

## Generally useful information.

- The notation  $z = \langle x, y \rangle$  denotes the pairing function with inverses  $x = \langle z \rangle_1$  and  $y = \langle z \rangle_2$ .
- The minimization notation  $\mu y [P(\dots, y)]$  means the least  $y$  (starting at  $0$ ) such that  $P(\dots, y)$  is true. The bounded minimization (acceptable in primitive recursive functions) notation  $\mu y (u \leq y \leq v) [P(\dots, y)]$  means the least  $y$  (starting at  $u$  and ending at  $v$ ) such that  $P(\dots, y)$  is true. Unlike the text, I find it convenient to define  $\mu y (u \leq y \leq v) [P(\dots, y)]$  to be  $v+1$ , when no  $y$  satisfies this bounded minimization.
- The tilde symbol,  $\sim$ , means the complement. Thus, set  $\sim S$  is the set complement of set  $S$ , and predicate  $\sim P(x)$  is the logical complement of predicate  $P(x)$ .
- A function  $P$  is a predicate if it is a logical function that returns either  $1$  (**true**) or  $0$  (**false**). Thus,  $P(x)$  means  $P$  evaluates to **true** on  $x$ , but we can also take advantage of the fact that **true** is  $1$  and **false** is  $0$  in formulas like  $y \times P(x)$ , which would evaluate to either  $y$  (if  $P(x)$ ) or  $0$  (if  $\sim P(x)$ ).
- A set  $S$  is recursive if  $S$  has a total recursive characteristic function  $\chi_S$ , such that  $x \in S \Leftrightarrow \chi_S(x)$ . Note  $\chi_S$  is a predicate. Thus, it evaluates to  $0$  (**false**), if  $x \notin S$ .
- When I say a set  $S$  is re, unless I explicitly say otherwise, you may assume any of the following equivalent characterizations:
  1.  $S$  is either empty or the range of a total recursive function  $f_S$ .
  2.  $S$  is the domain of a partial recursive function  $g_S$ .
- If I say a function  $g$  is partially computable, then there is an index  $g$  (I know that's overloading, but that's okay as long as we understand each other), such that  $\Phi_g(x) = \Phi(x, g) = g(x)$ . Here  $\Phi$  is a universal partially recursive function.  
Moreover, there is a primitive recursive function **STP**, such that **STP**( $g, x, t$ ) is  $1$  (true), just in case  $g$ , started on  $x$ , halts in  $t$  or fewer steps.  
**STP**( $g, x, t$ ) is  $0$  (false), otherwise.  
Finally, there is another primitive recursive function **VALUE**, such that **VALUE**( $g, x, t$ ) is  $g(x)$ , whenever **STP**( $g, x, t$ ).  
**VALUE**( $g, x, t$ ) is defined but meaningless if  $\sim$ **STP**( $g, x, t$ ).
- The notation  $f(x) \downarrow$  means that  $f$  converges when computing with input  $x$ , but we don't care about the value produced. In effect, this just means that  $x$  is in the domain of  $f$ .
- The notation  $f(x) \uparrow$  means  $f$  diverges when computing with input  $x$ . In effect, this just means that  $x$  is **not** in the domain of  $f$ .
- The **Halting Problem** for any effective computational system is the problem to determine of an arbitrary effective procedure  $f$  and input  $x$ , whether or not  $f(x) \downarrow$ . The set of all such pairs,  $K_0$ , is a classic re non-recursive one.
- The **Uniform Halting Problem** is the problem to determine of an arbitrary effective procedure  $f$ , whether or not  $f$  is an algorithm (halts on all input). The set of all such function indices is a classic non re one.
- $A \leq_m B$  ( $A$  many-one reduces to  $B$ ) means that there exists a total recursive function  $f$  such that  $x \in A \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in B$ . If  $A \leq_m B$  and  $B \leq_m A$  then we say that  $A \equiv_m B$  ( $A$  is many-one equivalent to  $B$ ). If the reducing function is 1-1, then we say  $A \leq_1 B$  ( $A$  one-one reduces to  $B$ ) and  $A \equiv_1 B$  ( $A$  is one-one equivalent to  $B$ ).

1. Choosing from among **(REC) recursive**, **(RE) re non-recursive**, **(coRE) co-re non-recursive**, **(NRNC) non-re/non-co-re**, categorize each of the sets in a) through d). Justify your answer by showing some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate.

a.)  $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ is finite} \}$  NRNC

Justification:  $\exists x \forall y \geq x \forall t \sim \text{STP}(f, y, t)$

b.)  $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ is empty} \}$  CO

Justification:  $\forall x \forall t \sim \text{STP}(f, x, t)$

c.)  $\{ \langle f, x \rangle \mid f(x) \text{ converges in at most 20 steps} \}$  REC

Justification:  $\text{STP}(f, x, 20)$

d.)  $\{ f \mid \text{domain}(f) \text{ converges in at most 20 steps for some input } x \}$  RE

Justification:  $\exists x \text{STP}(f, x, 20)$

2. Let set **A** be recursive, **B** be re non-recursive and **C** be non-re. Choosing from among **(REC) recursive**, **(RE) re non-recursive**, **(NR) non-re**, categorize the set **D** in each of a) through d) by listing **all** possible categories. No justification is required.

a.)  $D = \sim C$  RE, NR

b.)  $D \subseteq A \cup C$  REC, RE, NR

c.)  $D = \sim B$  NR

d.)  $D = B - A$  REC, RE

3. Prove that the **Halting Problem** (the set  $\text{HALT} = K_0 = L_u$ ) is not recursive (decidable) within any formal model of computation. (Hint: A diagonalization proof is required here.)

*Look at notes.*

4. Using reduction from the known undecidable **HasZero**,  $\text{HZ} = \{ f \mid \exists x f(x) = 0 \}$ , show the non-recursive-ness (undecidability) of the problem to decide if an arbitrary partial recursive function **g** has the property **IsZero**,  $Z = \{ f \mid \forall x f(x) = 0 \}$ . Hint: there is a very simple construction that uses **STP** to do this. **Just giving that construction is not sufficient; you must also explain why it satisfies the desired properties of the reduction.**

$$\text{HZ} = \{ f \mid \exists x \exists t [ \text{STP}(f, x, t) \ \& \ \text{VALUE}(f, x, t) = 0 ] \}$$

Let  $f$  be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure.

$$\text{Define } g_f(y) = 1 - \exists x \exists t [ \text{STP}(f, x, t) \ \& \ \text{VALUE}(f, x, t) = 0 ]$$

If  $\exists x f(x) = 0$ , we will find the  $x$  and the run-time  $t$ , and so we will return 0 (1 - 1)

If  $\forall x f(x) \neq 0$ , then we will diverge in the search process and never return a value.

Thus,  $f \in \text{HZ}$  iff  $g_f \in Z$ .

5. Define  $\text{RANGE\_ALL} = \{ f \mid \text{range}(f) = \aleph \}$ .

a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of this set. (Hint: Look at **c.)** and **d.)** to get a clue as to what this must be.)

$$\forall x \exists \langle y, t \rangle [\text{STP}(f, y, t) \ \&\& \ \text{Value}(f, y, t) = x]$$

b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that  $\text{RANGE\_ALL}$  is undecidable.

This is non-trivial as  $I(x) = x \in \text{RANGE\_ALL}$  and  $C_0(x) = 0 \notin \text{RANGE\_ALL}$

Let  $f, g$  be such that  $\forall x \varphi_f(x) = \varphi_g(x)$ .

$$f \in \text{RANGE\_ALL} \Leftrightarrow \text{range}(f) = \aleph$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{range}(g) = \aleph \quad \text{since } g \text{ outputs the same value as } f \text{ for any input}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g \in \text{RANGE\_ALL}$$

Since the property is non-trivial and is an I/O property, Rice's Theorem says it is undecidable.

c.) Show that  $\text{TOTAL} \leq_m \text{RANGE\_ALL}$ , where  $\text{TOTAL} = \{ f \mid \forall y \varphi_f(y) \downarrow \}$ .

Let  $f$  be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure  $\varphi_f$ . Define  $g$  such that  $g(f)$ , denoted  $g_f$ , is the index of the function  $\varphi_{g_f}$  defined by  $\varphi_{g_f}(x) = \varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x) + x$ .

$$f \in \text{TOTAL} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \varphi_f(x) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow \forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) = x \Rightarrow \forall x x \in \text{range}(g_f) \Rightarrow g_f \in \text{RANGE\_ALL}$$

$$f \notin \text{TOTAL} \Leftrightarrow \exists x \varphi_f(x) \uparrow \Leftrightarrow \exists x \varphi_{g_f}(x) \uparrow \Rightarrow \exists x x \notin \text{range}(g_f) \Rightarrow g_f \notin \text{RANGE\_ALL}$$

This shows that  $\text{TOTAL} \leq_m \text{RANGE\_ALL}$ , as was desired.

d.) Show that  $\text{RANGE\_ALL} \leq_m \text{TOTAL}$ .

Let  $f$  be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure  $\varphi_f$ . Define  $g$  such that  $g(f)$ , denoted  $g_f$ , is the index of the function  $\varphi_{g_f}$  defined by  $\varphi_{g_f}(x) = \exists \langle y, t \rangle [\text{STP}(f, y, t) \ \&\& \ \text{Value}(f, y, t) = x]$ .

$$f \in \text{RANGE\_ALL} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \exists \langle y, t \rangle [\text{STP}(f, y, t) \ \&\& \ \text{Value}(f, y, t) = x] \Leftrightarrow \forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow g_f \in \text{TOTAL}$$

This shows that  $\text{RANGE\_ALL} \leq_m \text{TOTAL}$ , as was desired.

e.) From a.) through d.) what can you conclude about the complexity of  $\text{RANGE\_ALL}$ ?

a) shows that  $\text{RANGE\_ALL}$  is no more complex than others that must use the alternating qualifiers  $\forall \exists$ . b) shows the problem is non-recursive. c) and d) combine to show that the problem is in fact of equal complexity with the non-re problem  $\text{TOTAL}$ , so the result in a) was optimal.

6. This is a simple question concerning Rice's Theorem.

a.) State the strong form of Rice's Theorem. Be sure to cover all conditions for it to apply.

**Let  $P$  be a property of indices of partial recursive function such that the set**

**$S_P = \{ f \mid f \text{ has property } P \}$  has the following two restrictions**

**(1)  $S_P$  is non-trivial. This means that  $S_P$  is neither empty nor is it the set of all indices.**

**(2)  $P$  is an I/O behavior. That is, if  $f$  and  $g$  are two partial recursive functions whose I/O behaviors are indistinguishable,  $\forall x f(x)=g(x)$ , then either both of  $f$  and  $g$  have property  $P$  or neither has property  $P$ .**

**Then  $P$  is undecidable.**

b.) Describe a set of partial recursive functions whose membership cannot be shown undecidable through Rice's Theorem. What condition is violated by your example?

**There are many possibilities here. For example  $\{ f \mid \exists x \sim \text{STP}(f,x,x) \}$  is not an I/O property and  $\{ f \mid \exists x f(x) \neq f(x) \}$  is trivial (empty).**

7. Using the definition that  $S$  is recursively enumerable iff  $S$  is either empty or the range of some algorithm  $f_S$  (total recursive function), prove that if both  $S$  and its complement  $\sim S$  are recursively enumerable then  $S$  is decidable. To get full credit, you must show the characteristic function for  $S$ ,  $\chi_S$ , in all cases. Be careful to handle the extreme cases (there are two of them). Hint: This is not an empty suggestion.

**Let  $S = \emptyset$  then  $\sim S = \mathcal{N}$ . Both are re and  $\forall x \chi_S(x) = 0$  is  $S$ 's characteristic function.**

**Let  $S = \mathcal{N}$  then  $\sim S = \emptyset$ . Both are re and  $\forall x \chi_S(x) = 1$  is  $S$ 's characteristic function.**

**Assume then that  $S \neq \emptyset$  and  $S \neq \mathcal{N}$  then each of  $S$  and  $\sim S$  is enumerated by some total recursive function. Let  $S$  be enumerated by  $f_S$  and  $\sim S$  by  $f_{\sim S}$ . Define**

**$\chi_S(x) = f_S(\mu y [f_S(y) = x \parallel f_{\sim S}(y) = x]) = x$ .**

**Moreover, the minimization, while conceptually unbounded, always converges because both  $f_S$  and  $f_{\sim S}$  are algorithms.**

**Further,  $x$  must be in the range of one and only one of  $f_S$  or  $f_{\sim S}$ . Thus,**

**$\exists y f_S(y) = x$  or  $\exists y f_{\sim S}(y) = x$ .**

**The min operator ( $\mu y$ ) finds the smallest such  $y$  and the predicate**

**$f_S(\mu y [f_S(y) = x \parallel f_{\sim S}(y) = x]) = x$  checks that  $x$  is in the range of  $f_S$ .**

**If it is, then  $\chi_S(x) = 1$  else  $\chi_S(x) = 0$ , as desired.**