Before you begin, please take a moment to look over the entire test so that you can budget your time. When you write Haskell code on this test, you may use anything in the Haskell Prelude without writing it in your test. You are encouraged to define functions not specifically asked for if they are useful to your programming; if they are not in the Prelude, write them into your test.

When you write Smalltalk code below on this test, you may use anything in Squeak without writing it in your test; however, please tell us about anything you use that might be a bit obscure. You are encouraged to define methods and classes not specifically asked for if they are useful to your programming; if they are not in Squeak, write them into your test. For Smalltalk code, please use the shortened form used in Goldberg and Robson's book when writing a class and its methods. The following is an example.

```
class name:
                              Counter
superclass:
                              Object
instance variable names:
                              value
"class methods"
new
  `super new initialize
"instance methods"
initialize
  value := 0
increment
  "Increment the value of this counter by 1"
  value := value + 1
value
  "Return the value of this counter"
  ^value
As a reminder of our specification notation, the following specifies the type Counter above.
         self: Counter class \rightarrow c: Counter
new
    Ensures: c is freshly allocated and the value of c is 0.
initialize
            self: Counter
    Modifiable: the value of self.
    Effect: make the value of post(self) be 0.
              self: Counter
increment
    Modifiable: the value of self.
    Effect: make the value of post(self) be the value of pre(self) + 1.
value
          self: Counter \rightarrow i: Integer
    Ensures: i is the value of self.
```

- 1. This is a question about expressive power. Please give brief answers.
  - (a) (5 points) Why are syntactic sugars useful in programming language design and implementation?

(b) (5 points) In exploring the Squeak Smalltalk system, we discovered that calls to the whileTrue: method of BlockContext are usually "compiled in-line," instead of being processed normally by a call to the whileTrue: method's code. Is whileTrue: a syntactic sugar in Squeak Smalltalk? Is the compilation of calls to whileTrue: an eliminable feature of Squeak?

2. (5 points) In Haskell, write a function

> associated :: (Eq a) => a -> [(a,b)] -> [b]

such that associated x pairs is the list, in order, of the second elements of pairs in pairs, whose first element is equal to the argument x.

For example:

```
associated 3 ([]::[(Integer,Float)]) = []
associated 3 [(3,4), (5,7), (3,6), (9,3)] = [4, 6]
associated 2 [(1,'a'), (3,'c'), (2,'b'), (4,'d')] = ['b']
associated 'c' (zip ['c', 'c' ..] [1, 2 ..]) = [1, 2 ..]
```

3. (10 points) In Haskell, write a function

```
> mapN :: ([a] -> b) -> [[a]] -> [b]
```

that takes a function, f, and a finite list of lists of the function's argument type, arglists, and returns a list of the results of applying f to a the corresponding elements of each list in arglists. That is, the  $i^{th}$  element of the result list is the application of the f to the list of the  $i^{th}$  elements of arglists (in order). The length of the result list should be the length of shortest of the argument lists. For example,

```
mapN null ([]::[[Integer]]) = []
mapN (\(x:y:z:_) -> (x,y,z)) [[], [], [10], [], [1..]] = []
mapN (\(x:y:z:_) -> (x,y,z)) [[1,2,3],[4,5,6],[7,8,9,10]] = [(1,4,7),(2,5,8),(3,6,9)]
mapN null [[1,2,3], [4,5,6], [7,8,9,10]] = [False, False, False]
mapN sum [[1,2 ..], [7,8,9,10], [4,5 ..], [0,0 ..]] = [12,15,18,21]
mapN product [[1,1,1,1,1], [2, 4 ..], [3, 6 ..], [4, 8 ..]] = [24,192,648,1536,3000]
```

4. (25 points) Consider the following grammar for types in a simple programming language with variant record types.

Your task is to program the subtyping test for this grammar.

> (<:) :: TypeExpr -> TypeExpr -> Bool

Assuming the variant records are immutable, a variant record type VariantType $[l_1:T_1, \ldots, l_n:T_n] <:$ VariantType $[l_1:T'_1, \ldots, l_n:T'_n, l_{n+1}:T'_{n+1}, \ldots, l_m:T'_m]$  if  $n \leq m$  and for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $T_i <: T'_i$ . Although the above presentation assumes that the label-type bindings are conveniently ordered, we consider the type TypedLabels to be unordered. For example, the types VariantType[("i",Int), ("b",Bool)] and VariantType[("b",Bool), ("i",Int)] are the same type. We use the usual contravariant rule for subtyping of function types; that is, (T:-S) <: (T':-S') if T' <: T and S <: S'. Every type is considered to be a subtype of itself, but there are no other subtyping relationships (we ignore transitivity).

There are examples on the next page.

The following are examples for the problem on the previous page.

```
IntType <: VariantType [] = False</pre>
IntType <: BoolType = False</pre>
IntType <: IntType = True</pre>
BoolType <: BoolType = True
VariantType [] <: VariantType [("i",IntType)] = True</pre>
VariantType [("i",IntType)] <: VariantType [] = False</pre>
VariantType [("b",BoolType), ("i",IntType)]
   <: VariantType [("i",IntType), ("b",BoolType)] = True
VariantType [("b",BoolType), ("i",IntType)]
   <: VariantType [("i",IntType), ("norm", IntType),("b",BoolType)] = True
(IntType :-> VariantType [("norm", IntType)])
   <: (IntType :-> VariantType [("norm", IntType), ("ex", IntType)]) = True
(IntType :-> VariantType [("norm", (IntType :-> BoolType))])
   <: (IntType :-> VariantType [("norm", (IntType :-> BoolType)), ("ex", IntType]]) = True
VariantType [("f", (VariantType [("i",IntType)]
                    :-> VariantType [("norm", (IntType :-> BoolType))]))]
   <: VariantType
      [("b", BoolType),
       ("f", (VariantType []
              :-> VariantType [("norm", (IntType :-> BoolType)), ("ex", IntType)]))] = True
```

5. (10 points) Using the equational rules for the lambda calculus, formally derive the normal form (if any) for the following.

$$(\lambda f \cdot \lambda x \cdot f \ (f \ x)) \ (\lambda t \cdot t)$$

If this term does not have a normal form, show using the reduction rules why it does not.

6. (15 points) Consider the specification of the class JoinPoint below. Abstractly, a join point has three parts: a receiver, which is an object identity, a method name, which is a symbol, and an array of argument object identities.

receiver:sent:withArgs: self: JoinPoint class, receiver: Object, mn: Symbol, args: Array  $\rightarrow$  jp: JoinPoint

**Ensures:** obj(jp) is freshly allocated, and the receiver of post(jp) is *receiver*, the message name of post(jp) is *mn*, and the arguments of post(jp) are *args*.

receiver:sent: self: JoinPoint class, receiver: Object, mn: Symbol  $\rightarrow jp$ : JoinPoint **Ensures:** obj(jp) is freshly allocated, and the receiver of post(jp) is receiver, the message name of post(jp) is mn, and the arguments of post(jp) is an empty array.

receiver  $self: JoinPoint \rightarrow res: Object$ 

Ensures:	obj(res) is the receiver of self.
methodName	self: $JoinPoint \rightarrow res: Symbol$
Ensures:	res is the methodName of self.

```
arguments self: JoinPoint \rightarrow res: Array
```

Ensures: res is an array of the object identities of the arguments of self.

printOn: self: JoinPoint, str: WriteStream

Modifiable: str:

Effect: post(str) is changed to be pre(str) with a string representing *self* written to its end.

The following are some examples, where after each chunk of code the output follows the "==>".

```
| c |
c := Counter new.
JoinPoint receiver: c sent: #initialize withArgs: #()
 ==> a JoinPoint[a Counter(0) performing: #initialize withArguments: #()]
| c |
c := Counter new.
JoinPoint receiver: c sent: #increment
==> a JoinPoint[a Counter(0) performing: #increment withArguments: #()]
| c jp |
c := Counter new.
jp := JoinPoint receiver: c sent: #increment.
jp receiver.
 ==> a Counter(0)
| c jp |
c := Counter new.
jp := JoinPoint receiver: c sent: #increment.
jp methodName.
 ==> #increment
| c jp args |
c := Counter new.
jp := JoinPoint receiver: c sent: #initialize withArgs: #(1 2).
args := jp arguments.
args at: 1 put: 7.
jp arguments
==> #(1 2)
```

There is space for your answer on the next page.

class name: JoinPoint superclass: \_\_\_\_\_\_ instance variable names: \_\_\_\_\_\_

"class methods"

"instance methods"

7. (20 points) Consider the specification of the class AdvisedCounter below. Assume that AdvisedCounter is a subclass of the class Counter defined on the first page of this test. An AdvisedCounter is like a Counter, but it also contains a set of blocks, called its "advice", which it calls before running the inherited code for the method increment.

addBeforeAdvice: self: AdvisedCounter, blk: Block
Requires: blk takes one argument, of type JoinPoint.
Modifiable: the advice of self
Ensures: post(self)'s advice is pre(self)'s advice plus blk.
increment self: Counter
Modifiable: the value of self.
Effect: send the message value: with a JoinPoint representing this method invocation (i.e.,
JoinPoint receiver: self sent: #increment) to each of the blocks in pre(self)'s advice,
and then make the value of post(self) be the value of pre(self) + 1.

The following is an example.

Implement the specification of AdvisedCounter by writing a subclass of Counter in Smalltalk below. Write the minimal amount of code; that is, don't write out code for methods that AdvisedCounter can inherit from Counter.

There is space for your answer on the next page.

class name: AdvisedCounter superclass: Counter instance variable names:

"class methods"

"instance methods"

8. (5 points) Is AdvisedCounter a subtype of Counter?