ABSTRACT
This paper presents a novel search paradigm that uses multiple images as input to perform semantic search of images. While earlier focuses on using single or multiple query images to retrieve images with views of the same instance, the proposed paradigm uses each query image to discover common concepts that are implicitly shared by all of the query images and retrieves images considering the found concepts. Our implementation uses high level visual features extracted from a deep convolutional network to retrieve images similar to each query input. These images have associated text previously generated by implicit crowdsourcing. A Bag of Words (BoW) textual representation of each query image is built from the associated text of the retrieved similar images. A learned vector space representation of English words extracted from a corpus of 100 billion words allows computing the conceptual similarity of words. The words that represent the input images are used to find new words that share conceptual similarity across all the input images. These new words are combined with the representations of the input images to obtain a BoW textual representation of the search, which is used to perform image retrieval. The retrieved images are re-ranked to enhance visual similarity with respect to any of the input images. Our experiments show that the concepts found are meaningful and that they retrieve correctly 72.43% of the images from the top 25, along with user ratings performed in the cases of study.

1. INTRODUCTION
The goal of any Image Retrieval system is to retrieve images from a large visual corpus that are similar to the input query. To date, most Image Retrieval systems (including commercial search engines like Google\footnote{http://images.google.com/}) base their search on a single image input query. Recently, multiple images as input queries have been proposed for image retrieval [1, 2]. In these cases, the objective of the multiple image inputs is to acquire different viewing conditions of the unique object or concept for the user.

In this work, we follow a different approach for multiple query image inputs, since the input images are employed jointly to extract underlying concepts common to the input images. The input images do not need to be part of the same concept. In fact, different input image either enriches, amplifies, or reduces the importance of one descriptive aspect of the multiple significances that any image inherently has. Consider the example in Figure 1. Three input images are used to query the system. The first input image is a milk bottle, the second contains a piece of meat, and the third contains a boat. These three images are dissimilar from a visual point of view. However, conceptually, they could be linked by the underlying concept “cattle,” since farmers obtain milk and meat from cattle. These types of knowledge-based conceptual relations are the ones that we propose to capture in this new search paradigm. Figure 1b shows the top retrieved images by our system.

The use of multiple images as input queries in real scenarios is straightforward, especially when mobile and wearable devices are the user interfaces. Consider for instance a wearable device. In this case, pictures can be passively sampled and used as query inputs of a semantic search to discover the context of the user. Once meaningful semantic concepts are found, many specific applications can be built,
including personalization of textual search, reduction of the search space for visual object detection, and personalization of output information such as suggestions, advertising, and recommendations.

The utility of the proposed search paradigm is enhanced when the user has constructed an unclear search or lacks the knowledge to describe it, but does have some idea of images of isolated concepts. For example, consider a user looking for ideas for a gift. While walking through the mall, the user adds some pictures of vague ideas for the gift. Based on the provided images, semantic concepts are found and used to retrieve images of gift suggestions. Another example of this type of application is retrieving image suggestions from a domain–specific knowledge area (painting, cooking, etc.), based on the user’s more general visual inputs, where the user does not have specialized knowledge in the specific area. Hence, given a couple of paints that you like as input, the semantic search could find other images for you that share similar common concepts.

This paper presents a new Image Retrieval paradigm with the following novelties:
1) Multiple image queries are used as inputs.
2) The input images are used to retrieve the concepts that images represent, instead of merely visual similarities.
3) Implicit crowdsourcing is used to obtain textual descriptions of pictures that are less noisy than typical surrounding text of web images.
4) Text descriptors are used as operands to discover underlying concepts common to the input images. The retrieved images capture semantic similarity from knowledge gained via text concepts and visual similarity.

2. METHOD

The proposed method to retrieve images from multiple query input images is explained next. Initially, each one of the query images $I_i$ is processed individually to retrieve candidate images, based on the visual similarity, from the retrieval dataset. Each query image $I_j$ produces a set of $k$ nearest neighbor candidates, denoted as $C_{ij}$, where $j$ goes from 1...$k$.

All of the images from the dataset used for retrieval are assumed to have a dual representation: a visual representation given by a global image descriptor and a textual descriptor represented by a histogram of all of the texts describing the image. Hence, every candidate image $C_{ij}$ has an associated textual descriptor represented by a word histogram that serves to link the visual representation with the conceptual representation. Candidate images that are visually closer to the query image have a higher impact in the text representation of the query image. The most representative text words that describe the query images, are processed using Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques to discover new words. For example, consider a user looking for ideas for a gift. While walking through the mall, the user adds some pictures of vague ideas for the gift. Based on the provided images, semantic concepts are found and used to retrieve images of gift suggestions. Another example of this type of application is retrieving image suggestions from a domain–specific knowledge area (painting, cooking, etc.), based on the user’s more general visual inputs, where the user does not have specialized knowledge in the specific area. Hence, given a couple of paints that you like as input, the semantic search could find other images for you that share similar common concepts.

This paper presents a new Image Retrieval paradigm with the following novelties:
1) Multiple image queries are used as inputs.
2) The input images are used to retrieve the concepts that images represent, instead of merely visual similarities.
3) Implicit crowdsourcing is used to obtain textual descriptions of pictures that are less noisy than typical surrounding text of web images.
4) Text descriptors are used as operands to discover underlying concepts common to the input images. The retrieved images capture semantic similarity from knowledge gained via text concepts and visual similarity.

2.1 Visual Similarity

We use Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) to generate a high level visual representation of the images. The Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) presented by Krizhevsky et al. [5] contains eight layers with weights; the first five layers are convolutional and the last three layers are fully connected neural networks. The last layer contains 1000 output units fed to a softmax function that determines the class label output. Since we are interested in a high level representation of the image instead of a classifier, we remove the last layer of the network. Features are then calculated by forward propagation of a mean subtracted 224x224 RGB image over five convolutional layers and two fully connected layers. The result is a 4096 dimension vector that represents the image as a global descriptor. Since our image descriptor is global, we perform Locality-Sensitive Hashing (LSH) to have fast approximated nearest neighbor retrieval of candidate images. The presented scheme produces retrievals that are similar in appearance, but also account for the meaning of the image as an object, which is one of the main goals of our proposed framework.

2.2 Textual Representation of Dataset Images

The images of the dataset are assumed to have a dual representation (visual / textual). In order to extract textual representation of an image, traditionally the text surrounding the images or associated meta-tags are used as text descriptors for the images. However, surrounding text is highly noisy, and meta-tags are frequently absent, incomplete, or inconsistent with other tasks. We believe that implicit crowdsourcing is a source for cleaner text descriptions. Implicit crowdsourcing engages users in an activity in order to gather information about another topic based on the user’s actions or responses. The dataset provided for the Bing challenge [9] is an example of implicit crowdsourcing where text for the images were added by users after they clicked for the best results for their textual searches. Many phrases can describe a single image. Instead of deciding which text best represents the image, we represent the image as a Bag of Words representation of collected text.

2.3 Textual Representation of Query Images

The input to our retrieval system is a set of query images without tags, labels, text descriptions, or metadata. In order to operate in the conceptual level, textual representation of the content of the query images must be generated. Textual representations of the top retrieved images from visual features are transferred to obtain a textual representation of each query image. The top retrieved candidates are weighted using a decreasing function of their visual distance to the query image. Hence, if a retrieved image matches exactly with the query image, it receives a maximum weight equal to one, while others receive lower weights. The textual representation of the query image is given by the weighted sum of retrieved textual representations.

2.4 Word Representation in Vector Space

The phrases that describe images are morphologically simple. The images in the dataset are fairly well described by a Bag of Words (BoW) textual representation. From a relatively broad vocabulary, only a small set of words are enough to describe the content of images. Hence, similarities between pairs of words can be enough to capture semantic
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A kernel $K_{ij}$ that measures the similarity between any
word $i$ and any word $j$ of the vocabulary is calculated during
the training phase. The purpose of the kernel $K_{ij}$ is to
quickly find the similarity of any pair of words.

2.5 Concept Discovery

The representation of a query image is typically formed
by only tens of words. If $N$ is the number of query inputs
and one word is selected from each query image, an $N$-tuple
of words is formed. We want to examine the $N$ words of the
N-tuple to discover new words that relate them conceptually.

A word $W_i$ is considered as a new word concept, when $W_i$
has simultaneously high similarity to all the words of the
N-tuple measured in the vector space.

The kernel $K_{ij}$ enables finding the similarity between any
pair of words of the vocabulary. A row (or column) $q$ of the
kernel matrix $K_{ij}$ is the distance of the word indexed by $q$
to any other word of the vocabulary. Performing a descend sort
operation and taking their indices in the selected column $q$,
gives the word indices that are conceptually closer to the
word $W_i$.

Given an N-tuple, we can find the closest words for all
the words that are part of the N-tuple. If a word $W_i$ is
found in the top positions of all the sorted lists of the N-
tuple words, then the word $W_i$ is declared as a new word
conceptually shared by the N-tuple. We call this procedure
“intersection.”

There are many combinations of N-tuples that could be
formed; however, the most interesting ones are the N-tuples
created from words that have highest weights in the textual
representation of each query image.

A small number $T$ of words with higher weights are used
to create the N-tuples. When no shared word is found in the
given set of N-tuples, the number of words used to create N-
tuples and the number of sorted terms to be intercepted is
increased until at least one common word is found. Alg.
Algorithm 1 summarizes this procedure.

2.6 Image Retrieval

Image retrieval is performed from a unique textual repre-
sentation that accounts for all input images and the list of
words conceptually shared by the input images. The tex-
tual representation of the joint query is the summation of
the individual query input representations and the addition
of new bins indexed by the list of words shared by the input
images.

Image retrieval is performed based on the ranking score
produced by the cosine scoring algorithm [6] between the
joint search representation and the tf-idf representation of
the images of the dataset.

Instead of comparing with the entire set of images of the
dataset, we use a shorter number of possible outputs to cal-
culate the score of the images. This subset of images is
formed by all the images of the dataset that contain at least
one word from either the list of words conceptually shared
by the inputs or the most representative words of each query
input. Hence, the number of images to evaluate reduces from
one million to just a couple of thousand candidate images in
the Bing dataset. The retrieved images are based on their
conceptual ranking only. Therefore, a re-scoring of the re-
trieved images is performed based on the visual similarity to
the input images. Images that are visually inconsistent with
all the input images are penalized, and re-ranked to lower
positions. The value of penalization is calculated using an
inverse exponential function of the Euclidean norm of the
difference between descriptors of the retrieved image and its
most visual similar input image.

3. EXPERIMENTS

We use the public domain implementation and trained
model available from the caffe library [4] to calculate our
visual image representation. Image resizing and feature ex-
traction of one million images can be performed in less than
24 hours in a regular Quad core personal computer.

The dataset [9] provided for the 2013 MSR-Bing Image
Retrieval Challenge² was sampled from one-year click logs
of the Microsoft Bing image search engine. We chose this
dataset for image retrieval since the texts associated with
the images are fairly accurate because they are built from
user’s search criteria and click preferences. The most impor-
tant advantage is that the image labeling does not require
humans dedicated to this activity and that is the product of
implicit crowdsourcing. The average number of queries per

image is 23.1, consequently there is a significant amount of text describing each image.

A stop list is created to ignore frequent words in the dataset. Words that appear in over 50000 images are ignored since they correspond to non-discriminative words.

The resulting text representation of the images is very sparse since only a few tens of words describe each image. For this reason, text representation can be saved in a very compact way. In fact, the full dataset representation of one million images can be fully loaded in 51 Mb of memory.

For our experiments, we used vector representation of words trained on a part of the Google News dataset, which contains about 100 billion words. The vocabulary size of this model is 300.

3.1 Multiple Query Image Retrieval

We performed experiments to evaluate our system for a set of 101 pairs (N = 2) of image inputs. The definition of the input pairs of images was performed with the help of semantic maps downloaded from the internet.

A semantic map is a visual strategy for vocabulary expansion and extension of knowledge by displaying words and their relations with other words [3]. Any person can define a semantic map about any topic; therefore, there is no “correct” semantic map. We chose several sets of pairs of words that were related according to the semantic maps found. Words that were not easily represented pictorially were discarded, and the remaining words were used to download example images and form query pairs of images conceptually related.

For each pair of available query images, we asked several users to rate the top 25 retrieved images of a pair of query images. They were then asked to provide a binary answer to the following question: Is the retrieved image conceptually similar to both input images or not?

Based on the user ratings, we calculated the mean accuracy of the retrieved images from the 101 pairs of query images. Accuracy is reported for the top X retrieved images, with X ranging from 5 to 25 in intervals of 5.

The baseline method is defined as the image retrieval performed from a joint search representation given by the summation of the individual input textual representations without the addition of words conceptually shared by the input images.

Table 1 presents the results of accuracy of our method and the baseline. For both methods we include the results before and after visual re-ranking. Our method clearly outperforms the baseline results by more than 22% in the proposed task. The visual re-ranking also helps to improve the ratings of the users. The improvement is more significant in the baseline case, where the retrieved images are worse in the task of retrieving shared concepts. The previous observation is an indication that some users tend to rate positively near identical images when the conceptual meaning of the query images cannot be clearly established.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have introduced a new search paradigm that leverages representations of multiple input images to infer concepts shared by all the input images. The retrieved images are conceptually and visually meaningful.

We presented a complete solution to the problem. Our solution includes novel visual and text representation of the images, exploiting a dataset with annotations obtained by implicit crowdsourcing, and operating a vector space that allows conceptually measuring the similarity between words.

The proposed approach achieved mean accuracy of 77.65% on the top 5 retrievals and 72.43% on the top 25, according to user ratings. The proposed approach outperforms the baseline by more than 22%, which shows that the method works very well in the proposed problem.
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