

Computer Science Foundation Exam

December 18, 2009

Section II A

DISCRETE STRUCTURES SOLUTIONS

**NO books, notes, or calculators may be used,
and you must work entirely on your own.**

Name: _____

PID: _____

**In this section of the exam, there are three (3) problems.
You must do ALL of them.
They count for 40% of the Discrete Structures exam grade.
Show the steps of your work carefully.**

**Problems will be graded based on the completeness of the solution steps
and not graded based on the answer alone.**

**Credit cannot be given when your results are
unreadable.**

Question #	Max Pts	Category	Passing	Score
1	15	PRF (Induction)	10	
2	15	PRF (Sets)	10	
3	10	PRF (Logic)	6	
ALL	40	---	26	

1) (15 pts) PRF (Induction)

Using induction to prove that to prove that $\sum_{i=1}^n (3i+1) = \frac{n(3n+5)}{2}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Base case: $n = 1$, $(3+1) = \frac{(3+5)}{2}$, which is true. (2 pts)

Inductive hypothesis: Assume for an arbitrary non-negative integer $n = k$ that $\sum_{i=1}^k (3i+1) = \frac{k(3k+5)}{2}$. (2 pts)

Inductive step: Prove that for $n = k+1$ that $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (3i+1) = \frac{(k+1)(3(k+1)+5)}{2}$. (2 pts)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (3i+1) &= \sum_{i=1}^k (3i+1) + [3(k+1)+1] && \text{(2 pts)} \\
 &= \frac{k(3k+5)}{2} + [3(k+1)+1], \text{ using inductive hypothesis (2 pts)} \\
 &= \frac{k(3k+5)}{2} + 3k+4 \\
 &= \frac{3k^2 + 5k + 6k + 8}{2} && \text{(3pts)} \\
 &= \frac{3k^2 + 11k + 8}{2} \\
 &= \frac{(k+1)(3k+8)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{(k+1)(3(k+1)+5)}{2} \text{ which completes the proof. (2 pts)}
 \end{aligned}$$

2) (15 pts) PRF (Sets)

Prove the following for arbitrarily chosen sets A , B and C :

$$(B - A) \cup (C - A) = (B \cup C) - A$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (B - A) \cup (C - A) \\ &= \{x \mid x \in (B - A) \vee x \in (C - A)\}, \text{ by definition of union. (3pts)} \\ &= \{x \mid (x \in B \wedge x \notin A) \vee (x \in C \wedge x \notin A)\}, \text{ by definition of difference (3pts)} \\ &= \{x \mid (x \in B \vee x \in C) \wedge x \notin A\}, \text{ by Distributive Law for logical equivalence (3pts)} \\ &= \{x \mid (x \in (B \cup C) \wedge x \notin A)\}, \text{ by definition of union (3pts)} \\ &= (B \cup C) - A, \text{ by definition of difference (3pt)} \end{aligned}$$

Note to the grader: There are other possible ways in which to prove that these sets are equal. One way would be to show that the first side is a subset of the second, and vice versa. If this approach is taken give 9 points to the first half of the proof and 6 points to the second half.

3) (10 pts) (PRF) Logic

Use the Laws of Logic and Rules of Inference to justify the following argument:

$$\begin{array}{l} (\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (s \wedge r) \\ s \rightarrow t \\ \neg t \\ \hline \therefore p \end{array}$$

Please name the Law of Logic or Rule of Inference used in each step of your proof.

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. $\neg t$ | Premise |
| 2. $s \rightarrow t$ | Premise |
| 3. $\neg s$ | Modus Tollens with (1) and (2) |
| 4. $\neg s \vee \neg r$ | Disjunctive Amplification using (3) |
| 5. $\neg(s \wedge r)$ | De Morgan's Laws |
| 6. $(\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (s \wedge r)$ | Premise |
| 7. $\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$ | Modus tollens with (5) and (6) |
| 8. $(\neg(\neg p)) \wedge (\neg(\neg q))$ | De Morgan's Laws |
| 9. $p \wedge q$ | Double negation Laws |
| 10. p | Rule of Conunctive Simplification of (9). |

Grading: 1 point per step. If all of the reasons are missing, just take off 3 points.