COT6410 Topics for Final Exams

Computability Theory

Some Formal Language Material

Pumping Lemmas (What they are; not their proofs or applications)

MyHill-Nerode (What it says and its implications, not its proof or applications)

Reduced Grammars and CNF (implications not proofs)

Decidable Problems and why they are decidable (Examples: Membership in Regular Languages and CFLs; Emptiness of Regular Languages and CFLs)

Various operations on CSLs, CFLs and Regular Languages (Examples Union, Intersection) Relations between rec, re, co-re, re-complete, non-re/non-co-re

Proofs about relations, e.g., re & co-re => decidable;

union of re and rec is re but can be rec

Various operations on non-re/non-co-re, re and recursive sets (Examples Sum, Product)

Use of quantified decidable predicates to categorize complexity

Reduction (many-one); degrees of unsolvability (many-one)

Rice's Theorem (including its proof)

Applications of Rice's Theorem

Proof of re-completeness (re and known re-complete reduces to problem)

Basic decidability results in formal grammars

Trace languages (CSL) and complement of trace languages (CFL)

 $L = \Sigma^*$ for CFL, $L \neq \emptyset$ for CSL

For CFL L, $L = L^2$?

Post Correspondence Problem

Semi-Thue word problem to PCP (No details, just that it's so and is a quick pathway)

PCP and context free grammars

From any PCP instance, P, can specify CFGs, G1 and G2, such that

 $L(G1) \cap L(G2) \neq \emptyset$ iff P has a solution

Merging these together to new grammar G with start symbol S and rule

 $S \rightarrow S1 \mid S2$ where S1 is start symbol of G1 and S2 is start symbol of G2 we have that G is ambiguous iff P has a solution

PCP and context sensitive grammars

From any PCP instance, P, can specify CSG, G, such that

 $L(G) \neq \emptyset$ iff P has a solution; it is also the case that L(G) is infinite if so

Note that this is second proof of undecidability of emptiness for CSG

PSG

Given TM, M, can specify PSG, G, such that L(G) = L(M)

Every PSL is homomorphic image of a CSL

Closure of CSL's under λ -free homomorphisms

Quotient

Given TM, M, can specify CFGs, G1 and G2, such that L(G1) / L(G2) = L(M)

Complexity Theory

P, NP (verification vs non-det. Solution), co-NP, NP-Complete
Polynomial many-one versus polynomial Turing reductions
Problems I will focus on
Polynomial-time bounded NDTM to SAT (basic idea)
Polynomial step bounded Semi-Thue to Bounded Tiling
SAT to 3-SAT; 3SAT to Independent Set problem (IS) for undirected graph
3SAT to SubsetSum; SubsetSum to Partition
KnapSack is limited to one bin and asks for best fit (usually with values & weights)
SubsetSum optimization problem for $\leq G$ when weight and value are same
BinPacking allows multiple bins and optimizes number of bins of some fixed size
Scheduling with fixed number (p) of processors and no deadlines
Goal is to finish all tasks as soon as possible
This is an optimization version of a p-partition problem
Deadline scheduling
BinPacking uses all items in list so list could be times of tasks leading to an Optimization
· · ·
problem to minimize the number of processors while obeying a deadline
Scheduling heuristics and anomalies
Unit execution scheduling of tree/forest and of anti-tree/anti-forest
Hamiltonian circuit (cycle)
Travelling Salesman adds distances (weights) and seeks circuit of distance $\leq K$
Reduce HC to TSP set K to $ V $ and distances to 1 where links and to K+1 otherwise
Optimization version looks for minimum distance circuit
Integer Linear Programming Feasibility
Is there an assignment that satisfies the constraints?
SAT3 and 0-1 case.
k-vertex cover, k-coloring (3-coloring),
Optimization versions: min vertex cover; min coloring
Knapsack 0-1 Problem
Dynamic Programming (looking at different dimensions – n,W/O(n*W) versus just n/O(2 ⁿ))
Bounded PCP (NP-Complete)
Tiling the plane (basic concepts)
Polynomial step bounded Semi-Thue to Bounded Tiling
Parallels and non-parallels to Recursive, RE, RE-Complete,
Co-RE, Co-RE-Complete, RE-Hard (Turing versus many-one reductions)
NP-Easy, NP-Hard, NP-Equivalent
NP-Equivalent Optimization Problems associated with
SubsetSum (max SubsetSum less than a Goal value) - reduction using power of two values
K-Coloring (min coloring) – binary search
$P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE = NPSPACE \subseteq EXPTIME \subseteq NEXPTIME \subseteq EXPSPACE$
⊈ 2-EXPTIME ⊈ 3-EXPTIME ⊈ ⊈ ELEMENTARY ⊈ PRF ⊈ REC
$P \neq EXPTIME$; At least one of these is true
P⊈NP
NP ⊈ PSPACE
PSPACE ⊈ EXPTIME
NP ≠ NEXPTIME
Note that EXPTIME = NEXPTIME iff $P=NP$
Note that k-EXPTIME \neq (k+1)-EXPTIME, k>0
PSPACE \neq EXPSPACE; At least one of these is true
PSPACE ≠ EXPSPACE, At least one of these is true PSPACE ⊈ EXPTIME
EXPTIME ⊈ EXPSPACE

ATM (Alternating Turing Machine) – This is just concept stuff with no details AP = PSPACE, where AP is solvable in polynomial time on an ATM QSAT is solvable by an alternating TM in polynomial time and polynomial space (Why?) QSAT is PSPACE-Complete Petri net reachability is EXPSPACE-hard and requires 2-EXPTIME Presburger arithmetic is at least in 2-EXPTIME, at most in 3-EXPTIME, and can be solved by an

ATM with n alternating quantifiers in doubly exponential time

2SAT

Use of Implication Graph and SCC (Strongly Connected Components) Positive Min-Ones 2SAT and relation to VC (Vertex Cover) NP-Equivalence based on VC

More Computability Theory

Two-Variable Implication Calculus

Starts with axioms and rules of inference

Derivation versus refutation

MP and Substitution versus Resolution (great for refutation but incomplete for

Derivation/Inference)

Constrained to no associativity

Reduce TM to determining what Theorems can be proved from an arbitrary set of axioms We use representation as two stacks each of which uses a composition (not simple linear) encoding.

One variable is used for left stack (state, scanned symbol, right side of tape) Other variable for left side of tape

Can "shape" of outer expression form as contents of top of stack

Shape of substitution for variable in outer shape determines next item on stack and so on

Bottom of stack has its own special shape so we know when stack is empty

Constant execution time (uniform halting)

Why is this re and not worse?

What is notion of an infinite rather than unbounded tape?

What is mortality and how does constant time relate to notion of a mortal TM?

Finite Power of CFLs

Reducing is $L = \Sigma^*$ to is $L = L^2$

Remember start point is to check if $\Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$

Reducing traces that have a fixed maximum length to $\exists n L^n = L^{n+1}$

Remember trick of a language with three parts (bad traces, pairs of configs, $\{\lambda\}$)