

1. In each case below, consider **R1** and **R2** to be Regular and **L1** and **L2** to be non-regular CFLs. Fill in the three columns with **Y** or **N**, indicating what kind of language **L** can be. No proofs are required.

Read \subseteq as “is contained in and may equal.” Put **Y** in all that are possible and **N** in all that are not. I did one example, so you get the idea.

Definition of L	Regular?	CFL, non-Regular?	Not even a CFL?
$L = L1 \cup L2$	Y	Y	N
$L = R1 \cap R2$			
$L = L1 - R2$			
$L = L1 / L2$			
$L \subseteq R1 / R2$			

2. Choosing from among **(D) decidable**, **(U) undecidable**, **(?) unknown**, categorize each of the following decision problems. No proofs are required.

Problem / Language Class	Regular	Context Free	Context Sensitive
$L = \Sigma^* ?$			
$L = \phi ?$			
$L = L^2 ?$			

3. Prove that any class of languages, **C**, closed under union, concatenation, intersection with regular languages, homomorphism and substitution (e.g., the Context-Free Languages) is closed under

Mid Loss with Regular Sets, where $L \in C$, **R** is Regular, **L** and **R** are over the alphabet Σ , and

$$L | R = \{ xz \mid \exists y \in R, \text{ such that } xyz \in L \}.$$

You may assume substitution $f(a) = \{a, a'\}$, and homomorphisms $g(a) = a'$ and $h(a) = a, h(a') = \lambda$. Here $a \in \Sigma$ and a' is a new character associated with each such $a \in \Sigma$.

You only need give me the definition of **L | R** in an expression that obeys CFL closure properties; you do not need to prove or even justify your expression.

L | R = _____

5. Specify True (T) or False (F) for each statement.

Statement	T or F
Every Regular Language is also a Context Free Language	
The Context Free Languages are closed under Complement	
The Quotient of a Context Free and Regular Language is Context Free	
An algorithm exists to determine if a Context Free Language is infinite	
Phrase Structured Languages are the same as RE Languages	
The Quotient of two Context Free Languages is Context Free	
The Ambiguity problem for Context Free Languages is decidable	
There is an algorithm to determine if $L = \Sigma^*$, for L a Context Free Language	
An algorithm exists to determine if a Context Sensitive Language is infinite	
PCP is undecidable even for one-letter systems	
Membership in Context Sensitive Languages is undecidable	
Every RE language is Turing reducible to its complement	
Emptiness is undecidable for Context Sensitive Languages	
There is an algorithm to determine if $L = L^2$, for L a Regular Language	
The complement of a trace language is Context Free	
The word problem for two-letter Semi-Thue Systems is decidable	

6. Let $P = \langle \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle, \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle \rangle$, $x_i, y_i \in \Sigma^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be an arbitrary instance of PCP. We can use PCP's undecidability to show the undecidability of the problem to determine if a Context Sensitive Grammar generates a non-empty language. I will present the grammar, G . You must explain how it maps an instance of PCP to the non-emptiness problem for this $\mathcal{L}(G)$.

Define $G = (\{S, T\} \cup \Sigma, \{*\}, S, R)$, where R is the set of rules:

$S \rightarrow x_i S y_i^R \mid x_i T y_i^R \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$ (Note: the superscripted R means Reversed)

$a T a \rightarrow * T *$

$* a \rightarrow a *$

$a * \rightarrow * a$

$T \rightarrow *$

a) What are the syntactic forms (strings with a variable in them) generated from S at the time it is rewritten as a string with a T in it.

b) What do the terminal strings look like when and if any are produced and under what circumstances are such terminal string produced? When answering this question, you should be referring back to part (a).

c) There are two possible cardinalities (sizes) of the language, $\mathcal{L}(G)$. What are these?