

1. Write a Context Free Grammar for the language

$$L = \{ a^k b^m c^n \mid k = n + m, \text{ or } m = k + n, \text{ or } n = k + m, k > 0, m > 0, n > 0 \}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow aAc \mid aA'bbC'c \\ A &\rightarrow aAc \mid aA'b \mid bC'c \\ A' &\rightarrow aA'b \mid \lambda \\ C' &\rightarrow bC'c \mid \lambda \end{aligned}$$

2. Consider the language

$$L = \{ a^n b^{n!} \mid n > 0 \}.$$

Use the Pumping Lemma for Context-Free Languages to show that L is not context-free.

PL: Provides $N > 0$

We: Choose $a^N b^{N!} \in L$

PL: Splits $a^N b^{N!}$ into $uv^iwx^i y$, $|vwx| \leq N$, $|vx| > 0$, such that $\forall i \geq 0 \ uv^iwx^i y \in L$

We: Choose $i=2$

Case 1: vwx contains only b 's, then we are increasing the number of b 's while leaving the number of a 's unchanged. In this case uv^2wx^2y is of form $a^N b^{N!+c}$, $c > 0$ and this is not in L .

*Case 2: vwx contains some a 's and maybe some b 's. Under this circumstances uv^2wx^2y has at least $N+1$ a 's and at most $N!+N-1$ b 's. But $(N+1)! = N!(N+1) = N!*N+N \geq N! + N > N!+N-1$ and so is not in L .*

Cases 1 and 2 cover all possible situations, so L is not a CFL.

3. Present the CKY recognition matrix for the string **bbabb** assuming the Chomsky Normal Form grammar, $G = (\{S,A,B,C,D\}, \{a,b\}, R, S)$, specified by the rules R :

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow AB \mid BA \mid BD \\ A &\rightarrow CS \mid CD \mid a \\ B &\rightarrow DS \mid b \\ C &\rightarrow a \\ D &\rightarrow b \end{aligned}$$

		b	b	a	b	b
1	BD	BD	AC	BD	BD	
2	S	S	SA	S		
3	B	SB	SA			
4	SB	SB				
5	SB					

4. Choosing from among (Y) yes, (N) No, categorize each of the following closure properties. No proofs are required.

Problem / Language Class (C)	Regular	Context Free
Closed under union with Context Free languages?	N	Y
Closed under quotient with languages of its own class (C), i.e., $L1/L2$	Y	N
Closed under difference with languages of its own class (C), i.e., (difference $(L1, L2) = L1 - L2$)?	Y	N
Closed under intersection with languages of its own class?	Y	N

5. Prove that any class of languages, C , closed under union, concatenation, intersection with regular languages, homomorphism and substitution (e.g., the Context-Free Languages) is closed under **Erase Middle with Regular Sets (em)**, where $L \in C$, R is Regular, L and R are over the alphabet Σ , and $L \text{ em } R = \{xz \mid x, z \in \Sigma^+ \text{ and } \exists y \in R, \text{ such that } xyz \in L\}$. You may assume substitution $f(a) = \{a, a'\}$, and homomorphisms $g(a) = a'$ and $h(a) = a, h(a') = \lambda$. Here $a \in \Sigma$ and a' is a distinct new character associated with each $a \in \Sigma$.

You must be very explicit, describing what is produced by each transformation you apply.

$$L \text{ em } R = h(f(L) \cap \Sigma^+ g(R) \Sigma^+)$$

$f(L) = \{\underline{w} \mid w \in L\}$ where \underline{w} has some (or none) of its letters primed. $f(L)$ is a CFL since CFLs are closed under substitution.

$g(R) = \{y' \mid y \in R\}$ where y' has all of its letter primed. $g(R)$ is Regular since Regular languages are closed under homomorphism.

$\Sigma^+ g(R) \Sigma^+ = \{xy'z \mid x, z \in \Sigma^+ \text{ and } y \in R\}$, This is a Regular language since Regular languages are closed under concatenation.

$f(L) \cap \Sigma^+ g(R) \Sigma^+ = \{xy'z \mid xyz \in L, x, z \in \Sigma^+ \text{ and } y \in R\}$. This is a CFL since CFLs are closed under intersection with Regular.


$L \text{ em } R = h(f(L) \cap \Sigma^+ g(R) \Sigma^+) = \{xz \mid x, z \in \Sigma^+ \text{ and } \exists y \in R \text{ where } xyz \in L\}$ is a CFL since CFLs are closed under homomorphism.

6. Consider the CFG $G = (\{S, T\}, \{a, b\}, R, S)$ where R is:

$$S \rightarrow a T T \mid T S \mid a$$

$$T \rightarrow b S T \mid b$$

a.) Present a pushdown automaton that accepts the language generated by this grammar. You may (and are encouraged) to use a transition diagram where transitions have arcs with labels of form $a, \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ where $a \in \Sigma \cup \{\lambda\}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma^*$. Note: I am encouraging you to use extended stack operations.



Above treats stack contents as being read backwards (deep to shallow).

What parsing technique are you using? (Circle one) **top-down** or bottom-up

How does your PDA accept? (Circle one) **final state** or **empty stack** or final state and empty stack

What is the **initial state**? _____

q

What is the **initial stack content**? _____

\$

Or can have $a, S \rightarrow TT \mid \lambda; \lambda, S \rightarrow TS$

$b, T \rightarrow ST \mid \lambda$

What are your **final states** (if any)?

~~What are your final states (if any)?~~



$\lambda, S \rightarrow aTT \mid TS \mid a$
 $\lambda, T \rightarrow bST \mid b$
 $a, a \rightarrow \lambda$
 $b, b \rightarrow \lambda$

f
 or $a, S \rightarrow TT \mid \lambda$ & $\lambda, S \rightarrow TS$
 or $b, S \rightarrow ST \mid \lambda$
 can skip these if use above

What parsing technique are you using? (Circle one) top-down or bottom-up

How does your PDA accept? (Circle one) **final state** or empty stack or **final state and empty stack**

What is the **initial state**? q

What is the **initial stack content**? S

What are your **final states** (if any)? None

b.) Now, using the notation of **IDs** (Instantaneous Descriptions, $[q, x, z]$), describe how your PDA accepts strings generated by **G**.

$[q, w, S] \Rightarrow^* [f, \lambda, \lambda]$ if by final state and empty stack (my solution on (a) Bottom-Up)

$[q, w, S] \Rightarrow^* [q, \lambda, \lambda]$ if by empty stack (my solution on (a) Top-Down)

7. Consider the context-free grammar $G = (\{ S, A, B \}, \{ a, b \}, R, S)$, where R is:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow SAB \mid BA \\ A &\rightarrow AB \mid a \\ B &\rightarrow bS \mid b \mid \lambda \end{aligned}$$

a.) Remove all λ -rules from G , creating an equivalent grammar G' . Show all rules.

Nullable = $\{B\}$

G' :

$$S \rightarrow SAB \mid SA \mid BA \mid A$$

$$A \rightarrow AB \mid a$$

$$B \rightarrow bS \mid b$$

Note: There is a rule $A \rightarrow A$ but it was removed

b.) Remove all **unit** rules from G' , creating an equivalent grammar G'' . Show all rules.

Unit(S)=Chain(S)={S,A}; Unit(A)={A}; Unit(B)={B}

G'' :

$$S \rightarrow SAB \mid SA \mid BA \mid AB \mid a$$

$$A \rightarrow AB \mid a$$

$$B \rightarrow bS \mid b$$

c.) Convert grammar G'' to its **Chomsky Normal Form** equivalent, G''' . Show all rules.

G''' :

$$S \rightarrow S\langle AB \rangle \mid SA \mid BA \mid AB \mid a$$

$$A \rightarrow AB \mid a$$

$$B \rightarrow \langle b \rangle S \mid b$$

$$\langle AB \rangle \rightarrow AB$$

$$\langle b \rangle \rightarrow b$$

In exam I may have some Unproductive non-terminals and some Unreachable ones.