

CAP 4453


Robot Vision

Dr. Gonzalo Vaca-Castaño
gonzalo.vacacastano@ucf.edu



Administrative details

- REU low inscription rate
- Homework 1 graded
- Homework 2 questions?
- Any Doubts from last classes?



Robot Vision

5. Edge detection



Credits

- Some slides comes directly from:
 - Yogesh S Rawat (UCF)
 - Noah Snavely (Cornell)
 - Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)
 - Mubarak Shah (UCF)
 - S. Seitz
 - James Tompkin
 - Ulas Bagci
 - L. Lazebnik
 - D. Hoeim



Outline

- Image as a function
- Extracting useful information from Images
 - ~~Histogram~~
 - ~~Filtering (linear)~~
 - ~~Smoothing/Removing noise~~
 - ~~Convolution/Correlation~~
 - ~~Image Derivatives/Gradient~~
 - Edges


Edge Detection

- Identify sudden changes in an image
 - Semantic and shape information
 - Mark the border of an object
 - More compact than pixels




Origin of edges

- Edges are caused by a variety of factors





Type of edges

- Edge models



Why edge detection ?


- Extract useful information from images
 - Recognizing objects
- Recover geometry



Close up of edges




Close up of edges




Close up of edges



Close up of edges






Characterizing edges



Slide Credit: James Hays


Intensity profile




1D derivative filter

1	0	-1
---	---	----

With a little bit of gaussian noise




An extreme case



Where is the edge?

Solution: smooth and derivate



To find edges, look for peaks in $\frac{d}{dx}(f * g)$

The Sobel filter

1	0	-1
2	0	-2
1	0	-1

Sobel filter

=

1
2
1

Smoothing

*

1	0	-1
---	---	----

1D derivative filter

1	0	-1
2	0	-2
1	0	-1

Sobel filter

=

1	0	-1
---	---	----

1D derivative filter

*


1
2
1

Smoothing


Derivative of Gaussian (DoG) filter

Derivative theorem of convolution: $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(h \star f) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}h) \star f$


input




derivative of
Gaussian



output (same
as before)



Solution: smoothing




1 pixel

3 pixels

7 pixels

Smoothing remove noise, but also blur the edge

How to obtain the edges of an image?



Several derivative filters

Sobel

1	0	-1
2	0	-2
1	0	-1

1	2	1
0	0	0
-1	-2	-1

Scharr

3	0	-3
10	0	-10
3	0	-3

3	10	3
0	0	0
-3	-10	-3

Prewitt

1	0	-1
1	0	-1
1	0	-1

1	1	1
0	0	0
-1	-1	-1

Roberts

0	1
-1	0

1	0
0	-1

- How are the other filters derived and how do they relate to the Sobel filter?
- How would you derive a derivative filter that is larger than 3x3?



Edge detectors

- Gradient operators
 - Prewit
 - Sobel
- Marr-Hildreth (Laplacian of Gaussian)
- Canny (Gradient of Gaussian)

Gradient operators edge detector algorithm

1. Compute derivatives

- In x and y directions
- Use Sobel or Prewitt filters

2. Find gradient magnitude

3. Threshold gradient magnitude

Sobel

1	0	-1
2	0	-2
1	0	-1

1	2	1
0	0	0
-1	-2	-1

Prewitt

1	0	-1
1	0	-1
1	0	-1

1	1	1
0	0	0
-1	-1	-1



Computing image gradients

1. Select your favorite derivative filters.

$$\mathbf{S}_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Convolve with the image to compute derivatives.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \mathbf{S}_x \otimes f$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \mathbf{S}_y \otimes f$$

3. Form the image gradient, and compute its direction and amplitude.

$$\nabla f = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right]$$

gradient

$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} / \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)$$


direction

$$\|\nabla f\| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2}$$


amplitude

Sobel edge detector

1. Compute derivatives




Step 1



Sobel edge detector

2. Find gradient magnitude



Step 2




$$\Delta = \sqrt{\left(\frac{d}{dx} I\right)^2 + \left(\frac{d}{dy} I\right)^2}$$




Sobel edge detector


3. Threshold




Sobel Edge Detector



Prewitt edge detector



Prewitt edge detector






Edge detectors

- Gradient operators
 - Prewit
 - Sobel
- **Marr-Hildreth (Laplacian of Gaussian)**
- Canny (Gradient of Gaussian)

Where are the edges ?


- First derivative ?
 - Maxima or minima



Where are the edges ?

- First derivative ?
 - Maxima or minima

- Second derivative?
 - Zero-crossing





Laplace filter

Basically a second derivative filter.

- We can use finite differences to derive it, as with first derivative filter.

first-order
finite difference

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + 0.5h) - f(x - 0.5h)}{h}$$



1D derivative filter

1	0	-1
---	---	----

second-order
finite difference

$$f''(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h) - 2f(x) + f(x - h)}{h^2}$$




Laplace filter

1	-2	1
---	----	---



Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) filter

As with derivative, we can combine Laplace filtering with Gaussian filtering



“zero crossings” at edges

Laplace and LoG filtering examples



Laplacian of Gaussian filtering



Laplace filtering

Laplacian of Gaussian vs Derivative of Gaussian




Laplacian of Gaussian filtering




Derivative of Gaussian filtering

Laplacian of Gaussian vs Derivative of Gaussian



Laplacian of Gaussian filtering



Derivative of Gaussian filtering

Zero crossings are more accurate at localizing edges



Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm


1. Smooth image by Gaussian filtering
2. Apply Laplacian to smoothed image
 - Used in mechanics, electromagnetics, wave theory, quantum mechanics
3. Find Zero crossings

Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

1. Smooth image by Gaussian filtering

- Gaussian smoothing

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{smoothed image} \\ \hat{S} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Gaussian filter} \\ \hat{g} \end{array} * \begin{array}{c} \text{image} \\ \hat{I} \end{array} \quad g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



2. Apply Laplacian to smoothed image

- Find Laplacian

$$\Delta^2 S = \overbrace{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} S}^{\text{second order derivative in } x} + \overbrace{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} S}^{\text{second order derivative in } y}$$

- ∇ is used for gradient (first derivative)
- Δ^2 is used for Laplacian (Second derivative)

Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

$$\Delta^2 S = \overbrace{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} S}^{\text{second order derivative in } x} + \overbrace{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} S}^{\text{second order derivative in } y}$$

- ∇ is used for gradient (first derivative)
- Δ^2 is used for Laplacian (Second derivative)

smoothed image \hat{S} = Gaussian filter \hat{g} * image \hat{I}

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$\Delta^2 S = \Delta^2 (g * I) = (\Delta^2 g) * I$$

This is more efficient computationally


Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

$$\Delta^2 S = \Delta^2 (g * I) = (\Delta^2 g) * I$$

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

← The second derivative of a gaussian

$$\text{LoG}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\pi\sigma^4} \left[1 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

$$\Delta^2 S = \Delta^2 (g * I) = (\Delta^2 g) * I$$

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

The second derivative of a gaussian

$$\text{LoG}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\pi\sigma^4} \left[1 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

$$\Delta^2 S = \Delta^2 (g * I) = (\Delta^2 g) * I$$

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

The second derivative of a gaussian

$$\text{LoG}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\pi\sigma^4} \left[1 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Given a σ , Compute LoG for each x, y to obtain a Kernel

Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

$$\Delta^2 S = \Delta^2 (g * I) = (\Delta^2 g) * I$$

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

The second derivative of a gaussian

$$\text{LoG}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\pi\sigma^4} \left[1 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

For $\sigma = 1.4$

$$\text{LoG}(0, 0) \approx -0.1624$$

Given a σ , Compute LoG for each x, y to obtain a Kernel

Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

$$\Delta^2 S = \Delta^2 (g * I) = (\Delta^2 g) * I$$

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

The second derivative of a gaussian

$$\text{LoG}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{\pi\sigma^4} \left[1 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

For $\sigma = 1.4$

0	0	3	2	2	2	3	0	0
0	2	3	5	5	5	3	2	0
3	3	5	3	0	3	5	3	3
2	5	3	-12	-23	-12	3	5	2
2	5	0	-23	-40	-23	0	5	2
2	5	3	-12	-23	-12	3	5	2
3	3	5	3	0	3	5	3	3
0	2	3	5	5	5	3	2	0
0	0	3	2	2	2	3	0	0

Given a σ , Compute LoG for each x,y to obtain a Kernel



Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

1. Smooth image by Gaussian filtering
2. Apply Laplacian to smoothed image
 - Used in mechanics, electromagnetics, wave theory, quantum mechanics
- 3. Find Zero crossings**
 - Scan along each row, record an edge point at the location of zero-crossing.
 - Repeat above step along each column



Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

3. Find Zero crossings

- Scan along each row, record an edge point at the location of zero-crossing.
- Repeat above step along each column

```
from skimage.filters import laplace
import numpy as np

lap = np.sign(laplace(image))
lap = np.pad(lap, ((0, 1), (0, 1)))
diff_x = lap[:-1, :-1] - lap[:-1, 1:] < 0
diff_y = lap[:-1, :-1] - lap[1:, :-1] < 0

edges = np.logical_or(diff_x, diff_y).astype(float)
```

Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

3. Find Zero crossings

- Scan along each row, record an edge point at the location of zero-crossing.
- Repeat above step along each column

```
from skimage.filters import laplace
import numpy as np

lap = np.sign(laplace(image))
lap = np.pad(lap, ((0, 1), (0, 1)))
diff_x = lap[:-1, :-1] - lap[:-1, 1:] < 0
diff_y = lap[:-1, :-1] - lap[1:, :-1] < 0

edges = np.logical_or(diff_x, diff_y).astype(float)
```

returns -1 if $x < 0$, 0 if $x == 0$, 1 if $x > 0$.

Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

3. Find Zero crossings

- Scan along each row, record an edge point at the location of zero-crossing.
- Repeat above step along each column

```
from skimage.filters import laplace
import numpy as np

lap = np.sign(laplace(image))
lap = np.pad(lap, ((0, 1), (0, 1)))
diff_x = lap[:-1, :-1] - lap[:-1, 1:] < 0
diff_y = lap[:-1, :-1] - lap[1:, :-1] < 0

edges = np.logical_or(diff_x, diff_y).astype(float)
```

returns -1 if $x < 0$, 0 if $x == 0$, 1 if $x > 0$.

Add extra row, and extra column

Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

3. Find Zero crossings

- Scan along each row, record an edge point at the location of zero-crossing.
- Repeat above step along each column

```
from skimage.filters import laplace
import numpy as np
```

```
lap = np.sign(laplace(image))
```

```
lap = np.pad(lap, ((0, 1), (0, 1)))
```

```
diff_x = lap[:-1, :-1] - lap[:-1, 1:] < 0
```

```
diff_y = lap[:-1, :-1] - lap[1:, :-1] < 0
```

```
edges = np.logical_or(diff_x, diff_y).astype(float)
```

returns -1 if $x < 0$, 0 if $x == 0$, 1 if $x > 0$.

Add extra row, and extra column

Zero-crossing X direction

Zero-crossing Y direction



Marr-Hildreth edge detector algorithm

3. Find Zero crossings (Another implementation)

- Four cases of zero-crossings :
 - $\{+,-\}$
 - $\{+,0,-\}$
 - $\{-,+\}$
 - $\{-,0,+\}$
- Slope of zero-crossing $\{a, -b\}$ is $|a+b|$.
- To mark an edge
 - compute slope of zero-crossing
 - Apply a threshold to slope

Example

I



$I * (\Delta^2 g)$




Zero crossings of $\Delta^2 S$



Example



$\sigma = 1$



$\sigma = 3$



$\sigma = 6$





Edge detectors


- Gradient operators
 - Prewit
 - Sobel
- Marr-Hildreth (Laplacian of Gaussian)
- **Canny (Gradient of Gaussian)**

Design Criteria for Edge Detection

- Good detection: find all real edges, ignoring noise or other artifacts
- Good localization
 - as close as possible to the true edges
 - one point only for each true edge point




True edge



Poor robustness to noise




Poor localization




Too many responses

45 years of boundary detection

[Pre deep learning]



Precision Recall





How many selected items are relevant?

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{true positives}}{\text{true positives} + \text{false positives}}$$

How many relevant items are selected?

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{true positives}}{\text{true positives} + \text{false negatives}}$$

Precision Recall





How many selected items are relevant?

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{true positives}}{\text{true positives} + \text{false positives}}$$

How many relevant items are selected?

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{true positives}}{\text{true positives} + \text{false negatives}}$$

Precision Recall




How many selected items are relevant?

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{true positives}}{\text{true positives} + \text{false positives}}$$


How many relevant items are selected?

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{true positives}}{\text{true positives} + \text{false negatives}}$$

Edge Detection with Deep Learning




Canny edge detector




Design Criteria for Edge Detection

- Good detection: find all real edges, ignoring noise or other artifacts
- Good localization
 - as close as possible to the true edges
 - one point only for each true edge point




True edge



Poor robustness to noise





Poor localization



Too many responses

Problems



- We get thick edges
- Redundant, especially if we going to be searching in places where edges are found



Solution

- Identify the local maximums
- Called “non-maximal suppression”



Canny Edge detector algorithm

1. Smooth image with Gaussian filter
2. Compute derivative of filtered image
3. Find magnitude and orientation of gradient
4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”
5. Apply “Hysteresis Threshold”



Canny Edge detector algorithm

1. Smooth image with Gaussian filter

$$S = I * g(x, y) = g(x, y) * I \quad g(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

2. Compute derivative of filtered

$$\nabla S = \nabla(g * I) = (\nabla g) * I \quad \nabla g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_x \\ g_y \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\nabla S = \begin{bmatrix} g_x \\ g_y \end{bmatrix} * I = \begin{bmatrix} g_x * I \\ g_y * I \end{bmatrix}$$

Canny Edge detector algorithm

1. Smooth image with Gaussian filter


$$S = I * g(x, y) = g(x, y) * I$$

$$g(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$





2. Compute derivative of filtered image

$$\nabla S = \begin{bmatrix} g_x \\ g_y \end{bmatrix} * I = \begin{bmatrix} g_x * I \\ g_y * I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_x \\ g_y \end{bmatrix}$$




$g(x, y)$



Canny Edge detector algorithm

1. Smooth image with Gaussian filter
2. Compute derivative of filtered image






Canny Edge detector algorithm

1. Smooth image with Gaussian filter
2. Compute derivative of filtered image
- 3. Find magnitude and orientation of gradient**
4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”
5. Apply “Hysteresis Threshold”


Canny Edge detector algorithm

3. Find magnitude and orientation of gradient




Canny Edge detector algorithm

3. Find magnitude and orientation of gradient



Canny Edge detector algorithm

3. Find magnitude and orientation of gradient






Canny Edge detector algorithm


1. Smooth image with Gaussian filter
2. Compute derivative of filtered image
3. Find magnitude and orientation of gradient
4. **Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”**
5. Apply “Hysteresis Threshold”

Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”




Goal: keep pixels along the curve where magnitude is largest




How to: looking for a maximum along a slice normal to the curve

Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”



Goal: keep pixels along the curve where magnitude is largest




How to: looking for a maximum along a slice normal to the curve

That is the direction of the gradient !

Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

- Suppress the pixels in $|\nabla S|$ which are not local maximum




$$M(x, y) = \begin{cases} |\nabla S|(x, y) & \text{if } |\nabla S|(x, y) > |\Delta S|(x', y') \\ & \& |\Delta S|(x, y) > |\Delta S|(x'', y'') \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

x' and x'' are the neighbors of x along normal direction to an edge

Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

Consider a 3x3 set of pixels.




We need to examine triples along the directions shown to see if the center pixel is a peak (in magnitude) compared to its two neighbors.

Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

So, we need to isolate a triple, and ask about the three adjacent values.




There are 4 such directions, one triple per direction.

Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

So, consider the 4 directions




For each direction, we will ask whether the center mag value exceeds the mag value of neighbor on one side, and neighbor on other side; if yes, mark as peak.

Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”


For a center pixel, do we
ask the maxing question
for all four directions???



Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

No, we should only do the maxing test for the direction that is dictated by the direction of the edge




We always want to max-test in the direction perpendicular to the edge, i.e., across the edge. This means in the direction of the gradient vector.

Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

So, given these 4 possible directions, each direction will be called up, if the gradient vector coincides with the max-test direction.






Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”


Hence, we ascertain the
gradient vector's
direction, and then
depending on it, we
proceed to the max-test



Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”


We know the angles of each direction.



Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

We break up the
angle space into
4 cones of
directions





Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

For example, if it is found


that the gradient at the

center pixel is

between -22.5 and $+22.5$,

Which max-test

should we employ?





Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

So, put = sign in

For each pixel (i.e., double-for loop)

Get pixel's gradient direction , Dir

If - 22.5 < Dir <=22.5

Employ Horizontal Max-Test

else if +22.5 < Dir <=+67.5

And, remove Vertical

Employ Test involving SW and NE pixels

cone test, use "otherwise"

else if -67.5 < Dir <=-22.5


Employ Test involving SE and NW pixels

else **Employ Vertical test**

Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

- Suppress the pixels in $|\nabla S|$ which are not local maximum



$$M(x, y) = \begin{cases} |\nabla S|(x, y) & \text{if } |\nabla S|(x, y) > |\Delta S|(x', y') \\ & \& |\Delta S|(x, y) > |\Delta S|(x'', y'') \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

x' and x'' are the neighbors of x along normal direction to an edge

Canny Edge detector algorithm

4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”

$$|\Delta S| = \sqrt{S_x^2 + S_y^2}$$



M



For visualization

$$M \geq \text{Threshold} = 25$$



Comparison



Gradient Thresholding



With non-maximal suppression



Canny Edge detector algorithm

1. Smooth image with Gaussian filter
2. Compute derivative of filtered image
3. Find magnitude and orientation of gradient
4. Apply “Non-maximum Suppression”
5. **Apply “Hysteresis Threshold”**



Hysteresis Thresholding

- Edges tend to be continuous
- Still threshold the gradient
- Use a lower threshold if a neighboring point is an edge
- The “Canny Edge Detector” uses all of these heuristics



Canny Edge detector algorithm

5. Apply “Hysteresis Threshold”


- If the gradient at a pixel is
 - above “**High**”, declare it as an ‘**edge pixel**’
 - below “**Low**”, declare it as a “**non-edge-pixel**”
 - **between** “low” and “high”
 - Consider its neighbors iteratively then declare it an “edge pixel” if it is **connected** to an ‘edge pixel’ **directly** or via pixels **between** “low” and “high”.

Canny Edge detector algorithm


- Connectedness

5. Apply “Hysteresis Threshold”


- If the gradient at a pixel is
 - above “**High**”, declare it as an ‘**edge pixel**’
 - below “**Low**”, declare it as a “**non-edge-pixel**”
 - **between** “low” and “high”
 - Consider its neighbors iteratively then declare it an “edge pixel” if it is **connected** to an ‘edge pixel’ **directly** or via pixels **between** “low” and “high”.



4 connected



8 connected



6 connected



Canny Edge detector algorithm

5. Apply “Hysteresis Threshold”

- Scan the image from left to right, top-bottom.
 - The gradient magnitude at a pixel is above a high threshold declare that as an edge point
 - Then recursively consider the *neighbors* of this pixel.
 - If the gradient magnitude is above the low threshold declare that as an edge pixel.

Canny Edge detector algorithm

5. Apply “Hysteresis Threshold”



regular
 $M \geq 25$



Hysteresis
High = 35
Low = 15



Canny Edge detector algorithm



Before non-max suppression



After non-max suppression

Canny Edge detector algorithm



Final Canny Edge

- Threshold at low/high levels to get weak/strong edge pixels
- Do connected components, starting from strong edge pixels

Canny Edge detector algorithm

Final Canny Edge



Effect of σ (Gaussian kernel spread/size)



original

Canny with $\sigma = 1$

Canny with $\sigma = 2$

The choice of σ depends on desired behavior

- large σ detects large scale edges
- small σ detects fine features



Questions?