

# Assignment#3 Key

1. Consider the language  $L = \{a^n b^s c^t \mid s < n \text{ and } t < s\}$ . Using the Pumping Lemma for CFLs, show  $L$  is not a Context-Free Language.

- Using the Pumping Lemma for CFLs, show  $L$  is not a Context-Free Language.
- Assume  $L$  is a CFL
- Let  $N > 0$  be from PL
- Chose string  $a^{N+2} b^{N+1} c^N$
- PL breaks into  $uvwxyz$ ,  $|vwx| \leq N$  and  $|vx| > 0$  and says  $\forall i \geq 0 \ uv^iwx^iz \in L$
- Case 1:  $vx$  contains at least one  $c$ . Set  $i=3$ , then we at least  $N+2$   $c$ 's and only  $N+2$   $a$ 's and so string is not in  $L$ .
- Case 2:  $vx$  contains no  $c$ 's. Set  $i = 0$ , then we still have  $N$   $c$ 's but one or both of the  $a$ 's or  $b$ 's have been reduced and yet  $N+2$  and  $N+1$  are as small as they can be, so the new string is not in  $L$ .
- These cases cover all possibilities and so  $L$  is not a CFL.

2. Consider some language  $L$ . For each of the following cases, write in one of (i) through (vi), to indicate what you can say conclusively about  $L$ 's complexity, where

(i)  $L$  is definitely regular

(ii)  $L$  is context-free, possibly not regular, but then again it might be regular

(iii)  $L$  is context-free, and definitely not regular

(iv)  $L$  might not even be context-free, but then again it might even be regular

(v)  $L$  is definitely not regular, and it may or may not be context-free

(vi)  $L$  is definitely not even context-free

2b.  $L = A \cap B$ , where  $A$  is context-free, non-regular, and  $B$  is regular and of infinite cardinality

$L$  can be characterized by Property (ii), above.

$L$  can be regular:  $A = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$ ,  $B = a^*$ ,  $L = A \cap B = \{ \lambda \}$ .

$L$  can be context-free:  $A = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$ ,  $B = a^* b^*$ ,  $L = A \cap B = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$ .

$L$  cannot be a non-CFL as CFLs are closed under intersection with Regular

2a.  $L = \sim(A \cap B)$ , where  $A$  is context-free, non-regular, and  $B$  is regular and of infinite cardinality

$L$  can be characterized by Property (iv), above.

$L$  can be regular:  $A = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$ ,  $B = a^*$ ,  $L = \sim(A \cap B) = \{a,b\}^* - \{\lambda\} = \{a,b\}^+$ .

$L$  can be a CFL:  $A = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$ ,  $B = a^*b^*$   $L = \sim(A \cap B) = \Sigma^* - \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$   
 $= \{ a^n b^m \mid n \neq m \} \cup \{ \{a,b\}^* ba \{a,b\}^* \}$  // latter part is regular

$L$  can be non-context-free:  $A = \{ wx \mid w,x \in \{a,b\}^*, |w| = |x|, w \neq x \}$ ,  $B = a^*b^*$ ,

$A \cap B = A$  and  $\sim A = \{ ww \mid w \in \{a,b\}^* \} \cup \{ x \mid x \in \{a,b\}^+ \text{ and has odd length} \}$

## 2c. $A \subset L$ , where $A$ is Regular

$L$  can be characterized by Property (iv), above.

$L$  can be regular:  $A = \emptyset^*$ ,  $L = a^*$ .

$L$  can be context-free:  $A = \emptyset$ ,  $L = \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$

$L$  can be non-context-free:  $A = \emptyset$ ,  $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ .

An even easier explanation is, if  $A = \emptyset$ ,  $A \subset L$  then  $L$  can be any set as all sets have  $\emptyset$  as a subset. Even if  $L$  is over the simple alphabet  $\{a\}$ , that has an uncountable number of subsets. Thus,  $L$  can be absolutely anything, including non-regular ones.

3. Show that prfs are closed under sum mutual induction. Sum Mutual Induction means that each induction step, say at  $y+1$ , after calculating the base is computed using the sum of the values of the other function up to the  $y$ -th value. The formal hypothesis is:

Assume **g1**, **g2**, **h1** and **h2** are already known to be prf, then so are **f1** and **f2**, where

$$\mathbf{f1(x,0) = g1(x); f2(x,0) = g2(x)}$$

$$\mathbf{f1(x,y+1) = h1(\text{sum}(i=0 \text{ to } y) f2(x, i)); f2(x,y+1) = h2(\text{sum}(i=0 \text{ to } y) f1(x, i))}$$

3. Show that prfs are closed under sum mutual induction. Sum Mutual Induction means that each induction step, say at  $y+1$ , after calculating the base is computed using the sum of the values of the other function up to the  $y$ -th value. The formal hypothesis is:

$$K(x,0) = \langle g1(x), g1(x), g2(x), g2(x) \rangle$$

$$K(x,y+1) = \langle h1(\langle K(x,y) \rangle_4), +(\langle K(x,y) \rangle_2, h1(\langle K(x,y) \rangle_4)), \\ h2(\langle K(x,y) \rangle_2), +(\langle K(x,y) \rangle_4, h2(\langle K(x,y) \rangle_2)) \rangle$$

$f1(x,y) = \langle K(x,y) \rangle_1$  // extract first value from quad encoded in  $K(x,y)$

$f2(x,y) = \langle K(x,y) \rangle_3$  // extract third value from quad encoded in  $K(x,y)$

Why this works

$\langle K(x,y) \rangle_1$  is  $f1(x,y)$ ,  $\langle K(x,y) \rangle_2$  is sum of  $f1$  values including  $f1(x,y)$

$\langle K(x,y) \rangle_3$  is  $f2(x,y)$ ,  $\langle K(x,y) \rangle_4$  is sum of  $f2$  values including  $f2(x,y)$