

## Generally useful information.

- The notation  $z = \langle x, y \rangle$  denotes the pairing function with inverses  $x = \langle z \rangle_1$  and  $y = \langle z \rangle_2$ .
- The minimization notation  $\mu y [P(\dots, y)]$  means the least  $y$  (starting at  $0$ ) such that  $P(\dots, y)$  is true. The bounded minimization (acceptable in primitive recursive functions) notation  $\mu y (u \leq y \leq v) [P(\dots, y)]$  means the least  $y$  (starting at  $u$  and ending at  $v$ ) such that  $P(\dots, y)$  is true. Unlike the text, I find it convenient to define  $\mu y (u \leq y \leq v) [P(\dots, y)]$  to be  $v+1$ , when no  $y$  satisfies this bounded minimization.
- The tilde symbol,  $\sim$ , means the complement. Thus, set  $\sim S$  is the set complement of set  $S$ , and predicate  $\sim P(x)$  is the logical complement of predicate  $P(x)$ .
- A function  $P$  is a predicate if it is a logical function that returns either  $1$  (**true**) or  $0$  (**false**). Thus,  $P(x)$  means  $P$  evaluates to **true** on  $x$ , but we can also take advantage of the fact that **true** is  $1$  and **false** is  $0$  in formulas like  $y \times P(x)$ , which would evaluate to either  $y$  (if  $P(x)$ ) or  $0$  (if  $\sim P(x)$ ).
- A set  $S$  is recursive if  $S$  has a total recursive characteristic function  $\chi_S$ , such that  $x \in S \Leftrightarrow \chi_S(x)$ . Note  $\chi_S$  is a predicate. Thus, it evaluates to  $0$  (**false**), if  $x \notin S$ .
- When I say a set  $S$  is re, unless I explicitly say otherwise, you may assume any of the following equivalent characterizations:
  1.  $S$  is either empty or the range of a total recursive function  $f_S$ .
  2.  $S$  is the domain of a partial recursive function  $g_S$ .
- If I say a function  $g$  is partially computable, then there is an index  $g$  (I know that's overloading, but that's okay as long as we understand each other), such that  $\Phi_g(x) = \Phi(x, g) = g(x)$ . Here  $\Phi$  is a universal partially recursive function.  
Moreover, there is a primitive recursive function **STP**, such that **STP**( $g, x, t$ ) is  $1$  (true), just in case  $g$ , started on  $x$ , halts in  $t$  or fewer steps.  
**STP**( $g, x, t$ ) is  $0$  (false), otherwise.  
Finally, there is another primitive recursive function **VALUE**, such that **VALUE**( $g, x, t$ ) is  $g(x)$ , whenever **STP**( $g, x, t$ ).  
**VALUE**( $g, x, t$ ) is defined but meaningless if  $\sim$ **STP**( $g, x, t$ ).
- The notation  $f(x) \downarrow$  means that  $f$  converges when computing with input  $x$ , but we don't care about the value produced. In effect, this just means that  $x$  is in the domain of  $f$ .
- The notation  $f(x) \uparrow$  means  $f$  diverges when computing with input  $x$ . In effect, this just means that  $x$  is **not** in the domain of  $f$ .
- The **Halting Problem** for any effective computational system is the problem to determine of an arbitrary effective procedure  $f$  and input  $x$ , whether or not  $f(x) \downarrow$ . The set of all such pairs,  $K_0$ , is a classic re non-recursive one.
- The **Uniform Halting Problem** is the problem to determine of an arbitrary effective procedure  $f$ , whether or not  $f$  is an algorithm (halts on all input). The set of all such function indices is a classic non re one.
- $A \leq_m B$  ( $A$  many-one reduces to  $B$ ) means that there exists a total recursive function  $f$  such that  $x \in A \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in B$ . If  $A \leq_m B$  and  $B \leq_m A$  then we say that  $A \equiv_m B$  ( $A$  is many-one equivalent to  $B$ ). If the reducing function is 1-1, then we say  $A \leq_1 B$  ( $A$  one-one reduces to  $B$ ) and  $A \equiv_1 B$  ( $A$  is one-one equivalent to  $B$ ).

1. Choosing from among **(REC) recursive**, **(RE) re non-recursive**, **(coRE) co-re non-recursive**, **(NRNC) non-re/non-co-re**, categorize each of the sets in a) through d). Justify your answer by showing some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate.

a.)  $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ is finite} \}$  \_\_\_\_\_

**Justification:**

b.)  $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ is empty} \}$  \_\_\_\_\_

**Justification:**

c.)  $\{ \langle f, x \rangle \mid f(x) \text{ converges in at most 20 steps} \}$  \_\_\_\_\_

**Justification:**

d.)  $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ converges in at most 20 steps for some input } x \}$  \_\_\_\_\_

**Justification:**

2. Let set **A** be recursive, **B** be re non-recursive and **C** be non-re. Choosing from among **(REC) recursive**, **(RE) re non-recursive**, **(NR) non-re**, categorize the set **D** in each of a) through d) by listing **all** possible categories. No justification is required.

a.)  $D = \sim C$  \_\_\_\_\_

b.)  $D \subseteq A \cup C$  \_\_\_\_\_

c.)  $D = \sim B$  \_\_\_\_\_

d.)  $D = B - A$  \_\_\_\_\_

3. Prove that the **Halting Problem** (the set  $\text{HALT} = K_0 = L_u$ ) is not recursive (decidable) within any formal model of computation. (Hint: A diagonalization proof is required here.)

*Look at notes.*

4. Using reduction from the known undecidable **HasZero**,  $\text{HZ} = \{ f \mid \exists x f(x) = 0 \}$ , show the non-recursive-ness (undecidability) of the problem to decide if an arbitrary partial recursive function **g** has the property **IsZero**,  $\text{Z} = \{ f \mid \forall x f(x) = 0 \}$ . Hint: there is a very simple construction that uses **STP** to do this. **Just giving that construction is not sufficient; you must also explain why it satisfies the desired properties of the reduction.**

5. Define  $\mathbf{RANGE\_ALL} = \{ f \mid \mathbf{range}(f) = \mathbb{N} \}$ .

a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of this set. (Hint: Look at **c.)** and **d.)** to get a clue as to what this must be.)

b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that  $\mathbf{RANGE\_ALL}$  is undecidable.

c.) Show that  $\mathbf{TOTAL} \leq_m \mathbf{RANGE\_ALL}$ , where  $\mathbf{TOTAL} = \{ f \mid \forall y \varphi_f(y) \downarrow \}$ .

d.) Show that  $\mathbf{RANGE\_ALL} \leq_m \mathbf{TOTAL}$ .

e.) From a.) through d.) what can you conclude about the complexity of  $\mathbf{RANGE\_ALL}$ ?

6. This is a simple question concerning Rice's Theorem.

a.) State the strong form of Rice's Theorem. Be sure to cover all conditions for it to apply.

b.) Describe a set of partial recursive functions whose membership cannot be shown undecidable through Rice's Theorem. What condition is violated by your example?

7. Using the definition that  $S$  is recursively enumerable iff  $S$  is either empty or the range of some algorithm  $f_S$  (total recursive function), prove that if both  $S$  and its complement  $\sim S$  are recursively enumerable then  $S$  is decidable. To get full credit, you must show the characteristic function for  $S$ ,  $\chi_S$ , in all cases. Be careful to handle the extreme cases (there are two of them). Hint: This is not an empty suggestion.