

# Assignment # 9.1a Key

1. Use quantification of an algorithmic predicate to estimate the complexity (decidable, re, co-re, non-re) of each of the following, (a)-(d):

$$ID = \{ f \mid \forall x f(x) = x \}$$

$$\forall x \exists t [ STP(f,x,t) \& (VALUE(f,x,t) = x) ]$$

**Non-RE, Non-Co-RE**

# Assignment # 9.1b Key

b.) **STUTTER** = { f | for some x,  $f(x+1) = f(x)$  }

$\exists \langle x, t \rangle [ \text{STP}(f, x, t) \ \& \ \text{STP}(f, x+1, t) \ \& \ (\text{VALUE}(f, x, t) = (\text{VALUE}(f, x+1, t))) ]$

**RE**

# Assignment # 9.1c Key

**FIB1 = { f | for some  $x > 1$   $f(x+2) = f(x+1) + f(x)$  }**

**$\exists \langle x, t \rangle [x > 1 \ \& \ \text{STP}(f, x, t) \ \& \ \text{STP}(f, x+1, t) \ \& \ \text{STP}(f, x+2, t) \ \& \ (\text{VALUE}(f, x+2, t) = \text{VALUE}(f, x+1, t) + \text{VALUE}(f, x, t)) ]$**

**RE**

# Assignment # 9.1d Key

d)  $FIB2 = \{ f \mid \text{there is some } x \geq 0, \text{ such that}$   
 $\text{for all } y \geq x [f(y+2) = f(y+1) + f(y)] \}$

$\exists x \forall y \exists t [y \geq x \ \& \ STP(f,y,t) \ \& \ STP(f,y+1,t) \ STP(f,y+2,t) \ \& \ (VALUE(f,y+2,t) = VALUE(f,y+1,t) + VALUE(f,y,t)) ]$

**Non-RE, Non-Co-RE**

# Assignment # 9.2 Key

2. Let sets **A** be recursive (decidable) and **B** be re non-recursive (undecidable).

Consider  $C = \{ z \mid z = \max(x,y), x \in A, y \in B \}$ . For (a)-(c), either show sets **A** and **B** with the specified property or demonstrate that this property cannot hold.

a) Can **C** be recursive?

YES. Consider  $A = \mathbb{N}$ .  $B = \text{Halt}$ .

$C = \{x \mid x \geq \text{the smallest value in } B\}$ . As  $B$  is non-empty it has some smallest value,  $s$ , so  $C$  is well-defined and

$$\chi_C(x) = x \geq s$$

# Assignment # 9.2b Key

b) Can **C** be non-recursive?

**YES.** Consider  $A = \{ 0 \}$ .  $B = \text{Halt}$ .  $C = \text{Halt}$ . This is semi-decidable but non rec as Halt is equivalent to C.

# Assignment # 9.2c Key

c) Can **C** be non-re?

No. Can enumerate C as follows.

First if A is empty then C is empty and so RE by definition.

If A is non-empty then A is enumerated by some algorithm  $f_A$  as recursive sets are RE.

As B is non-recursive RE, then it is non-empty and enumerated by some algorithm  $f_B$ .

Define  $f_C$  by  $f_C(\langle x, y \rangle) = \max(f_A(x), f_B(y))$ .  $f_C$  is clearly an algorithm as it is the composition of algorithms. The range of  $f_C$  is then  $\{ z \mid \max(x, y), \text{ where } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B \} = C$  and so C must be RE.