

# Assignment # 2.1 Sample

Prove or disprove, if  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct prime numbers ( $p \neq q$ ), then  $\sqrt{pq}$  is irrational.

Proof:

Assume  $\sqrt{pq}$  is a rational number. Let  $a/b$  be the reduced fraction (no common prime factors) that equals  $\sqrt{pq}$ .

$\sqrt{pq} = a/b$  : assumption (note  $a \neq b$ , as then  $pq=1$ )

$pq = a^2/b^2$  : square both sides

$pqb^2 = a^2$  : multiply both sides by  $b^2$

$pqb^2 = (kpq)^2$  : for some  $k$ , as  $p$  and  $q$  must be prime factors of  $a$  since  $a$  and  $b$  have no common prime factors

$b^2 = k^2pq$  : divide both sides by  $pq$

But then  $p$  and  $q$  must be prime factors of both  $a$  and  $b$ . But then  $a/b$  is not reduced as both have common prime factors  $p$  and  $q$ . This contradicts our original assumption that  $\sqrt{pq}$  is rational, so it is irrational.

# Assignment # 2.2 Sample

Prove, if  $L$  is a language over  $\Sigma$  then

$\lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n = \Sigma^*$  iff  $(\Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}) \subseteq L$ .

Note:  $L^k = \{x_1 x_2 \dots x_k \mid x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in L\}$

Proof:

**Assume  $(\Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}) \subseteq L$ .** As  $\lambda \in L$ ,  $L^k \subseteq L^{k+1}$ , for all  $k \geq 0$ , since  $L^k \cdot \lambda = L^k$ .

By definition  $\Sigma^* = \{\lambda\} \cup \Sigma \cup \Sigma^2 \cup \dots \cup \Sigma^j \cup \dots = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^k$

Since  $(\Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}) \subseteq L$  we have that, for each  $k \geq 0$ ,  $L^k \subseteq L^{k+1} \subseteq \lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n$ .

Thus, for each  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\Sigma^k \subseteq L^k \subseteq \lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n$  and so  $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \Sigma^k \subseteq \lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n$ .

Given that  $L$  is a language over  $\Sigma$  then  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , by definition, and therefore

$L^n \subseteq \Sigma^*$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and so  $\lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n \subseteq \lim(n \rightarrow \infty) \Sigma^* \subseteq \Sigma^*$ .

Putting this together, we have  $\lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n = \Sigma^*$ .

Thus,  **$(\Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}) \subseteq L$  implies  $\lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n = \Sigma^*$ .**

**Assume  $\lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n = \Sigma^*$ .** Clearly  $L^{k+1}$  cannot contain strings shorter than those found in  $L^k$  as  $L^{k+1} = L^k L$ . Thus if any of  $(\Sigma \cup \{\lambda\})$  is missing from  $L$ , then that element is also missing from all  $L^k$ ,  $k > 1$  and so  $\lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n \neq \Sigma^*$ , which is a contradiction. **Thus,  $\lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n = \Sigma^*$  implies  $(\Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}) \subseteq L$ .**

# Second Solution for 2.2

- **Proof by Induction :**

- **Assume  $(\Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}) \subseteq L$ .**

We want to prove that,  $\forall i \geq 0, \Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^2 \cup \dots \cup \Sigma^i \subseteq L^i$  :

- Base:  $i = 0$ : as  $\Sigma^0 = \{\lambda\} \subseteq L^0$  by definition of 0-th power (even  $\{\}^0$  contains  $\lambda$ )
- Induction Hypothesis: Assume for some  $i \geq 0, \Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^2 \cup \dots \cup \Sigma^i \subseteq L^i$
- Induction Step: Show for  $i+1$

- $\Sigma^i \subseteq L^i$  by IH
  - $\Sigma \subseteq L$  assumed
- }  $\rightarrow \Sigma^{i+1} \subseteq L^{i+1}$

- Because  $\lambda \in L, \forall k \geq 0, L^k \subseteq L^{k+1}$

- Hence,  $\Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^2 \cup \dots \cup \Sigma^i \cup \Sigma^{i+1} \subseteq L^i \cup \Sigma^{i+1} \subseteq L^{i+1}$

As  $i$  goes to infinity The left side becomes  $\Sigma^*$  and right side becomes  $\lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n$ . Therefore  $\Sigma^* \subseteq \lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n$ .

$\lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is trivial. Now we can conclude that  $\Sigma^* = \lim(n \rightarrow \infty) L^n$ .