

**University of Central Florida  
School of Computer Science  
COT 4210      Spring 2004**

**Prof. Rene Peralta**

**Extra credit HW: answers to selected problems**

1. Consider the following grammar over  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ :

- $S \rightarrow AB;$
- $A \rightarrow AS + Sb + a;$
- $B \rightarrow BS + aA + \lambda;$

Convert the grammar to Chomsky Normal Form (please use rules  $U \rightarrow a$  and  $V \rightarrow b$ ). **answer:** Besides some simpler transformations, we must remove unallowed  $\lambda$ -productions and chain rules. The general technique I taught in class is

to remove a given production  $P$ , add redundant productions until  $P$  itself becomes redundant. Then remove  $P$ .

First deal with the mixed (terminals and variables) terms using  $U \rightarrow a$  and  $V \rightarrow b$ :

- $S \rightarrow AB;$
- $A \rightarrow AS + SV + a;$
- $B \rightarrow BS + UA + \lambda;$

To make  $B \rightarrow \lambda$  redundant, add  $S \rightarrow A$  and  $B \rightarrow S$ :

- $S \rightarrow AB + A;$
- $A \rightarrow AS + SV + a;$
- $B \rightarrow BS + UA + S.$

To make  $S \rightarrow A$  redundant, add  $S \rightarrow AS + SV + a$ . Reasoning: when the rule  $S \rightarrow A$  is used in a derivation, the variable  $A$  must at some point later be substituted for  $AS$  or  $SV$  or  $a$ .

- $S \rightarrow AB + AS + SV + a;$
- $A \rightarrow AS + SV + a;$
- $B \rightarrow BS + UA + S.$

Now we could remove  $B \rightarrow S$  in the same way we removed  $S \rightarrow A$ . Instead, and to show you that there is more than one way to do this, consider the step in a derivation where a new variable  $B$  is produced. This can only happen when the production  $S \rightarrow AB$  is used.<sup>1</sup> The only place where  $B \rightarrow S$  can later play a role is in changing this  $B$  into an  $S$ . So adding the rule  $A \rightarrow AS$  makes  $B \rightarrow S$  redundant. But this rule is already there, so we can simply remove the rule  $B \rightarrow S$ :

- $S \rightarrow AB + AS + SV + a;$
- $A \rightarrow AS + SV + a;$
- $B \rightarrow BS + UA.$

Eliminating recursion on  $S$  is simple. The final grammar is

- $S \rightarrow AB + AT + TV + a;$
- $T \rightarrow AB + AT + TV + a;$
- $A \rightarrow AT + TV + a;$
- $B \rightarrow BT + UA;$
- $U \rightarrow a;$
- $V \rightarrow b.$

---

<sup>1</sup>Why can we ignore the production  $B \rightarrow BS$  here?

2. Consider the following grammar over  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ :

- $S \rightarrow SAS + a;$
- $A \rightarrow AS + b;$

Convert the grammar to Greibach Normal Form. Show and comment your work.

**answer:** We must eliminate left-recursion. We note left-derivations from  $S$  generate the following expressions

$$S \rightarrow a(AS)^* = a + a(AS)^+.$$

We can do this without left-recursion as follows:

- $S \rightarrow a + aT;$
- $T \rightarrow AST + AS.$

Similarly,  $A$  generates the following expressions

$$A \rightarrow bS^* = b + bS^+.$$

We can do this without left-recursion as follows:

- $A \rightarrow b + bR;$
- $R \rightarrow SR + S.$

The resulting grammar is

- $S \rightarrow a + aT;$
- $T \rightarrow AST + AS;$
- $A \rightarrow b + bR;$
- $R \rightarrow SR + S.$

Greibach Normal Form requires expressions on the right-hand side of productions to begin with non-terminals. Simple substitution can be used to obtain

- $S \rightarrow a + aT;$
- $T \rightarrow (b + bR)ST + (b + bR)S;$
- $A \rightarrow b + bR;$
- $R \rightarrow (a + aT)R + a + aT.$

The text does not allow  $S$  in the right-hand side of productions in Greibach Normal Form. However, it was noted in class that there appears to be no good reason for this requirement. So the above is good enough.