## Greedy Algorithms

A greedy algorithm is one where you take the step that seems the best at the time while executing the algorithm without truly considering all options. Here is a fairly straight forward problem with a greedy solution:

Given the prices of n items, you are allowed to buy any k of the items. What is the most you could spend?

To solve this problem, we would simply sort the prices from most to least, and take the first k most expensive items. We are confident that this solution is correct without considering the exponential number of subsets of items we could have bought because any other subset must have an item that is less than or equal to the corresponding item in our set of the most expensive items.

The hardest part of these problems is proving that some greedy algorithm correctly solves the problem. There are many problems for which no greedy algorithm correctly solves it. There are other problems that do have greedy solutions, but a majority of greedy algorithms one could devise are incorrect. One should always be a bit skeptical when evaluating a potential greedy algorithm. Before committing to one, one should prove the algorithm's correctness.

## Example \#1: Coin Changing

The goal here is to give change with the minimal number of coins as possible for a certain number of cents using 1 cent, 5 cent, 10 cent, and 25 cent coins.

The greedy algorithm is to keep on giving as many coins of the largest denomination until you the value that remains to be given is less than the value of that denomination. Then you continue to the lower denomination and repeat until you've given out the correct change.

This is the algorithm a cashier typically uses when giving out change. The text proves that this algorithm is optimal for coins of 1,5 and 10 . They use strong induction using base cases of the number of cents being $1,2,3,4,5$, and 10 . Another way to prove this algorithm works is as follows: Consider all combinations of giving change, ordered from highest denomination to lowest. Thus, two ways of making change for 25 cents are 1) 10 , $10,1,1,1,1,1$ and 2) $10,5,5,5$. The key is that each larger denomination is divisible by each smaller one. Because of this, for all listings, we can always make a mapping for each coin in one list to a coin or set of coins in the other list. For our example, we have:

| 10 | 10 | 11111 | 11111 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 55 | 5 | 5 |

Think about why the divisibility implies that we can make such a mapping.

Now, notice that the greedy algorithm leads to a combination that always maps one coin to one or more coins in other combinations and NEVER maps more than one coin to a single coin in another combination. Thus, the number of coins given by the greedy algorithm is minimal.

This argument doesn't work for any set of coins w/o the divisibility rule. As an example, consider 1,6 and 10 as denominations. There is no way to match up these two ways of producing 30 cents:

| 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

In general, we'll run into this problem with matching any denominations where one doesn't divide into the other evenly.

In order to show that our system works with 25 cents, an inductive proof with more cases than the one in the text is necessary. (Basically, even though a 10 doesn't divide into 25, there are no values, multiples of 25 , for which it's advantageous to give a set of dimes over a set of quarters.)

## Example \#2: Single Room Scheduling Problem (Kattis Problem: Interval Scheduling

The equivalent problem as described below is on Kattis with the name Interval Scheduling. Here is the link to the problem:
https://open.kattis.com/problems/intervalscheduling
Given a single room to schedule, and a list of requests, the goal of this problem is to maximize the total number of events scheduled. Each request simply consists of the group, a start time and an end time during the day.

In devising a greedy solution, it seems to make sense to sort the data by some metric. Three possible candidates are:

1) start time
2) duration (shortest to longest)
3) finish time

Some careful thinking will prove that the first two are incorrect.
To disprove \#1, consider if one event goes from time 1 to 100 , and then we have several events $(2,3),(4,5),(6,7)$, etc. Taking the event that starts first prevents us from grabbing many events it overlaps with.

To disprove \#2, consider two longer events that don't overlap, say $(1,50)$ and $(51,100)$, but a shorter event that overlaps with both (45,55). Taking the shorter event first prevents us from taking two separate but longer events.

It's harder to come up with a counter-example to \#3, and as it turns out, here's the greedy solution to this problem:

1) Sort the requests by finish time.
2) Go through the requests in order of finish time, scheduling them in the room if the room is unoccupied at its start time.

Now, we will prove that this algorithm does indeed maximize the number of events scheduled using proof by contradiction.

Let S be the schedule determined by the algorithm above. Let S schedule k events. We will assume to the contrary, that there exists a schedule $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ that has at least $\mathrm{k}+1$ events scheduled.

We know that $S$ finishes its first event at or before $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$. (This is because S always schedules the first event to finish. $S^{\prime}$ can either schedule that one, or another one that ends later.) Thus, initially, $S$ is at or ahead of $S^{\prime}$ since it has finished as many or more tasks than $S^{\prime}$ at that particular moment. (Let this moment be $t_{1}$. In general, let $t_{i}$ be the time at which $S$ completes its ith scheduled event. Also, let $t_{i}^{\prime}$ be the time at which $S^{\prime}$ completes its ith scheduled event.)
We know that

1) $t_{1}^{\prime} \geq t_{1}$
2) $t_{k+1}^{\prime}<t_{k+1}$ since $S^{\prime}$ ended up scheduling at least $k+1$ events.

Thus there must exists a minimal value $m$ for which
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}<\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and this value is greater than 1 , and at most $\mathrm{k}+1$.
(Essentially, $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ is at or behind S from the beginning and will catch up and pass S at some point...)

Since $m$ is minimal, we know that
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}-1}^{\prime} \geq \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}-1}$.
But, we know that the mth event schedule by $S$ ends AFTER the mth event scheduled by $S^{\prime}$. This contradicts the nature of the algorithm used to construct $S$. Since $t_{m-1}^{\prime} \geq t_{m-1}$, we know that $S$ will pick the first event to finish that starts after time $t_{m-1}$. BUT, $S^{\prime}$ was forced to also pick some event that starts after $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}-1}$. Since S picks the fastest finishing event, it's impossible for this choice to end AFTER S' choice, which is just as restricted.

This contradicts our deduction that $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}<\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}$. Thus, it must be the case that our initial assumption is wrong, proving $S$ to be optimal.

Here is a solution to interval scheduling which utilizes this technique:

```
using namespace std;
#include <bits/stdc++.h>
int main() {
    // Store pairs backwards so they sort by finish time!
    int n, s, e;
    cin >> n;
    vector<pair<int,int>> items;
    for (int i=0; i<n; i++) {
        cin >> s >> e;
        items.push_back(pair<int,int>(e,s));
    }
    // Sort.
    sort(items.begin(), items.end());
    int res = 0, curT = -1;
    // Go through sorted list.
    for (int i=0; i<n; i++) {
        // This one starts late enough to add it, just
remember start is
    // second, end is first!!!
    if (items[i].second >= curT) {
                res++;
                curT = items[i].first;
    }
    }
    // Ta da!
    cout << res << endl;
    return 0;
}
```


## Example \#3: Multiple Room Scheduling

Given a set of requests with start and end times, the goal here is to schedule all events using the minimal number of rooms. Once again, a greedy algorithm will suffice:

1) Sort all the requests by start time.
2) Schedule each event in any available empty room. If no room is available, schedule the event in a new room.

We can also prove that this is optimal as follows:
Let k be the number of rooms this algorithm uses for scheduling. When the kth room is scheduled, it MUST have been the case that all k-1 rooms before it were in use. At the exact point in time that the k room gets scheduled, we have k simultaneously running events. It's impossible for any schedule to handle this type of situation with less than k rooms. Thus, the given algorithm minimizes the total number of rooms used.

## Example \#4: Fractional Knapsack Problem

Your goal is to maximize the value of a knapsack that can hold at most W units worth of goods from a list of items $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Each item has two attributes:

1) A value/unit; let this be vi for item $I_{i}$.
2) Weight available; let this be $w_{i}$ for item $I_{i}$.

The algorithm is as follows:

1) Sort the items by value/unit.
2) Take as much as you can of the most expensive item left, moving down the sorted list. You may end up taking a fractional portion of the "last" item you take.
Consider the following example:
There are 4 lbs . of $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ available with a value of $\$ 50 / \mathrm{lb}$.
There are 40 lbs . of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ available with a value of $\$ 30 / \mathrm{lb}$.
There are 25 lbs . of $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ available with a value of $\$ 40 / \mathrm{lb}$.
The knapsack holds 50 lbs .
You will do the following:
3) Take 4 lbs of $\mathrm{I}_{1}$.
4) Take 25 lbs . of $\mathrm{I}_{3}$.
5) Tale 21 lbs . of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$.

Value of knapsack $=4 * 50+25 * 40+21 * 30=\$ 1830$.

Why is this maximal? Because if we were to exchange any good from the knapsack with what was left over, it is IMPOSSIBLE to make an exchange of equal weight such that the knapsack gains value. The reason for this is that all the items left have a value/lb. that is less than or equal to the value/lb. of ALL the material currently in the knapsack. At best, the trade would leave the value of the knapsack unchanged. Thus, this algorithm produces the maximal valued knapsack.

## Example \#5: Last Alphabetic Subsequence (Top Coder SRM 518 D1 250)

Problem: Given an alphabetic string, find the subsequence that comes last alphabetically in the string.

Any subsequence that starts at the "latest possible letter" is better than other competing subsequences. Thus, our first letter should be the alphabetically last letter that occurs in the string. As we are iterating through the letters, replace our "current answer" completely, if we see a letter that comes after the first letter in our current answer.

Adding a letter to any string makes it alphabetically after its prefix, so by default, we would always want to add a new letter to a non-empty string.

If we have some string "geb", for example, if the next letter is " f ", then we see that " gf " is better than "gef" or "gebf".

Once we make this observation, then our algorithm is streamlined as follows:

1) Keep a stack of letters - initialize it as empty. (We can just use a vector where we push_back and pop_back.)

Repeat the following steps as you read through each letter in the input string:
2) When reading in a new letter, pop all letters off the stack that come strictly before the current letter, alphabetically.
3) Push the current letter on the stack.

When we finish, the subsequence we desire can be read off the stack from the bottom to the top. (In code, if we were using a stack, we'd pop each item off sequentially, storing them from back to front.)

The key in developing this algorithm is to note that given any previous subsequence that is the 'best", we develop our new best by "building off" the old best.

## Example \#6: Kattis Problem: Air Conditioned Minions

Here is the link to the problem:

## https://open.kattis.com/problems/airconditioned

This problem illustrates the greedy principle of "don't do anything until you are forced to."

We have a list of minions who have ranges of temperatures in which they are comfortable. You have to place all $n$ minions in rooms where they will each be comfortable and you can place as many minions in a room as necessary. Of course, if two minions' temperature ranges don't overlap at all, they can't share a room. What is the minimum number of rooms we need to make to place the minions?

Imagine sorting the data by each of the points in the temperature list. So, for example, if our input is

| 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 8 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 3 | 7 |
| 8 | 9 |

We can view our data as:

2: minion 2's temperature range starts
3: minion 4's temperature range starts
3: minion 2's temperature range ends, must have room with temperature 3 (houses cows 2,4)
4: minion 3's temperature range start
5: minion 3's temperature range ends, must have room with temperature 5 (houses cow 3 )
6: minion 1's temperature range starts
7: minion 4's temperature range ends, no room created...cow 4 is already in room with cow 2 .
8: minion 5 's temperature range starts
8: minion 1's temperature range ends, must have room with temperature 8 (houses cows 1,5 )
9: minion 5's temperature range ends
Notice that if there is a tie, then a "range end" comes after "range start."
The highlights illustrate the algorithm. There's no point in creating a room and setting its temperature until we hit a cow whose range is ending, who is yet to be placed in a room. This ends up occurring three times, and each time, we place each minion whose range has started who isn't in a room.

We can use a set to keep track of which minions' ranges have started who don't have rooms, and a done array to keep track of which minion has a room. We sort the data by time, and we can use pairs (natural sorting) where the second item is -index for the
starting range of the minion, and index for the ending range. (Basically, we want the time linked to which minion AND whether that minion's range is starting or ending.)

Here is the solution:

```
using namespace std;
#include <bits/stdc++.h>
int main() {
    int n;
    cin >> n;
    vector<pair<int,int>> events;
    for (int i=1; i<=n; i++) {
        int s, e;
        cin >> s >> e;
        // -1 indicates the start of the range, 1 the end.
        events.emplace_back(s,-i);
        events.emplace_back(e, i);
    }
    // Sort events (temperature ranges) by time.
    sort(events.begin(), events.end());
    vector<bool> done(n+1);
    for (int i=1; i<=n; i++) done[i] = false;
    // Will store cows whose ranges are open, but don't have a room.
    set<int> open;
    int res = 0;
    // Loop through events.
    for (int i=0; i<2*n; i++) {
        // Just log that this cow's temperature range is open.
        if (events[i].second < 0) {
            open.insert(-events[i].second);
        }
        else {
            // We're forced to make a room with this temperature.
            if (!done[events[i].second]) {
                for (auto x=open.begin(); x!=open.end(); x++)
                    done[*x] = true;
                open.clear();
                res++;
            }
        }
    }
    // Ta da!
    cout << res << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

