



CAP 4453 Robot Vision

Dr. Gonzalo Vaca-Castaño gonzalo.vacacastano@ucf.edu



Credits

- Some slides comes directly from these sources:
 - Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)
 - Kris Kitani.
 - Fredo Durand (MIT).
 - James Hays (Georgia Tech).
 - Yogesh S Rawat (UCF)
 - Noah Snavely (Cornell)





Short Review from last class



Image warping



How do we find point correspondences automatically?

Corner Detection: Basic Idea

- We should easily recognize the point by looking through a small window
- Shifting a window in *any direction* should give *a large change* in intensity







Source: A. Efros

Harris Detector

1. Compute x and y derivatives of image

$$I_x = G_{\sigma}^x * I \qquad I_y = G_{\sigma}^y * I$$

2. Compute products of derivatives at every pixel

$$I_{x^2} = I_x \cdot I_x \quad I_{y^2} = I_y \cdot I_y \quad I_{xy} = I_x \cdot I_y$$

3. Compute the sums of the products of derivatives at each pixel

$$S_{xy} = G_{\sigma'} * I_{xy}$$

$$S_{y^2} = G_{\sigma'} * I_{y^2}$$
$$S_{x^2} = G_{\sigma'} * I_{x^2}$$

4. Define the matrix at each pixel

$$M(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} S_{x^2}(x, y) & S_{xy}(x, y) \\ S_{xy}(x, y) & S_{y^2}(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$$

- 5. Compute the response of the detector at each pixel $R = \det M k(\operatorname{trace} M)^{2}$
- 6. Threshold on value of R; compute non-max suppression.





Use threshold on eigenvalues to detect corners





Harris & Stephens (1988)

$$R = \det(M) - \kappa \operatorname{trace}^2(M)$$

Kanade & Tomasi (1994)

 $R = \min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$

Nobel (1998)

R =

 $\frac{\det(M)}{\operatorname{trace}(M) + \epsilon}$

 $\det M = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$ trace $M = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$

$$det\left(\begin{bmatrix}a & b\\c & d\end{bmatrix}\right) = ad - bc$$

$$trace\left(\begin{bmatrix}a & b\\c & d\end{bmatrix}\right) = a + d$$



Harris corner detection and translation

- What happens if image is translated?
- Derivatives, second moment matrix obtained through convolution, which is *translation equivariant*
- Eigenvalues based only on derivatives so cornerness is *invariant*
- Thus Harris corner detection location is *equivariant to translation,* and response is *invariant to translation*





What about rotation?

- Now every patch is rotated, so problem?
- Recall properties of second moment matrix
- Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of M
 - Define shift directions with the smallest and largest change in error
 - x_{max} = direction of largest increase in E (across the edge)
 - λ_{max} = amount of increase in direction x_{max}
 - x_{min} = direction of smallest increase in E (along the edge)
 - λ_{min} = amount of increase in direction x_{min}







- What happens to eigenvalues and eigenvectors when a patch rotates?
- Eigenvectors represent the *direction* of maximum / minimum change in appearance, so they rotate *with the patch*
- Eigenvalues represent the corresponding *magnitude* of maximum/minimum change so they *stay constant*
- Corner response is only dependent on the eigenvalues so is *invariant to* rotation
- Corner location is as before equivariant to rotation.



What about scaling?

• What was one patch earlier is now many



Not invariant to scaling



implementation

For each level of the Gaussian pyramid

compute feature response (e.g. Harris, Laplacian)

For each level of the Gaussian pyramid

if local maximum and cross-scale

save scale and location of feature (x,y,s)



Implementation

• Instead of computing *f* for larger and larger windows, we can implement using a fixed window size with a Gaussian pyramid











Blob detection



Laplacian of Gaussian

• "Blob" detector





• Find maxima and minima of LoG operatoriaking space and scale



Characteristic scale

• We define the characteristic scale as the scale that produces peak of Laplacian response



T. Lindeberg (1998). <u>"Feature detection with automatic scale selection."</u> International Journal of Computer Vision **30** (2): pp 77--116.



optimal scale



Full size image



3/4 size image





cross-scale maximum





Robot Vision

11. Feature points description



Outline

- Motivation
- Detecting key points
 - Harris corner detector
 - Blob detection
- Feature descriptors
 - HOG
 - MOPS
- SIFT

Matching feature points

We know how to detect good points Next question: **How to match them?**



Two interrelated questions:

- 1. How do we *describe* each feature point?
- 2. How do we *match* descriptions?





Feature descriptor







Feature matching



	<i>y</i> ₁	<i>y</i> ₂
<i>x</i> ₁	$d(x_1, y_1)$	$d(x_1, y_2)$
<i>x</i> ₂	$d(x_2, y_1)$	$d(x_2, y_2)$







Feature Descriptor





Feature detection and description

- Harris corner detection gives:
 - Location of each detected corner
 - Orientation of the corner (given by \mathbf{x}_{max})
 - Scale of the corner (the image scale which gives the maximum response at this location)
- Want feature descriptor that is
 - Invariant to photometric transformations, translation, rotation, scaling
 - Discriminative



Multiscale Oriented PatcheS descriptor

- Describe a corner by the patch around that pixel
- Scale invariance by using scale identified by corner detector
- Rotation invariance by using orientation identified by corner detector
- Photometric invariance by subtracting mean and dividing by standard deviation





Multiscale Oriented PatcheS descriptor

- Take 40x40 square window around detected feature at the right scale
- Scale to 1/5 size (using prefiltering)
- Rotate to horizontal
- Sample 8x8 square window centered at feature
- Intensity normalize the window by subtracting the mean, dividing by the standard deviation in the window





MOPS



Figure 1. Multi-scale Oriented Patches (MOPS) extracted at five pyramid levels from one of the Matier images. The boxes show the feature orientation and the region from which the descriptor vector is sampled.



Towards a better feature descriptor

- Match *pattern of edges*
 - Edge orientation clue to shape
 - Invariant to almost all photometric transformations
- Be resilient to small deformations
 - Deformations might move pixels around, but slightly
 - Deformations might change edge orientations, but slightly

Invariance to deformation

- Precise edge orientations are not resilient to out-of-plane rotations and deformations
- But we can *quantize* edge orientation: only record rough orientation











Invariance to deformation

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < \theta < 2\pi/N \\ 1 & \text{if } 2\pi/N < \theta < 4\pi/N \\ 2 & \text{if } 4\pi/N < \theta < 6\pi/N \\ & \dots \\ N-1 & \text{if } 2(N-1)\pi/N \end{cases}$$



Invariance to deformation

- Deformation can also move pixels around
- Again, instead of precise location of each pixel, only want to record rough location
- Divide patch into a grid of *cells*
- Record *counts* of each orientation in each cell: *orientation histograms*





Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG)

• Revisiting histogram







histogram



Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG)

- Given an image I, and a pixel location (i,j).
- We want to compute the HOG feature for that pixel.
- The main operations can be described as a sequence of five steps.





Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG)

• Step 1: Extract a square window (called "block") of some size.




• Step 2: Divide block into a square grid of sub-blocks (called "cells") (2x2 grid in our example, resulting in four cells).





• Step 3: Compute orientation histogram of each cell.



Gradient direction

 $\theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{f_x}{f_y}$



• Step 3: Compute orientation histogram of each cell.



Gradient direction

 $\theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{f_x}{f_y}$

- Cell size is 8x8
- Quantize the gradient orientation into 9 bins (0-180)



The vote is the gradient magnitude





• Step 4: Concatenate the four histograms of each block.





Let vector v be concatenation of the four histograms from step 4.

• Step 5: Normalize v.

Here we have three options for how to do it:

- Option 1: Divide v by its Euclidean norm.
- Option 2: Divide v by its L1 norm (the L1 norm is the sum of all absolute values of v).
- Option 3:
 - Divide v by its Euclidean norm.
 - In the resulting vector, clip any value over 0.2
 - Then, renormalize the resulting vector by dividing again by its Euclidean norm.



Summary of HOG computation

- Step 1: Extract a square window (called "block") of some size around the pixel location of interest.
- Step 2: Divide block into a square grid of sub-blocks (called "cells") (2x2 grid in our example, resulting in four cells).
- Step 3: Compute orientation histogram of each cell.
- Step 4: Concatenate the four histograms.
- Step 5: normalize v using one of the three options described previously.



- Parameters and design options:
- Angles range from 0 to 180 or from 0 to 360 degrees?
 - In the Dalal & Triggs paper, a range of 0 to 180 degrees is used, and
 - HOGs are used for detection of pedestrians.
- Number of orientation bins.
 - Usually 9 bins, each bin covering 20 degrees.
- Cell size.
 - Cells of size 8x8 pixels are often used.
- Block size.
 - Blocks of size 2x2 cells (16x16 pixels) are often used.
- Usually a HOG feature has 36 dimensions.
 - 4 cells * 9 orientation bins.





Histogram of Oriented Gradients

***!		/#11	
11-1		· · · · · · · / # X X · · · ·	
***/		······	
*1*1			
医复系兼美国主	1+1+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
* \$ 7 7 * * * *	(x/#+/#+)	1	
4181100		·/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1211110			
#X1XX1=	110-418#811		
TXIIXX -	111-12-11	*****111111	
1111111	1111 Sameta	シャット・アーチをもしアー	
1111112	\$1\$×1 \\	X++=/2111XX1+=	
81 1 2 1 2 2	\$ 1 1 8 1 1 4 1 1 1	1	
XX111×××	18181 - /1	Is + I I make	
1111241	\$\$\$\$\$******	「本へ・・ノ林米リシリ・・	
1111000	11111NNNHH	2111112#188	
IIX down St	11144444	-11111 1 mm + 1 mm	-
X 1 1 + V	11111111111111	+1XXX/	
11118-1	\$11111848-1	211331	
XXXIAAA	11	2 - 1 - 1 - 1	
11#1 5	11	KARY & MANNE	
11884-4	1/****	*** * ~~ * * * ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
1181333	12*****11111	***/、×===~~~~~	
XIIIE	22******//////	11 1111	
118	15****//////	11	
11800-00	11-******	11 144 28851	
1880000	シュールノノノオ美ノノ	1# 111 18****	X
1100000		1 ++1 1888-8	-
1111	ノーおいちょうかまたり	1 1400 1	
1181800	~**** (11++++)	and a second sec	1
1221222	111#112#811	× 7%	Ŷ



A feature detector and a feature descriptor



Lowe., D. 2004, IJCV



cited > 58K

Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints

DAVID G. LOWE Computer Science Department, Environment of British Columbia, Manuscover, B.C., Canada Computer Science Departments

Received Jonaery 10, 2003; Breited January 7, 2004; Accepted January 22, 2004

Abstract. This paper presents a method for curracting distinctive invariant leatures from images that can be used to perform achieve matching between different views of an object or scatte. The features are invariant to image scale and tratefore, and are shown to provide robust marching across a motivarial to the provide robust marching across a motivarial provide robust in 20 viewspoint, addition of robust, and charge in Hamination. The features are highly distinctive, in the scene that a single feature can be correctly matched with high probability against a large database of leatures from many images. This paper also describes an approach to using these features for object manysition. The manysition proceeds by matched with high probability against a large database of leatures from many images. This paper also describes an approach to using these features for object manysition. The manysition proceeds by matched with legh global with read probability against a large database of leatures algorithm, fedbrowed by a Hough transform to identify clusters beinging to a single object, and featily performing writication through leaves solution for consistent posteriors. This approach to many images fragments and transform or an object among a cast nearest solution for consistent poster parameters. This approach to many images an object among cluster and occlusion to the advisoring near train-the approach to many industry identify industry from annotes.

Keywordie invariant leatures, object recognition, scale invariance, image matching

J. Introduction

Image matching to a fandamental aspect of many problents in computer vision, including object or scene recognition, solving for 3D structure from malkiple images, stores correspondence, and motion tracking. This poper describes image leatents that have many properties that make them saisable for muching differing images of an object or ocene. The features are inturiout to image scaling and rotation, and partially invariant to change in illumination and 3D carners viewpoint. They are well localized in both the spatial and frequency domains, reducing the probability of disruption by oechasion, clutter, or tonise. Large numbers of features can be estracted from typical images with efficient algorithms. In solution, the learners are highly distinctive, which allows a single learner to be correctly mached with high probability against a large distabase of features. providing a basis for object and scene recognition.

The cost of extracting these features is minimized by taking a case ade lifering approach, in which the more expensive operations are applied only at locations that post an initial test. Following are the maper stapps of computation used to generate the set of image features:

- Scale-space extreme detection: The first stage of computative searches over all scales and image locations. It is implemented efficiently by using a difference-of Gaussian function to identify potential interest points that are invariant in scale and articulation.
- Engoter localization: At each candidate instation, a detailed model is fit to determine location and scale. Response are selected based on measures of their stability.
- Orientetion assignment: One or more orientations are assigned to each keypoint location based on-local image gradient directions. All fature operations are performed on image data that has been reasolvened relative in the assigned orientation, scale, and location for each feature. Beeely providing invariance to dese transformations.



- Image content is transformed into local feature coordinates
- Invariant to
 - translation
 - rotation
 - scale, and
 - other imaging parameters



Image content is transformed into local feature coordinates





• Procedure at High Level







How to find patch sizes at which *f* response is equal?

What is a good f?





Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)







Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)





Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)

1.0







Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)







Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)





Function responses for increasing scale (scale signature)

CAP4453



What is a useful signature function f?





Blob detection



Formally...







Highest response when the signal has the same **characteristic scale** as the filter



What is a useful signature function f?

"Blob" detector is common for corners

• Laplacian (2nd derivative) of Gaussian (LoG)





Find local maxima in position-scale space





What happens if you apply different Laplacian filters?



Full size

3/4 size





What happened when you applied different Laplacian filters?

Full size

3/4 size



2.1



9.8



17.0









2.1



















optimal scale



Full size image



3/4 size image



optimal scale



Full size image



3/4 size image





cross-scale maximum

Scale Invariant Detection

• Functions for determining scale f = Kernel * ImageKernels:

$$\nabla^2 g = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}$$
(Laplacian)

$$DoG = G(x, y, k\sigma) - G(x, y, \sigma)$$
(Difference of Gaussians)
where Gaussian

$$G(x, y, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
Note: The LoG and DoG operators are both rotation equivariant





Alternative to compute Laplacian of Gaussian

- Approximate LoG with Difference-of-Gaussian (DoG).
- 1. Blur image with σ Gaussian kernel
- 2. Blur image with ko Gaussian kernel
- 3. Subtract 2. from 1.



=







Scale-Space





Find local maxima in position-scale space of DoG




Results: Difference of Gaussians

- Larger circles = larger scale
- Descriptors with maximal scale response





SIFT Orientation estimation

- Compute gradient orientation histogram
- Select dominant orientation $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$







SIFT Orientation Normalization

- Compute gradient orientation histogram
- Select dominant orientation Θ
- Normalize: rotate to fixed orientation





SIFT Detector

- In addition to position x,y of the feature,
 - Scale σ (determined by smoothing value)
 - Orientation of dominant gradient $\boldsymbol{\theta}$



SIFT descriptor

- Compute on local 16 x 16 window around detection.
- Rotate and scale window according to discovered orientation Θ and scale σ (gain invariance).
- Compute gradients weighted by a Gaussian of variance half the window (for smooth falloff).





SIFT descriptor

- 4x4 array of gradient orientation histograms weighted by gradient magnitude.
- Bin into 8 orientations x 4x4 array = 128 dimensions.



Showing only 2x2 here but is 4x4



SIFT Descriptor Extraction





Reduce effect of illumination

- 128-dim vector normalized to 1
- Threshold gradient magnitudes to avoid excessive influence of high gradients
 - After normalization, clamp gradients > 0.2
 - Renormalize





Review: Local Descriptors

- Most features can be thought of as
 - templates,
 - histograms (counts),
 - or combinations
- The ideal descriptor should be
 - Robust and Distinctive
 - Compact and Efficient
- Most available descriptors focus on edge/gradient information
 - Capture texture information
 - Color rarely used





References

Basic reading:Szeliski textbook, Sections 4.1.



Questions?