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- Last time:
  - Sharing a processor among multiple threads
  - Implementation of the YIELD
  - Creating and terminating threads

- Today:
  - Preemptive scheduling
  - Thread primitives for sequence coordination

- Next Time:
  - Case studies
Thread scheduling policies

- Non-preemptive scheduling → a running thread releases the processor at its own will. Not very likely to work in a greedy environment.
- Cooperative scheduling → a thread calls YIEALD periodically
- Preemptive scheduling → a thread is allowed to run for a time slot. It is enforced by the thread manager working in concert with the interrupt handler.
  - The interrupt handler should invoke the thread exception handler.
  - What if the interrupt handler running at the processor layer invokes directly the thread? Imagine the following sequence:
    - Thread A acquires the `thread_table_lock`
    - An interrupt occurs
    - The YIELD call in the interrupt handler will attempt to acquire the `thread_table_lock`
- Solution: the processor is shared between two threads:
  - The processor thread
  - The interrupt handler thread
- Recall that threads have their individual address spaces so the scheduler when allocating the processor to thread must also load the page map table of the thread into the page map table register of the processor.
Evolution of ideas regarding communication among threads using a bounded buffer

1. Use locks → did not address the busy waiting problem
2. YIELD → based on voluntary release of the processor by individual threads
3. Use WAIT (for an event ) and NOTIFY (when the event occurs) primitives .
4. Use AWAIT (for an event) and ADVANCE (when the event occurs)
shared structure buffer
   message instance message[N]
   integer in initially 0
   integer out initially 0
   lock instance buffer_lock initially UNLOCKED

procedure SEND (buffer reference p, message instance msg)
   ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
   while p.in – p.out = N do /* if buffer full wait
      RELEASE (p_buffer_lock)
      ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
      p.message [p.in modulo N] <- msg /* insert message into buffer cell
      p.in <- p.in + 1 /* increment pointer to next free cell
      RELEASE (p_buffer_lock)

procedure RECEIVE (buffer reference p)
   ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
   while p.in = p.out do /* if buffer empty wait for message
      RELEASE (p_buffer_lock)
      ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
      msg <- p.message [p.in modulo N] /* copy message from buffer cell
      p.out <- p.out + 1 /* increment pointer to next message
   return msg
shared structure buffer
message instance message[N]
integer in initially 0
integer out initially 0
lock instance buffer_lock initially UNLOCKED

procedure SEND (buffer reference p, message instance msg)
ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
while p.in – p.out = N do /* if buffer full wait
  YIELD()
  ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
p.message [p.in \text{ modulo } N] \leftarrow msg /* insert message into buffer cell
p.in \leftarrow p.in + 1 /* increment pointer to next free cell
RELEASE (p_buffer_lock)

procedure RECEIVE (buffer reference p)
ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
while p.in = p.out do /* if buffer empty wait for message
  RELEASE (p_buffer_lock)
  YIELD()
  ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
msg \leftarrow p.message [p.in \text{ modulo } N] /* copy message from buffer cell
p.out \leftarrow p.out + 1 /* increment pointer to next message
RELEASE (p_buffer_lock)
return msg
shared structure processor_table(2)
  integer thread_id
shared structure thread_table(7)
  integer topstack
  integer state
shared lock instance thread_table_lock

procedure GET_THREAD_ID() return processor_table(CPUID).thread_id

procedure YIELD()
  ACQUIRE (thread_table_lock)
  ENTER_PROCESSOR_LAYER(GET_THREAD_ID())
  RELEASE(thread_table_lock)

procedure ENTER_PROCESSOR_LAYER(this_thread)
  thread_table(this_thread).state ← RUNNABLE
  thread_table(this_thread).topstack ← SP
  SCHEDULER()

procedure SCHEDULER()
  j ← _GET_THREAD_ID()
  do
  j ← j+1 (mod 7)
  while thread_table(j).state ≠ RUNNABLE
  thread_table(j).state ← RUNNING
  processor_table(CPUID).thread_id ← j
  EXIT_PROCESSOR_LAYER(j)

procedure EXIT_PROCESSOR_LAYER(new)
  SP ← thread_table(new).topstack

return
shared structure processor_table(7)
    integer topstack
    byte reference stack
    integer thread_id

shared structure thread_table(7)
    integer topstack
    integer state
    boolean kill_pr_continue
    byte reference stack

shared lock instance thread_table_lock

procedure GET_THREAD_ID() return processor_table(CPUID).thread_id
procedure YIELD()
  ACQUIRE (thread_table_lock)
  ENTER_PROCESSOR_LAYER(GET_THREAD_ID())
  RELEASE(thread_table_lock)
  return

procedure SCHEDULER()
  while shutdown = FALSE do
    ACQUIRE(thread_table_lock)
    for i from 0 until 7 do
      if thread_table(i).state = RUNNABLE then
        thread_table(i).state ← RUNNING
        processor_table(CPUID).thread_id ← i
        EXIT_PROCESSOR_LAYER(CPUID,i)
      if (thread_table(i).kill_or_continue = KILL) then
        thread_table(j).state ← FREE
        thread_table(j).state ← FREE
        DEALLOCATE(thread_table(i).stack)
        thread_table(i).kill_or_continue ← CONTINUE
      RELEASE(thread_table_lock)
    return

procedure ENTER_PROCESSOR_LAYER(thread_id, processor)
  thread_table(thread_id).state ← RUNNABLE
  thread_table(thread_id).topstack ← SP
  SCHEDULER()
  return

procedure EXIT_PROCESSOR_LAYER(processor,thread_id)
  processor_table(processor).topstack ← SP
  SP ← thread_table(thread_id).topstack
  return
Primitives for thread sequence coordination

- YIELD requires the thread to periodically check if a condition has occurred.
- Basic idea → use events and construct two before-or-after actions
  - \texttt{WAIT}(*event\_name*) → issued by the thread which can continue only after the occurrence of the event *event\_name*.
  - \texttt{NOTIFY}(*event\_name*) → search the \texttt{thread\_table} to find a thread waiting for the occurrence of the event *event\_name*.
shared structure buffer
  
  message instance message[N]

integer in initially 0
integer out initially 0
lock instance buffer_lock initially UNLOCKED

event instance room

event instance notempty

procedure SEND (buffer reference p, message instance msg)
  ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
  while p.in – p.out = N do
    /* if buffer full wait */
    RELEASE (p_buffer_lock)
    WAIT (p.room)
    ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
    p.message [p.in modulo N] ← msg /* insert message into buffer cell */
  if p.in= p.out then NOTIFY(p.notempty)
  p.in ← p.in + 1 /* increment pointer to next free cell */
  RELEASE (p_buffer_lock)

procedure RECEIVE (buffer reference p)
  ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
  while p.in = p.out do /* if buffer empty wait for message */
    RELEASE (p_buffer_lock)
    WAIT (p.notempty)
    ACQUIRE (p_buffer_lock)
  msg← p.message [p.in modulo N] /* copy message from buffer cell */
  if (p.in- p.out=N) then NOTIFY(p.room)
  p.out ← p.out + 1 /* increment pointer to next message */
  RELEASE (p_buffer_lock)
  return msg
This solution does not work

- The NOTIFY should always be sent after the WAIT. If the **sender** and the **receiver** run on two different processors there could be a race condition for the *notempty* event. The NOTIFY could be sent before the WAIT.
- Tension between modularity and locks
- Several possible solutions: AWAIT/ADVANCE, semaphores, etc

![Diagram showing the sequence of events with in=not out, RELEASE(lock), and WAIT(not empty) for both sender and receiver]
AWAIT - ADVANCE solution

- A new state, WAITING and two before-or-after actions that take a RUNNING thread into the WAITING state and back to RUNNABLE state.
- eventcount → variables with an integer value shared between threads and the thread manager; they are like events but have a value.
- A thread in the WAITING state waits for a particular value of the eventcount
- **AWAIT**(eventcount,value)
  - If eventcount > value → the control is returned to the thread calling AWAIT and this thread will continue execution
  - If eventcount ≤ value → the state of the thread calling AWAIT is changed to WAITING and the thread is suspended.
- **ADVANCE**(eventcount)
  - increments the eventcount by one then
  - searches the thread_table for threads waiting for this eventcount
  - if it finds a thread and the eventcount exceeds the value the thread is waiting for then the state of the thread is changed to RUNNABLE
Thread states and state transitions

- **NOT ALLOCATED**
  - ALLOCATE THREAD
  - EXIT THREAD

- **RUNNABLE**
  - SCHEDULER
  - YIELD
  - ADVANCE
  - AWAIT

- **RUNNING**

- **WAITING**
Solution for a single sender and multiple receivers

shared structure buffer
  message instance message[N]
  eventcount instance in initially 0
  eventcount instance out initially 0

procedure SEND (buffer reference p, message instance msg)
  AWAIT (p.out,p.in-N)
  p.message [p.in modulo N] ← msg /* insert message into buffer cell
  ADVANCE (p.in)

procedure RECEIVE (buffer reference p)
  AWAIT (p.in,p.out)
  msg ← p.message [p.in modulo N] /* copy message from buffer cell
  ADVANCE (p.out)
  return msg
Supporting multiple senders: the sequencer

- Sequencer ➔ shared variable supporting thread sequence coordination - it allows threads to be ordered and is manipulated using two before-or-after actions.
- TICKET(sequencer) ➔ returns a negative value which increases by one at each call. Two concurrent threads calling TICKET on the same sequencer will receive different values based upon the timing of the call, the one calling first will receive a smaller value.
- READ(sequencer) ➔ returns the current value of the sequencer
Multiple sender solution; only the SEND must be modified

shared structure buffer
    message instance message[N]
    eventcount instance in initially 0
    eventcount instance out initially 0
    sequencer instance sender

procedure SEND (buffer reference p, message instance msg)
    t ← TICKET(p.sender)
    AWAIT (p.in,t)
    AWAIT (p.out,READ(p.in) -N )
    p.message [p.in modulo N] ← msg  /* insert message into buffer cell
    ADVANCE (p.in)
Semaphores

- Introduced by Dijkstra in 1965
- Does not require busy waiting
- Semaphore \( S \) – integer variable
- Two standard operations modify \( S \): `wait()` and `signal()`
  - Originally called `P()` and `V()`
- Less complicated
- Can only be accessed via two indivisible (atomic) operations
  - `wait (S)` {
    - while \( S \) <= 0
      - ; // no-op
      - \( S--; \)
  }
  - `signal (S)` {
    - \( S++; \)
  }
Semaphore as General Synchronization Tool

- **Counting semaphore** – integer value can range over an unrestricted domain
- **Binary semaphore mutex locks** – integer value can range only between 0 and 1; simpler to implement.
- Can implement a counting semaphore $S$ as a binary semaphore
- Provides mutual exclusion
  - Semaphore $S$;   // initialized to 1
  - wait (S);
  - Critical Section
  - signal (S);
Semaphore Implementation

- Must guarantee that no two threads can execute `wait()` and `signal()` on the same semaphore at the same time.
- Implementation becomes the critical section problem where the wait and signal code are placed in the critical section.
  - Could now have busy waiting in critical section implementation
    - But implementation code is short
    - Little busy waiting if critical section rarely occupied
- Applications may spend lots of time in critical sections and therefore this is not a good solution.
Semaphore Implementation with no Busy waiting

- With each semaphore there is an associated waiting queue. Each entry in a waiting queue has two data items:
  - value (of type integer)
  - pointer to next record in the list
- The two operations on semaphore S, \textit{Wait}(S) and \textit{Signal}(S) are implemented using:
  - \textit{block} – place the thread invoking the operation on the appropriate waiting queue.
  - \textit{wakeup} – remove one of thread in the waiting queue and place it in the ready queue.
Semaphore Implementation with no Busy waiting

- Implementation of `wait`:
  ```
  wait (S){
    value--;
    if (value < 0) {
        add this thread to waiting queue
        block();
    }
  }
  ```

- Implementation of `signal`:
  ```
  Signal (S){
    value++;
    if (value <= 0) {
        remove a thread P from the waiting queue
        wakeup(P);
    }
  }
  ```