

# Assignment#4 Sample Key

# 1. Show $S$ inf. rec. iff $S$ is the range of a monotonically increasing function

- Let  $f_S(x+1) > f_S(x)$ , and  $\text{Range}(f_S(x)) = S$ .  $S$  is decided by the characteristic function

$$\chi_S(x) = \exists y \leq x [ f_S(y) == x ]$$

The above works as  $x$  must show up within the first  $x+1$  numbers listed since  $f_S$  is monotonically increasing.

- Let  $S$  be infinite recursive. As  $S$  is recursive, it has a characteristic function where  $\chi_S(x)$  is true iff  $x$  is in  $S$ .

Define the monotonically increasing enumerating function  $f_S(x)$  where

$$f_S(0) = \mu x [ \chi_S(x) ]$$

$$f_S(y+1) = \mu x > f_S(y) [ \chi_S(x) ]$$

As required, this enumerates the elements of  $S$  in order, low to high.

## 2. If $S$ is infinite re, then $S$ has an infinite recursive subset $R$

- Let  $f_S$  be an algorithm where  $S = \text{range}(f_S)$  is an infinite set
- Define the monotonically increasing function  $f_R(x)$  by
$$f_R(0) = f_S(0)$$
$$f_R(y+1) = f_S(\mu x [ f_S(x) > f_R(y) ] )$$
- The above is monotonically increasing because each iteration seeks a larger number and it will always succeed since  $S$  is itself infinite and so has no largest value. Also,  $R$  is clearly a subset of  $S$  since each element is in the range of  $f_S$ .
- From #2,  $R$  is infinite recursive as it is the range of a monotonically increasing algorithm  $f_R$ .
- Combining,  $R$  is an infinite recursive subset of  $S$ , as was desired.

### 3. NotDominating(ND) = { f | for some x, f(x) < x }.

a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known primitive recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of **ND**.

$\exists \langle x, t \rangle [\text{STP}(f, x, t) \ \& \ (\text{VALUE}(f, x, t) < x)]$

b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that **ND** is undecidable. Be Complete.

**ND** is non-trivial as  $\text{C0}(x) = 0 \in \text{ND}$  and  $\text{S}(x) = x+1 \notin \text{ND}$

Let **f, g** be two arbitrary indices of procedures such that  $\forall x \ f(x) = g(x)$

$f \in \text{ND}$  iff  $\exists x \ f(x) < x$  iff  $f(x_0) < x_0$  for some  $x_0$  iff  $g(x_0) < x_0$  as  $\forall x \ f(x) = g(x)$  implies  $\exists x \ g(x) < x$  iff  $g \in \text{ND}$

$f \notin \text{ND}$  iff  $\forall x \ [f(x) \downarrow \text{ implies } f(x) > x]$  iff  $\forall x \ [g(x) \downarrow \text{ implies } g(x) > x]$  as  $\forall x \ f(x) = g(x)$  iff  $g \notin \text{ND}$

c.) Show that  $\text{K} = \{ f \mid f(f) \text{ converges} \}$  is many-one reducible to **ND**.

Let **f** be an arbitrary index. From **f**, define  $\forall x \ F_f(x) = f(f) - f(x)$ .

$f \in \text{K}$  implies  $\forall x \ F_f(x) = 0$  implies  $F_f \in \text{ND}$ .

$f \notin \text{K}$  implies  $\forall x \ F_f(x)$  diverges implies  $F_f \notin \text{ND}$ .

Thus,  $\text{K} \leq_m \text{ND}$

d.) Show that **ND** is many-one reducible to  $\text{K} = \{ f \mid f(f) \text{ converges} \}$

Let **f** be an arbitrary index. From **f**, define  $\forall y \ F_f(y) = \exists \langle x, t \rangle \text{STP}(f, x, t) \ \& \ (\text{VALUE}(f, x, t) < x)$

$f \in \text{ND}$  implies  $\forall y \ F_f(y)$  converges implies  $F_f(F_f)$  converges implies  $F_f \in \text{K}$

$f \notin \text{ND}$  implies  $\forall y \ F_f(y)$  diverges implies  $F_f \notin \text{K}$ .

Thus,  $\text{ND} \leq_m \text{K}$

# 4. AlwaysDominates(AD) = { f | for all x, f(x) > x }

a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known primitive recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of AD.

$\forall x \exists t [\text{STP}(f,x,t) \ \& \ (\text{VALUE}(f,x,t) > x)]$

b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that AD is undecidable. Be Complete.

AD is non-trivial as  $S(x) = x+1 \in \text{AD}$  and  $C0(x) = 0 \notin \text{AD}$

Let f,g be two arbitrary indices of procedures such that  $\forall x f(x) = g(x)$

$f \in \text{AD}$  iff  $\forall x f(x) < x$  iff  $\forall x g(x) < x$  iff  $g \in \text{AD}$

c.) Show that  $\text{TOT} = \{ f \mid \text{for all } x, f(x) \text{ converges} \}$  is many-one reducible to AD.

Let f be an arbitrary index. From f, define  $\forall x F_f(x) = f(x) - f(x) + x + 1$ .

$f \in \text{TOT}$  implies  $\forall x F_f(x) = x+1$  implies  $F_f \in \text{AD}$ .

$f \notin \text{TOT}$  implies  $\exists x F_f(x)$  diverges implies  $F_f \notin \text{AD}$ .

Thus,  $\text{TOT} \leq_m \text{AD}$

d.) Show that AD is many-one reducible to  $\text{TOT} = \{ f \mid \text{for all } x, f(x) \text{ converges} \}$

Let f be an arbitrary index. From f, define  $\forall x F_f(x) = \mu y [ f(x) > y ]$

$f \in \text{AD}$  implies  $\forall x F_f(x)$  converges implies  $F_f \in \text{TOT}$

$f \notin \text{AD}$  implies  $\exists x F_f(x)$  diverges implies  $F_f \notin \text{TOT}$ .

Thus,  $\text{AD} \leq_m \text{TOT}$