1. 

Prove: $((P=>Q)=>Q)<=>(P \vee Q)$
We can simplify the left part of the assumption by the following steps:
$(P \Rightarrow Q)=>Q$
$(\sim P \vee Q)=>Q$
$\sim(\sim P \vee Q) \vee Q$
$(P \wedge \sim Q) \vee Q$
$(P \vee Q)^{\wedge}(\sim Q \vee Q)$
( $\mathrm{P} \vee \mathrm{Q}$ )
This shows both sides are equivalent.

We can also attack as follows (essentially a Truth Table approach.
Case 1: Assume Q is False, P is False
Then have ((False => False) => False) <=> (False v False)
False => False is true, so left side is (True => False), which is False, as is False v False Case 2: Assume $Q$ is False, $P$ is True
Then have ((True => False) => False) <=> (True v False)
True => False is false, so left side is (False => False), which is True, as is True v False Case 3: Assume Q is True, P is False
Then have ((False => True) => True) <=> (False v True)
False => True is True, so left side is (True => True), which is True, as is False v True
Case 4: Assume Q is True, P is True
Then have ((True => True) => True) <=> (True v True)
True => True is True, so left side is (True => True), which is True, as is True v True This shows the expression is a Tautology.
2.

The table of transitions is like below:

| States | Nickels | Dimes | Quarters | Cancel | Buy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| >S0 | S5 | S10 | S25 | S0 | S0 |
| S5 | S10 | S15 | S30 | S0 | S0 |
| S10 | S15 | S20 | S35 | S0 | S0 |
| S15 | S20 | S25 | S40 | S0 | S0 |
| S20 | S25 | S30 | S45 | S0 | S0 |
| S25 | S30 | S35 | S50 | S0 | S0 |
| S30 | S35 | S40 | S0 | S0 | S0 |
| S35 | S40 | S45 | S0 | S0 | S0 |
| S40 | S45 | S50 | S0 | S0 | S0 |
| S45 | S50 | S0 | S0 | S0 | S0 |
| S50 | S5 | S10 | S25 | S0 | SFinal |
| SFinal | S5 | S10 | S25 | S0 | S0 |

In a real machine, we would need to have output, e.g., return coins and deliver purchase.

