

- 12 1. Choosing from among (REC) recursive, (RE) re non-recursive, (CO) co-re non-recursive, (NR) non-re, categorize each of the sets in a) through d). Justify your answer by showing some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate.
- a.) $\{ \langle f, x \rangle \mid f(x) \text{ takes at least } x^2 \text{ steps to converge} \}$ REC
Justification: $\sim \text{STP}(x, f, x^2 - 1)$
- b.) $\{ f \mid \text{range}(f) \text{ contains only even numbers} \}$ CO
Justification: $\forall \langle x, t \rangle [\text{STP}(x, f, t) \Rightarrow \text{isEven}(x)]$
- c.) $\{ f \mid \text{range}(f) \text{ is not the set of natural numbers} \}$ NR
Justification: $\exists x \forall \langle y, t \rangle [\text{STP}(y, f, t) \Rightarrow \text{Value}(y, f, t) \neq x]$
- d.) $\{ f \mid f \text{ converges on some pair of input, } x, 2x \}$ RE
Justification: $\exists \langle x, t \rangle [\text{STP}(x, f, t) \ \&\& \ \text{STP}(2x, f, t)]$
- 9 2. Let **A** be re, possibly recursive, and **B** be re non-recursive. Let $C = (A \cap \sim B) \cup (B \cap \sim A)$. For each part, either show sets **A** and **B** with the specified property and **justify in detail** how these meet the required property, or present a **demonstration** that this property cannot hold.
- a.) Can **C** be re non-recursive?
YES. Let $A = \emptyset$. **A** is clearly re, even recursive since it is trivially decided by $\chi_A(x) = 0$. Then $C = (\emptyset \cap \sim B) \cup (B \cap \aleph) = B$. **B** is given to be re, non-recursive.
- b.) Can **C** be co-re non-recursive?
YES. Let $A = \aleph$. **A** is clearly re, even recursive since it is trivially decided by $\chi_A(x) = 1$. Then $C = (\aleph \cap \sim B) \cup (B \cap \emptyset) = \sim B$. Since **B** is given to be re, non-recursive, its complement must be co-re non-recursive, as desired.
- 12 3. Let set **A** and **B** be sets, such that $A \leq_m B$ by the total $m-1$ recursive function f_{AB} . For each of the following, be **complete** by addressing whether or not the specified set can be recursive, re non-recursive and/or non-re.
- a.) Assume **A** is re, non-recursive and semi-decided by the partial recursive functions g_A . What can we say about the complexity (recursive, re, non-re) of **B**? Address all three cases.
B is definitely not recursive and may not even be re.
B cannot be Recursive: Assume otherwise, and let **B** be decided by the characteristic function χ_B , then **A** would be decided by the characteristic function $\chi_B \circ f_{AB}$. That is, $x \in A$ iff $\chi_B(f_{AB}(x))$. Since **A** is non-recursive, this is a contraction, and hence **B** cannot be recursive.
B can be RE, non-recursive: Let $A=B$ then $A \leq_m B$ using the reduction $f_{AB}(x) = x$ since $x \in A$ iff $f_{AB}(x) = x \in B$, which is precisely what we want since $A=B$.
B can be non-RE: Choose $B = \{ 2f \mid f \in \text{TOTAL} \} \cup \{ 2f+1 \mid f \in A \}$. Letting $f_{AB}(x) = 2x+1$, we can see that $A \leq_m B$. However, **B** has at least the complexity of **TOTAL**, since $\text{TOTAL} \leq_m B$ by the mapping $x \in \text{TOTAL}$ iff $2x \in B$. Since **TOTAL** is non-RE, we have the desired result.
- b.) Assume **B** is re, non-recursive and semi-decided by the partial recursive functions g_B . What can we say about the complexity (recursive, re, non-re) of **A**? Address all three cases.
A is re and possibly recursive.
A can be Recursive: Let $A = \aleph$; $\chi_A(x) = 1$. Let $b \in B$ (there is some such b since **B** cannot be empty, else it would be recursive), then $A \leq_m B$ using the reduction $f_{AB}(x) = b$ since $x \in A$ iff $f_{AB}(x) = b \in B$, which is true for all x and what we desire since $A = \aleph$.
A can be RE, non-recursive: Let $A=B$ then $A \leq_m B$ using the reduction $f_{AB}(x) = x$ since $x \in A$ iff $f_{AB}(x) = x \in B$, which is precisely what we want since $A=B$.
A cannot be non-RE: $x \in A$ iff $g_B(f_{AB}(x)) \downarrow$, and thus is semi-decided by $g_A(x) = g_B(f_{AB}(x))$.

4. Define $\text{RANGE_ALL} = \{ f \mid \text{range}(f) = \mathbb{N} \}$.

- 2 a.) Show some minimal quantification of some known recursive predicate that provides an upper bound for the complexity of this set. (Hint: Look at **c.)** and **d.)** to get a clue as to what this must be.)

$$\forall x \exists \langle y, t \rangle [\text{STP}(y, f, t) \ \& \ \text{Value}(y, f, t) = x]$$

- 5 b.) Use Rice's Theorem to prove that RANGE_ALL is undecidable.

This is non-trivial as $I(x) = x \in \text{RANGE_ALL}$ and $C_0(x) = 0 \notin \text{RANGE_ALL}$

Let f, g be such that $\forall x \varphi_f(x) = \varphi_g(x)$.

$$f \in \text{RANGE_ALL} \Leftrightarrow \text{range}(f) = \mathbb{N}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{range}(g) = \mathbb{N} \quad \text{since } g \text{ outputs the same value as } f \text{ for any input}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow g \in \text{RANGE_ALL}$$

Since the property is non-trivial and is an I/O property, Rice's Theorem says it is undecidable.

- 5 c.) Show that $\text{TOTAL} \leq_m \text{RANGE_ALL}$, where $\text{TOTAL} = \{ f \mid \forall y \varphi_f(y) \downarrow \}$.

Let f be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure φ_f . Define g such that $g(f)$, denoted g_f , is the index of the function φ_{g_f} defined by $\forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) = \varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x) + x$.

$$f \in \text{TOTAL} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \varphi_f(x) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow \forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) = x \Rightarrow \forall x x \in \text{range}(g_f) \Rightarrow g_f \in \text{RANGE_ALL}$$

$$f \notin \text{TOTAL} \Leftrightarrow \exists x \varphi_f(x) \uparrow \Leftrightarrow \exists x \varphi_{g_f}(x) \uparrow \Rightarrow \exists x x \notin \text{range}(g_f) \Rightarrow g_f \notin \text{RANGE_ALL}$$

This shows that $\text{TOTAL} \leq_m \text{RANGE_ALL}$, as was desired.

- 5 d.) Show that $\text{RANGE_ALL} \leq_m \text{TOTAL}$.

Let f be the index of an arbitrary effective procedure φ_f . Define g such that $g(f)$, denoted g_f , is the index of the function φ_{g_f} defined by $\forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) = \exists \langle y, t \rangle [\text{STP}(y, f, t) \ \& \ \text{VALUE}(y, f, t) = x]$

This is $\forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) = \exists y \varphi_f(y) = x$, but it avoids the potential problem that $\varphi_f(y') \uparrow, y' < y$.

$$f \in \text{RANGE_ALL} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \exists y \varphi_f(y) = x \Leftrightarrow \forall x \varphi_{g_f}(x) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow g_f \in \text{TOTAL}$$

This shows that $\text{RANGE_ALL} \leq_m \text{TOTAL}$, as was desired.

- 2 e.) From a.) through d.) what can you conclude about the complexity of RANGE_ALL ?
a) shows that RANGE_ALL is no more complex than others that must use the alternating qualifiers $\forall \exists$. b) shows the problem is non-recursive. c) and d) combine to show that the problem is in fact of equal complexity with the non-re problem TOTAL , so the result in a) was optimal.

5. This is a simple question concerning Rice's Theorem.

- 4 a.) State the strong form of Rice's Theorem. Be sure to cover all conditions for it to apply.

Let P be a property of indices of partial recursive function such that the set

$S_P = \{ f \mid f \text{ has property } P \}$ has the following two restrictions

(1) S_P is non-trivial. This means that S_P is neither empty nor is it the set of all indices.

(2) P is an I/O behavior. That is, if f and g are two partial recursive functions whose I/O

behaviors are indistinguishable, $\forall x f(x) = g(x)$, then either both of f and g have property P or neither has property P .

Then P is undecidable.

- 2 b.) Describe a set of partial recursive functions whose membership cannot be shown undecidable through Rice's Theorem. What condition is violated by your example?

There are many possibilities here. For example $\{ f \mid \exists x \sim \text{STP}(x, f, x) \}$ is not an I/O property and $\{ f \mid \exists x f(x) \neq f(x) \}$ is trivial (empty).

- 8 6. Using the definition that S is recursively enumerable iff S is either empty or the range of some algorithm f_S (total recursive function), prove that if both S and its complement $\sim S$ are recursively enumerable then S is decidable. To get full credit, you must show the characteristic function for S , χ_S , in all cases. Be careful to handle the extreme cases (there are two of them). Hint: This is not an empty suggestion.

Let $S = \emptyset$ then $\sim S = \aleph$. Both are re and $\forall x \chi_S(x) = 0$ is S 's characteristic function.

Let $S = \aleph$ then $\sim S = \emptyset$. Both are re and $\forall x \chi_S(x) = 1$ is S 's characteristic function.

Assume then that $S \neq \emptyset$ and $S \neq \aleph$ then each of S and $\sim S$ is enumerated by some total recursive function. Let S be enumerated by f_S and $\sim S$ by $f_{\sim S}$. Define

$$\chi_S(x) = f_S(\mu y [f_S(y) = x \parallel f_{\sim S}(y) = x]) = x.$$

Note first that f_S and $f_{\sim S}$ are total and so the above is well-defined.

Note also that x must be in the range of one and only one of f_S or $f_{\sim S}$. Thus,

$$\exists y f_S(y) = x \text{ or } \exists y f_{\sim S}(y) = x.$$

The min operator (μy) finds the smallest such y and the predicate

$$f_S(\mu y [f_S(y) = x \parallel f_{\sim S}(y) = x]) = x \text{ checks that } x \text{ is in the range of } f_S.$$

If it is, then $\chi_S(x) = 1$ else $\chi_S(x) = 0$, as desired.