Assignment # 8.1 Key

 Use reduction from HALT to show that one cannot decide STUTTER, where STUTTER = { f | for some x, f(x+1) = f(x) }

Let f,x be an arbitrary pair of natural numbers. <f,x> is in Halt iff $\varphi_f(x)\downarrow$

Define g by $\varphi_g(y) = \varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x)$, for all y.

Clearly, $\varphi_g(y) = 0$, for all y, iff $\varphi_f(x) \downarrow$, and $\varphi_g(y) \uparrow$, for all y, otherwise.

Summarizing, <f,x> is in Halt implies g is in STUTTER and <f,x> is not in Halt implies g is not in STUTTER.

Halt \leq_{m} STUTTER as we were to show.

Note: I have not overloaded the index of a function with the function in my proof, but I do not mind if you do such overloading.

Assignment # 8.2 Key

2. Show that STUTTER reduces to Halt. (1 plus 2 show they are equally hard)

Let f be an arbitrary natural number. f is in STUTTER iff for some x, $\varphi_f(x)\downarrow$, $\varphi_f(x+1)\downarrow$ and $\varphi_f(x) == \varphi_f(x+1)$

Define g by $\varphi_g(z) = \exists \langle x,t \rangle [STP(f,x,t) \& STP(f,x+1,t) \& (VALUE(f,x,t) == (VALUE(f,x+1,t))]$, for all z.

Clearly, $\varphi_g(z) = 1$, for all z, iff there is some, x, such that $\varphi_f(x) \downarrow$ and $\varphi_f(x+1) \downarrow$ and $\varphi_f(x) = = \varphi_f(x+1)$, and $\varphi_g(z) \uparrow$, for all z, otherwise.

Summarizing, f is in STUTTER iff <g,0> is in Halt and so

STUTTER \leq_{m} Halt as we were to show. Note that this also shows **STUTTER** \leq_{m} Total but that's not surprising.

Assignment # 8.3 Key

3. Use Reduction from TOTAL to show that ID is not even re, where ID = { f | \forall x f(x) = x }

Let f be an arbitrary natural number. f is in Total iff \forall x ϕ_{f} (x) \downarrow

Define g by $\varphi_g(x) = \varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x) + x$, for all x.

Clearly, $\varphi_g(x) = x$ for all x, iff $\forall x \varphi_f(x) \downarrow$; otherwise $\varphi_g(x) \uparrow$ for some x. Summarizing, f is in Total iff g is in ID and so

TOTAL \leq_{m} **ID** as we were to show.

Assignment # 8.4 Key

4. Show ID reduces to Total. (3 plus 4 show they are equally hard) Let f be an arbitrary natural number. f is in ID iff $\forall x \phi_f(x) = x$. Define g by $\phi_g(x) = \mu y[\phi_f(x) == x]$, for all x. Clearly, $\phi_g(x) \downarrow$, for all x, iff $\forall x \phi_f(x) = x$; otherwise $\phi_g(x)\uparrow$ for some x. Summarizing, f is in ID iff g is in Total and so

 $ID \leq_m TOTAL$ as we were to show.

Assignment # 8.5 Key

5. Use Rice's Theorem to show that **STUTTER** is undecidable

First, STUTTER is non-trivial as CO(x) = 0 is in STUTTER and S(x) = x+1 is not.

Second, STUTTER is an I/O property.

To see this, let f and g are two arbitrary indices such that $\forall x [\phi_f(x) = \phi_g(x)]$

 $f \in \text{STUTTER iff } \exists x \text{ such that } \phi_f(x) = \phi_f(x+1)$ iff for some x_0 , $\phi_f(x_0) = \phi_f(x_0+1)$ iff, since $\forall x \ [\phi_f(x) == \phi_g(x)]$, for some x_0 , $\phi_g(x_0) = \phi_g(x_0+1)$ iff $\exists x \text{ such that } \phi_g(x) = \phi_g(x+1) \text{ iff } g \in \text{STUTTER.}$

Thus, $f \in STUTTER$ iff $g \in STUTTER$.

Assignment # 8.6 Key

6. Use Rice's Theorem to show that ID is undecidable First, ID is non-trivial as I(x) = x is in ID and S(x) = x+1 is not. Second, ID is an I/O property.

To see this, let f and g are two arbitrary indices such that $\forall x [\phi_f(x) = \phi_g(x)]$. $f \in ID \text{ iff } \forall x \phi_f(x) = x$ iff, since $\forall x [\phi_f(x) = \phi_g(x)]$, $\forall x \phi_g(x) = x \text{ iff } g \in DOUBLES$. Thus, $f \in ID \text{ iff } g \in ID$.