

COT6410 Spring 2019 Assignment #4 Sample

Define $\mathbf{NTR} = \mathbf{NON_TRIVIAL_RANGE} = \{ f \mid |\mathbf{range}(f)| > 1 \}$.

- a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of this set. (Hint: Look at **c.**) and **d.**) to get a clue as to what this must be.)
- b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that \mathbf{NTR} is undecidable.
- c.) Show that $\mathbf{K}_0 \leq_m \mathbf{NTR}$, where $\mathbf{K}_0 = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \mid \varphi_x(y) \downarrow \}$.
- d.) Show that $\mathbf{NTR} \leq_m \mathbf{K}_0$.
- e.) From **a.)** through **d.)** what can you conclude about the complexity of \mathbf{NTR} (Recursive, RE, RE-COMPLETE, CO-RE, CO-RE-COMPLETE, NON-RE/NON-CO-RE)?

COT6410 Spring 2019 Assignment #4 Sample with Solutions

Define $NTR = NON_TRIVIAL_RANGE = \{ f \mid |range(f)| > 1 \}$.

- a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of this set. (Hint: Look at **c.**) and **d.**) to get a clue as to what this must be.)

$$\exists \langle x, y, t \rangle [STP(f, x, t) \ \&\& \ STP(f, y, t) \ \&\& \ (VALUE(f, x, t) \neq VALUE(f, y, t))]$$

- b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that **NTR** is undecidable.

First show NTR is non-trivial:

$$I(x) = x \in NTR \quad C_0(x) = 0 \notin NTR$$

and thus, the set and its complement are non-empty as required.

Let f, g be two arbitrary indices (functions) such that $range(\varphi_f) = range(\varphi_g)$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} f \in NTR \text{ iff } |range(\varphi_f)| > 1 & \text{Definition of NTR} \\ \text{iff } |range(\varphi_g)| > 1 & \text{Since we assumed } range(\varphi_f) = range(\varphi_g) \\ \text{iff } g \in NTR & \text{Definition of NTR} \end{array}$$

This weak form of Rice's Theorem shows NTR to be undecidable.

- c.) Show that $K_0 \leq_m NTR$, where $K_0 = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \mid \varphi_x(y) \downarrow \}$.

Let $\langle x, y \rangle$ be an arbitrary pair of natural numbers.

$$\text{Define } f_{x,y}(z) = \varphi_x(y) - \varphi_x(y) + z$$

$$\langle x, y \rangle \in K_0 \text{ implies } \forall z f_{x,y}(z) = z \text{ implies Range}(f_{x,y}) \text{ is infinite implies } f_{x,y} \in NTR$$

$$\langle x, y \rangle \notin K_0 \text{ implies } \forall z f_{x,y}(z) \uparrow \text{ implies Range}(f_{x,y}) \text{ is empty implies } f_{x,y} \notin NTR$$

$$\text{Thus, } \langle x, y \rangle \in K_0 \Leftrightarrow f_{x,y} \in NTR$$

And so, $K_0 \leq_m NTR$

- d.) Show that $NTR \leq_m K_0$.

Let f be an arbitrary index (function)

$$\text{Define } g_f(z) = \exists \langle x, y, t \rangle [STP(f, x, t) \ \&\& \ STP(f, y, t) \ \&\& \ (VALUE(f, x, t) \neq VALUE(f, y, t))]$$

$$f \in NTR \text{ implies } \forall z g_f(z) = 1 \text{ implies } \langle g_f, 0 \rangle \in K_0$$

$$f \notin NTR \text{ implies } \forall z g_f(z) \uparrow \text{ implies } \langle g_f, 0 \rangle \notin K_0$$

$$\text{Thus, } f \in NTR \Leftrightarrow \langle g_f, 0 \rangle \in K_0$$

And so, $NTR \leq_m K_0$

- e.) From a.) through d.) what can you conclude about the complexity of **NTR** (Recursive, RE, RE-COMPLETE, CO-RE, CO-RE-COMPLETE, NON-RE/NON-CO-RE)?

RE-COMPLETE