

PROBLEM CATEGORIES

RECURSIVE (SOLVABLE)

LOTS OF EXAMPLES

RE, NON-RECURSIVE (UNDEC BUT SEMI DEC)

$$\text{HALT} = \{ \langle s, x \rangle \mid Q_s(x) \downarrow \}$$

SHOWN BY DIAGONALIZATION

NON-RE (CANNOT EVEN SEMI-DECIDE)

$$\text{TOTAL} = \{ s \mid \forall x \ Q_s(x) \downarrow \}$$

SHOWING COMPLEXITY OF NEW PROBLEMS

FIRST TECHNIQUE IS REDUCTION

LET B BE SOME SET OF UNKNOWN COMPLEXITY

LET A BE SOME SET OF KNOWN COMPLEXITY

LET f BE A COMPUTABLE M-1 FUNCTION

$$A \leq_m B \text{ OR JUST } A \leq B$$

VIA f IF

$$x \in A \text{ IFF } f(x) \in B$$

IF A IS RE, NON-REC. THEN B IS NON-REC., BUT NOT NEC. RE

IF A IS NON-RE THEN B IS NON-RE AND, OF COURSE, NON-REC.

REDUCTION EXAMPLE #1

SHOW $\text{HALT} \leq \text{TOTAL}$

LET s, x BE ARBITRARY NAT. NUMBERS

$\langle s, x \rangle \in \text{HALT}$ IFF $Q_s(x) \downarrow$

DEFINE F_x BY

$$\forall y, Q_{F_x}(y) = Q_s(x) \quad // \text{IGNORES INPUT}$$

NOW

$\langle s, x \rangle \in \text{HALT}$ IFF $F_x \in \text{TOTAL}$

THUS, $\text{HALT} \leq \text{TOTAL}$

BY THIS, TOTAL IS NON-REC. BUT
WE DO NOT KNOW IF IT'S RE
(WELL, WE DO, AND IT'S NOT)

NOTE: WE CAN LEAVE OUT Q

AND JUST SAY

$$\forall y, F_x(y) = s(x) \quad // \text{OVERLOAD}$$

FOR CONVENIENCE

EXAMPLE #2

$\text{HASZERO} = \{f \mid \exists x f(x) = 0\}$ // skip $\emptyset$

SHOW HASZERO IS NON-REC.

LET f, x BE ARB.

DEFINE F_x BY

$$\forall y F_x(y) = f(x) - f(x)$$

CLEARLY, $\forall y F_x(y) = 0$ IF $f(x) \downarrow$

ELSE $\forall y F_x(y) \uparrow$

SO

$\langle f, x \rangle \in \text{HALT} \Leftrightarrow F_x \in \text{HASZERO}$

THUS, HASZERO IS NON-REC. SINCE

$$\text{HALT} \leq \text{HASZERO}$$

BUT IS HASZERO RE?

WELL IT IS AND WE WILL SHOW
THAT LATER

EXAMPLE #3

$$\text{ZERO} = \{f \mid \forall x f(x) = 0\}$$

SHOW ZERO IS NON-RE

NOTE PRIOR EXAMPLE SHOWED NON-REC !!

LET f BE ARB.

DEFINE

$$h(x) = f(x) - f(x)$$

NOW

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \text{TOTAL} &\text{ IFF } \forall x f(x) \downarrow \\ &\text{ IFF } \forall x h(x) = 0 \\ &\text{ IFF } h \in \text{ZERO} \end{aligned}$$

THUS,

$$\text{TOTAL} \leq \text{ZERO}$$

AND SO ZERO IS NON-RE

TYPES OF REDUCTION

$$M-1 \leq m$$

$$1-1 \leq 1$$

TURING (AKA ORACLE)
 $\leq t$

DEGREES ARE EQUIV. CLASSES

$$\equiv_1$$

$$\equiv_m$$

$$\equiv_t$$

ONE CLASS WE CARE ABOUT
IS COMPLETE DEGREE (HIGHEST)
AMONG RE SETS

RE COMPLETE

S IS RE-COMPLETE IFF

(1) S IS RE

(2) IF T IS RE THEN $T \leq S$

HALT (AKA K_0) IS RE-COMPLETE

LET A BE ARB RE SET THEN

$A = \text{Dom. } \varphi_a$ FOR SOME INDEX a

HERE $A = W_a$ (ENUMERATION THEOREM)

$x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{Dom}(\varphi_a) \Leftrightarrow \varphi_a(x) \downarrow \Leftrightarrow \langle a, x \rangle \in K_0$

THUS

$A \leq K_0$ (REALLY $A \leq_1 K_0$)

K IS ALSO RE-COMPLETE

$$K = \{ \langle e \mid \phi_e(e) \downarrow \}$$

LET $f, x \in \mathbb{N}$.

DEFINE $\forall y \in \mathbb{N} \quad f(x) = f(y)$

$$\langle f, x \rangle \in \text{HALT}(K) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$x \in \text{Dom}(f) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{N} \quad f(y) \downarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \downarrow$$

$$\langle f, x \rangle \notin \text{HALT}(K) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$x \notin \text{Dom}(f) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\exists y \in \mathbb{N} \quad f(y) \uparrow$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \uparrow$$

Thus, $K_0 \leq K$ (ACTUALLY $K \equiv K_0$)
 But K IS OBVIOUSLY RE
 And so K IS RE-COMPLETE

$$RT = \{f \mid \exists C \ni \forall x \ f(x) \downarrow \text{ in } \\ \text{AT MOST } C \text{ STEPS} \}$$

$$\exists C \forall x \underset{|x| \leq C}{f(x) \downarrow \text{ in } C \text{ STEPS}}$$

Rice's

NOTES 339

BASIC IDEA IS THAT
NON-TRIVIAL PROPERTIES
ABOUT SETS OF INDICES
OF PROCEDURES (~~RESETS~~)
ARE UNDECIDABLE