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## Credits

- Some slides comes directly from these sources:
- Ioannis (Yannis) Gkioulekas (CMU)
- Noah Snavely (Cornell)
- Marco Zuliani


## Short Review from last class

## 2D image transformations



| Name | Matrix | \# D.O.F. | Preserves: | Icon |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| translation | $[\boldsymbol{I} \mid \boldsymbol{t}]_{2 \times 3}$ | 2 | orientation $+\cdots$ | $\square$ |
| rigid (Euclidean) | $[\boldsymbol{R} \mid \boldsymbol{t}]_{2 \times 3}$ | 3 | lengths $+\cdots$ | $\square$ |
| similarity | $[s \boldsymbol{R} \mid \boldsymbol{t}]_{2 \times 3}$ | 4 | angles $+\cdots$ | $\square$ |
| affine | $[\boldsymbol{A}]_{2 \times 3}$ | 6 | parallelism $+\cdots$ | $\square$ |
| projective | $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}]_{3 \times 3}$ | 8 | straight lines | $\square$ |

These transformations are a nested set of groups

- Closed under composition and inverse is a member


## Projective transformations (aka homographies

Projective transformations are combinations of

- affine transformations; and
- projective wraps

Properties of projective transformations:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c \\
d & e & f \\
g & h & i
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
w
\end{array}\right]
$$

8 DOF: vectors (and therefore matrices) are defined up to scale)

- origin does not necessarily map to origin
- lines map to lines
- parallel lines do not necessarily map to parallel lines
- ratios are not necessarily preserved
- compositions of projective transforms are also projective transforms


# Robot Vision 

10. Image warping II

## Outline

- Linear algebra
- Image transformations
- 2D transformations.
- Projective geometry 101.
- Transformations in projective geometry.
- Classification of 2D transformations.
- Determining unknown 2D transformations.
- Determining unknown image warps.


## Determining unknown transformations

Suppose we have two triangles: ABC and DEF.


## Determining unknown transformations

Suppose we have two triangles: ABC and DEF.

- What type of transformation will map A to D, B to E, and C to F?


Simple case: translations


How do we solve for $\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathrm{y}_{t}\right)$ ?

Simple case: translations


Displacement of match $i=\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\prime}-\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)$

$$
\left(\mathrm{x}_{t}, \mathbf{y}_{t}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}_{i}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\prime}-\mathbf{y}_{i}\right)
$$

Another view


$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{i}+\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}} & =\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{y}_{i}+\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{t}} & =\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

- System of linear equations
- What are the knowns? Unknowns?
- How many unknowns? How many equations (per match)?

Another view


$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{i}+\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}} & =\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{y}_{i}+\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{t}} & =\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Problem: more equations than unknowns
- "Overdetermined" system of equations
- We will find the least squares solution


## Least squares formulation

- For each point

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}\right) \\
\mathbf{x}_{i}+\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}}=\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{y}_{i}+\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{t}}=\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

- we define the residuals as

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right) & =\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}+\mathbf{x}_{t}\right)-\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} \\
r_{\mathbf{y}_{i}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{t}\right) & =\left(\mathbf{y}_{i}+\mathbf{y}_{t}\right)-\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Least squares formulation

- Goal: minimize sum of squared residuals

$$
C\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{y}_{t}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right)^{2}+r_{\mathbf{y}_{i}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{t}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

- "Least squares" solution
- For translations, is equal to mean (average) displacement


## Least squares formulation

- Can also write as a matrix equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\vdots \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{t} \\
y_{t}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{1} \\
y_{1}^{\prime}-y_{1} \\
x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{2} \\
y_{2}^{\prime}-y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n \times 2}^{\prime}-x_{n} \\
y_{n}^{\prime}-y_{n}
\end{array}\right]} \\
\underset{2 \times 1}{\mathbf{L}}=\underset{2 n \times 1}{\mathbf{D}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Least squares

$$
\mathbf{A t}=\mathbf{b}
$$

- Find $\mathbf{t}$ that minimizes

$$
\|\mathbf{A t}-\mathbf{b}\|^{2}
$$

- To solve, form the normal equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{t}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Solving the linear system

Convert the system to a linear least-squares problem:

$$
E_{\mathrm{LLS}}=\|\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{b}\|^{2}
$$

Expand the error:

$$
E_{\mathrm{LLS}}=\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}\right) \boldsymbol{x}-2 \boldsymbol{x}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{b}\right)+\|\boldsymbol{b}\|^{2}
$$

Minimize the error:

$$
\text { Set derivative to } 0\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}\right) \boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{b}
$$

$$
\text { Solve for } \mathrm{x} \boldsymbol{x}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{b} \longleftarrow \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { Note: You almost never want to } \\
\text { compute the inverse of a matrix. }
\end{gathered}
$$



## Least Squares Error

$$
E_{\mathrm{LS}}=\sum_{i}\left\|f\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i} ; \boldsymbol{p}\right)-\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}
$$



Least Squares Error
What is
this?

$$
\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{LS}}=\sum_{i} \left\lvert\, \overbrace{\substack{\text { What is } \\
\text { this? }}}^{\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i} ; \boldsymbol{D}\right)-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\prime} \|^{2} \mid} \begin{aligned}
& \text { What is } \\
& \text { this? }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

$$
x_{1}^{\prime} \quad x_{3}^{\prime}
$$

$x_{1} \quad x_{3}$
$\|x\|=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}}$
Least Squares Error
$\square$
Euclidean
(L2) norm
$E_{\mathrm{LS}}=\sum_{i} \| \underset{\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i} ; \boldsymbol{p}\right)-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\prime} \|^{2}}{\uparrow}$
predicted
location
measured location


Least Squares Error


Least Squares Error

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\mathrm{LS}} & =\sum_{i}\left\|\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i} ; \boldsymbol{p}\right)-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& \text { What is the free variable? } \\
& \text { What do we want to optimize? }
\end{aligned}
$$



Find parameters that minimize squared error

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}=\underset{\boldsymbol{p}}{\arg \min } \sum_{i}\left\|\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i} ; \boldsymbol{p}\right)-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}
$$

(Warning: change of notation. $x$ is a vector of parameters!)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\mathrm{LLS}} & =\sum_{i}\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{b}_{i}\right|^{2} \\
& =\|\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{b}\|^{2} \quad \text { (matrix form) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Determining unknown transformations

Suppose we have two triangles: ABC and DEF.

- What type of transformation will map A to D, B to E, and C to F?
- How do we determine the unknown parameters?

Affine transform:

| uniform scaling + shearing |
| ---: |
| + rotation + translation | \(\quad\left[\begin{array}{ccc}a_{1} \& a_{2} \& a_{3} <br>

a_{4} \& a_{5} \& a_{6} <br>

0 \& 0 \& 1\end{array}\right] \quad\)| How many degrees of |
| :--- |
| freedom do we have? |

## Determining unknown transformations

Suppose we have two triangles: ABC and DEF.

- What type of transformation will map A to D, B to E, and C to F?
- How do we determine the unknown parameters?


point correspondences
- One point correspondence gives how many equations?
- How many point correspondences do we need?


## Determining unknown transformations

Suppose we have two triangles: ABC and DEF .

- What type of transformation will map A to D, B to E, and C to F?
- How do we determine the unknown parameters?

point correspondences
How do we solve this for M ?


## Affine transformations

- How many unknowns?
- How many equations per match?
- How many matches do we need?


## Affine transformations

- Residuals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{x_{i}}(a, b, c, d, e, f) & =\left(a x_{i}+b y_{i}+c\right)-x_{i}^{\prime} \\
r_{y_{i}}(a, b, c, d, e, f) & =\left(d x_{i}+e y_{i}+f\right)-y_{i}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Cost function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C(a, b, c, d, e, f)= \\
& \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r_{x_{i}}(a, b, c, d, e, f)^{2}+r_{y_{i}}(a, b, c, d, e, f)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Affine transformations

- Matrix form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 \\
x_{2} & y_{2} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{2} & y_{2} & 1 \\
& & & & & \\
& & & & & \\
x_{n} & y_{n} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{n} & y_{n} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
a \\
b \\
c \\
d \\
e \\
f
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{\prime} \\
y_{1}^{\prime} \\
x_{2}^{\prime} \\
y_{2}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}^{\prime} \\
y_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& \text { A } \\
& \mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Determining unknown transformations

Affine transformation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} \\
p_{4} & p_{5} & p_{6}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Why can we drop the last line?

Vectorize transformation parameters:

Stack equations from point correspondences:


General form of linear least squares
(Warning: change of notation. $x$ is a vector of parameters!)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\mathrm{LLS}} & =\sum_{i}\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{b}_{i}\right|^{2} \\
& =\|\mathbf{A x}-\boldsymbol{b}\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This function is quadratic.
How do you find the root of a quadratic?

## Solving the linear system

Convert the system to a linear least-squares problem:

$$
E_{\mathrm{LLS}}=\|\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{b}\|^{2}
$$

Expand the error:

$$
E_{\mathrm{LLS}}=\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}\right) \boldsymbol{x}-2 \boldsymbol{x}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{b}\right)+\|\boldsymbol{b}\|^{2}
$$

Minimize the error:

$$
\text { Set derivative to } 0\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}\right) \boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{b}
$$

$$
\text { Solve for } \mathrm{x} \boldsymbol{x}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{b} \longleftarrow \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { Note: You almost never want to } \\
\text { compute the inverse of a matrix. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Linear least squares estimation only works when the transform function is ?

Linear least squares estimation only works when the transform function is linear! (duh)

Also doesn’t deal well with outliers (next class !!!)

## Homographies



To unwarp (rectify) an image

- solve for homography $\mathbf{H}$ given $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$
- solve equations of the form: wp' = Hp
- linear in unknowns: w and coefficients of $\mathbf{H}$
- H is defined up to an arbitrary scale factor
- how many points are necessary to solve for $\mathbf{H}$ ?


## Create point correspondences

Given a set of matched feature points $\left\{p_{i}, p_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ find the best estimate of $H$ such that

$$
P^{\prime}=H \cdot P
$$


original image

target image

How many correspondences do we need?

## Determining the homography matrix

Write out linear equation for each correspondence:

$$
P^{\prime}=H \cdot P \quad \text { or } \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\alpha\left[\begin{array}{lll}
h_{1} & h_{2} & h_{3} \\
h_{4} & h_{5} & h_{6} \\
h_{7} & h_{8} & h_{9}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Determining the homography matrix

Write out linear equation for each correspondence:

$$
P^{\prime}=H \cdot P \quad \text { or } \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\alpha\left[\begin{array}{lll}
h_{1} & h_{2} & h_{3} \\
h_{4} & h_{5} & h_{6} \\
h_{7} & h_{8} & h_{9}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Expand matrix multiplication:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime} & =\alpha\left(h_{1} x+h_{2} y+h_{3}\right) \\
y^{\prime} & =\alpha\left(h_{4} x+h_{5} y+h_{6}\right) \\
1 & =\alpha\left(h_{7} x+h_{8} y+h_{9}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Determining the homography matrix

Write out linear equation for each correspondence:

$$
P^{\prime}=H \cdot P \quad \text { or } \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\alpha\left[\begin{array}{lll}
h_{1} & h_{2} & h_{3} \\
h_{4} & h_{5} & h_{6} \\
h_{7} & h_{8} & h_{9}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Expand matrix multiplication:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime} & =\alpha\left(h_{1} x+h_{2} y+h_{3}\right) \\
y^{\prime} & =\alpha\left(h_{4} x+h_{5} y+h_{6}\right) \\
1 & =\alpha\left(h_{7} x+h_{8} y+h_{9}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Divide out unknown scale factor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}\left(h_{7} x+h_{8} y+h_{9}\right) & =\left(h_{1} x+h_{2} y+h_{3}\right) \\
y^{\prime}\left(h_{7} x+h_{8} y+h_{9}\right) & =\left(h_{4} x+h_{5} y+h_{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime}\left(h_{7} x+h_{8} y+h_{9}\right)=\left(h_{1} x+h_{2} y+h_{3}\right) \\
y^{\prime}\left(h_{7} x+h_{8} y+h_{9}\right)=\left(h_{4} x+h_{5} y+h_{6}\right) \\
\text { Just rearrange the terms }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{7} x x^{\prime}+h_{8} y x^{\prime}+h_{9} x^{\prime}-h_{1} x-h_{2} y-h_{3}=0 \\
& h_{7} x y^{\prime}+h_{8} y y^{\prime}+h_{9} y^{\prime}-h_{4} x-h_{5} y-h_{6}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solving for homographies

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i}^{\prime}\left(h_{20} x_{i}+h_{21} y_{i}+h_{22}\right) & =h_{00} x_{i}+h_{01} y_{i}+h_{02} \\
y_{i}^{\prime}\left(h_{20} x_{i}+h_{21} y_{i}+h_{22}\right) & =h_{10} x_{i}+h_{11} y_{i}+h_{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
x_{i} & y_{i} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{i}^{\prime} x_{i} & -x_{i}^{\prime} y_{i} & -x_{i}^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{i} & y_{i} & 1 & -y_{i}^{\prime} x_{i} & -y_{i}^{\prime} y_{i} & -y_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
h_{00} \\
h_{01} \\
h_{02} \\
h_{10} \\
h_{11} \\
h_{12} \\
h_{20} \\
h_{21} \\
h_{22}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Determining the homography matrix

Re-arrange terms:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
h_{7} x x^{\prime}+h_{8} y x^{\prime}+h_{9} x^{\prime}-h_{1} x-h_{2} y-h_{3}=0 \\
h_{7} x y^{\prime}+h_{8} y y^{\prime}+h_{9} y^{\prime}-h_{4} x-h_{5} y-h_{6}=0
\end{array}
$$

Rewrite in matrix form:

$$
\mathbf{A}_{i} \boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0}
$$

$$
\mathbf{A}_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
-x & -y & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x x^{\prime} & y x^{\prime} & x^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -x & -y & -1 & x y^{\prime} & y y^{\prime} & y^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{h}=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
h_{1} & h_{2} & h_{3} & h_{4} & h_{5} & h_{6} & h_{7} & h_{8} & h_{9}
\end{array}\right]^{\top}
$$

## Solving for homogranhies

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{1}^{\prime} x_{1} & -x_{1}^{\prime} y_{1} & -x_{1}^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{1} & y_{1} & 1 & -y_{1}^{\prime} x_{1} & -y_{1}^{\prime} y_{1} & -y_{1}^{\prime} \\
x_{n} & y_{n} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_{n}^{\prime} x_{n} & -x_{n}^{\prime} y_{n} & -x_{n}^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{n} & y_{n} & 1 & -y_{n}^{\prime} x_{n} & -y_{n}^{\prime} y_{n} & -y_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
h_{00} \\
h_{01} \\
h_{02} \\
h_{10} \\
h_{11} \\
h_{12} \\
h_{20} \\
h_{21} \\
h_{22}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Defines a least squares problem: minimize $\|\mathrm{Ah}-0\|^{2}$

- Since $\mathbf{h}$ is only defined up to scale, solve for unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$
- Solution: $\hat{\mathbf{h}}=$ eigenvector of $\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A}$ with smallest eigenvalue
- Works with 4 or more points


## Determining the homography matrix

Stack together constraints from multiple point correspondences:

$$
\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0}
$$



$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
h_{1} \\
h_{2} \\
h_{3} \\
h_{4} \\
h_{5} \\
h_{6} \\
h_{7} \\
h_{8} \\
h_{9}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Homogeneous linear least squares problem

## Reminder: Determining affine transformations

Affine transformation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
p_{1} & p_{2} & p_{3} \\
p_{4} & p_{5} & p_{6}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Vectorize transformation parameters:

Stack equations from point correspondences:

$A x=b$

## Reminder: Determining affine transformations

Convert the system to a linear least-squares problem:

$$
E_{\mathrm{LLS}}=\|\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{b}\|^{2}
$$

Expand the error:

$$
E_{\mathrm{LLS}}=\boldsymbol{x}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}\right) \boldsymbol{x}-2 \boldsymbol{x}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{b}\right)+\|\boldsymbol{b}\|^{2}
$$

Minimize the error:

$$
\text { Set derivative to } 0\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}\right) \boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{b}
$$

$$
\text { Solve for } \mathrm{x} \quad \boldsymbol{x}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{b} \longleftarrow \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { Note: You almost never want to } \\
\text { compute the inverse of a matrix. }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Determining the homography matrix

Stack together constraints from multiple point correspondences:

$$
\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0}
$$



$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
h_{1} \\
h_{2} \\
h_{3} \\
h_{4} \\
h_{5} \\
h_{6} \\
h_{7} \\
h_{8} \\
h_{9}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Homogeneous linear least squares problem

- How do we solve this?


## Determining the homography matrix

Stack together constraints from multiple point correspondences:

$$
\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0}
$$



$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
h_{1} \\
h_{2} \\
h_{3} \\
h_{4} \\
h_{5} \\
h_{6} \\
h_{7} \\
h_{8} \\
h_{9}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Homogeneous linear least squares problem

- Solve with SVD


## Singular Value Decomposition

$\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{\top}{ }^{\dagger}$ -

$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{9} \sigma_{i} \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{\top}
$$

## Singular Value Decomposition



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{i}=\sqrt{\lambda_{i}} & \sigma=\text { singular value } \\
\lambda=\text { eigenvalue of } \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~A}
\end{array}
$$

## (Warning: change of notation. x is a vector of parameters!)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\mathrm{TLS}} & =\sum_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}\right)^{2} \\
& =\|\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{x}\|^{2} \quad \text { (matrix form) } \\
& \|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2}=1 \quad \text { constraint }
\end{aligned}
$$

minimize

$$
\|\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}\|^{2} \quad \frac{\text { (Rayleigh quotient) }}{\left\|\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A}^{2}\right\|^{2}}
$$

Solution is the eigenvector corresponding to smallest eigenvalue of

## $\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}$

Solution is the column of $\mathbf{V}$ corresponding to smallest singular value
$\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^{\top}$

## Homogeneous Linear Least Squares problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0} \\
A=U \Sigma V^{\top}=\sum_{i=1}^{9} \sigma_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\top}
\end{gathered}
$$

- If the homography is exactly determined, then $\sigma_{9}=0$, and there exists a homography that fits the points exactly.
- If the homography is overdetermined, then $\sigma_{9} \geq 0$. Here $\sigma_{9}$ represents a "residual" or goodness of fit.
- We will not handle the case of the homography being underdetermined.


## Solving for H using DLT

given $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ ouve tor tasen tatat $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{x}$

2. conatenate into stingle en $\mathrm{n} \times$ g matrix $\mathbf{A}$
3. comptes sio of $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{U S V}^{\top}$

5. Besenper to get $\mathbf{H}$

## Recap: Two Common Optimization Problems

## Problem statement

$$
\operatorname{minimize}\|\mathbf{A x}-\mathbf{b}\|^{2}
$$

## Solution

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left(\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{b}
$$

import numpy as $n p$
$\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{resid}, \mathrm{rank}, \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{np} . \operatorname{linalg} . \operatorname{lstsq}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{b})$

## Problem statement

Solution
$\operatorname{minimize} \quad \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ s.t. $\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\mathbf{v}, \lambda]=\operatorname{eig}\left(\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A}\right)} \\
& \lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2 . n}: \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{v}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

non - trivial lsq solution to $\mathbf{A x}=0$

## Derivation using Least squares

$$
A h=0
$$

The sum squared error can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{h}) & =\frac{1}{2}(A \mathbf{h}-\mathbf{0})^{T}(A \mathbf{h}-\mathbf{0}) \\
f(\mathbf{h}) & =\frac{1}{2}(A \mathbf{h})^{T}(A \mathbf{h}) \\
f(\mathbf{h}) & =\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{h}^{T} A^{T} A \mathbf{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the derivative of $f$ with respect to $\mathbf{h}$ and setting the resul to zero,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d \mathbf{h}} f=0 & =\frac{1}{2}\left(A^{T} A+\left(A^{T} A\right)^{T}\right) \mathbf{h} \\
0 & =A^{T} A \mathbf{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

h should equal the eigenvector of $B=A^{T} A$ that has an eigenvalue of zero

$$
B \vec{h}=\lambda \vec{h}
$$

(or, in the presence of noise the eigenvalue closest to zero)

## Outline

- Linear algebra
- Image transformations
- 2D transformations.
- Projective geometry 101.
- Transformations in projective geometry.
- Classification of 2D transformations.
- Determining unknown 2D transformations.
- Determining unknown image warps.


## Determining unknown image warps

Suppose we have two images.

- How do we compute the transform that takes one to the other?



## Forward warping

Suppose we have two images.

- How do we compute the transform that takes one to the other?


1. Form enough pixel-to-pixel correspondences between two images
$\longleftarrow$ _ later lecture
2. Solve for linear transform parameters as before
3. Send intensities $f(x, y)$ in first image to their corresponding location in the second image

## Forward warping

Suppose we have two images.

- How do we compute the transform that takes one to the other?

what is the problem with this?

1. Form enough pixel-to-pixel correspondences between two images
2. Solve for linear transform parameters as before
3. Send intensities $f(x, y)$ in first image to their corresponding location in the second image

## Forward warping

Pixels may end up between two points

- How do we determine the intensity of each point?



## Forward warping

Pixels may end up between two points

- How do we determine the intensity of each point?
$\checkmark$ We distribute color among neighboring pixels ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) ("splatting")

- What if a pixel $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ receives intensity from more than one pixels $(x, y)$ ?


## Forward warping

Pixels may end up between two points

- How do we determine the intensity of each point?
$\checkmark$ We distribute color among neighboring pixels ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) ("splatting")

- What if a pixel $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ receives intensity from more than one pixels $(x, y)$ ?
$\checkmark$ We average their intensity contributions.


## Forward mapping example

## - Rotation Scale and Translation Mapping



The mapped points do not have integer coordinates!

## Inverse warping

Suppose we have two images.

- How do we compute the transform that takes one to the other?

$$
f(x, y)
$$


what is the problem with this?

1. Form enough pixel-to-pixel correspondences between two images $\longleftarrow$
2. Solve for linear transform parameters as before, then compute its inverse
3. Get intensities $g\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ in in the second image from point $(x, y)=T^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ in first image

## Inverse warping

Pixel may come from between two points

- How do we determine its intensity?



## Inverse warping

Pixel may come from between two points

- How do we determine its intensity?
$\checkmark$ Use interpolation



## Inverse warping

Pixel may come from between two points

- How do we determine its intensity?
$\checkmark$ Use interpolation
- Nearest Neighbors
- Bilinear
- Cubic
- Lanczos



## The Warping Recipe

- The fundamental steps of a warping algorithm are the following:

1 Computation of the bounding box of the warped image (forward mapping).
2 Backward mapping of lattice points that sample the bounding box of the warped image (avoid "holes")
3 Validation of the backward mapped points (must belong to the domain of the source image)
4 Intensity transfer via resampling

## Nearest Neighbor Interpolation

## The question

Let $x$ and $y$ be the integer coordinates of the lattice. What is the value of $f$ at $\left[\begin{array}{ll}p & q\end{array}\right]^{T}$ ?

Nearest Neighbour Answer
$\hat{f}(p, q)=f(\operatorname{round}(p), \operatorname{round}(q))$


## Problem with NN interpolation



Point Sampled: Aliasing!


Correctly Bandlimited

## Bilinear Interpolation

## The question

Let $x$ and $y$ be the integer coordinates of the lattice. What is the value of $f$ at $\left[\begin{array}{ll}p & q\end{array}\right]^{\top}$ ?

- $F_{0,0} \xlongequal{\text { def }} f(x, y)$
- $F_{1,0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f(x+1, y)$
- $F_{0,1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f(x, y+1)$
- $F_{1,1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f(x+1, y+1)$
- $\Delta x \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} p-x$ and $\Delta y \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} q-y$



## Bilinear Interpolation

## The question <br> Let $x$ and $y$ be the integer coordinates of the lattice. What is the value of $f$ at $\left[\begin{array}{ll}p & q\end{array}\right]^{T}$ ?

- Linear interpolation in the $x$ direction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{y}(\Delta x) & =(1-\Delta x) F_{0,0}+\Delta x F_{1,0} \\
f_{y+1}(\Delta x) & =(1-\Delta x) F_{0,1}+\Delta x F_{1,1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Linear interpolation in the $y$ direction:

$$
\hat{f}(p, q)=(1-\Delta y) f_{y}+\Delta y f_{y+1}
$$



## Bilinear Interpolation

## The question

Let $x$ and $y$ be the integer coordinates of the lattice. What is the value of $f$ at $\left[\begin{array}{ll}p & q\end{array}\right]^{T}$ ?

## Bilinear Interpolation Answer

Note that $\hat{f}(p, q)$ "passes through" the samples.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{f}(p, q)=(1-\Delta y)(1-\Delta x) F_{0,0}+ \\
&(1-\Delta y) \Delta x F_{1,0}+ \\
& \Delta y(1-\Delta x) F_{0,1}+ \\
& \Delta y \Delta x F_{1,1}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Forward vs inverse warping

Suppose we have two images.

- How do we compute the transform that takes one to the other?


Pros and cons of each?

## Forward vs inverse warping

Suppose we have two images.

- How do we compute the transform that takes one to the other?

- Inverse warping eliminates holes in target image
- Forward warping does not require existence of inverse transform


## Warping with different transformations

translation

affine

pProjective (homography)


## View warping

original view

synthetic top view

synthetic side view


What are these black areas near the boundaries?

## Virtual camera rotations


original view
synthetic rotations


## Image rectification



## Street art



## Understanding geometric patterns

What is the pattern on the floor?

magnified view of floor

## Understanding geometric patterns

What is the pattern on the floor?


## Understanding geometric patterns

Very popular in renaissance drawings (when perspective was discovered)

rectified view of floor
reconstruction

## A weird drawing

Holbein, "The Ambassadors"


## A weird drawing

Holbein, "The Ambassadors"


## A weird drawing

Holbein, "The Ambassadors"


rectified view

## A weird drawing

Holbein, "The Ambassadors"


DIY: use a polished spoon to see the skull

## Panoramas from image stitching



1. Capture multiple images from different viewpoints.
2. Stitch them together into a virtual wide-angle image.


## References

Basic reading:

- Szeliski textbook, Section 3.6.

Additional reading:

- Hartley and Zisserman, "Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision," Cambridge University Press 2004. a comprehensive treatment of all aspects of projective geometry relating to computer vision, and also a very useful reference for the second part of the class.
- Richter-Gebert, "Perspectives on projective geometry," Springer 2011.
a beautiful, thorough, and very accessible mathematics textbook on projective geometry (available online for free from CMU's library).


## Questions?

