

# Assignment # 8.1 Sample Key

1. Use reduction from **HALT** to show that one cannot decide **HasExp**, where  $\text{HasExp} = \{ f \mid \text{for some } x, y, x < y, \varphi_f(y) = 2^{\varphi_f(x)} \}$

Let  $f, x$  be an arbitrary pair of natural numbers.  $\langle f, x \rangle \in \text{Halt}$  iff  $\varphi_f(x) \downarrow$

Define  $g$  as index of  $\varphi_g$  where  $\forall y \varphi_g(y) = \varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x) + y$

Clearly,  $\forall y \varphi_g(y) = y$  iff  $\varphi_f(x) \downarrow$ ; otherwise  $\forall y \varphi_g(y) \uparrow$

But then,  $\varphi_f(x) \downarrow$  iff  $\forall y \varphi_g(y) = y$  and  $\varphi_g(2^y) = 2^y$

implies  $\varphi_g(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi_g(1) = 2^0 = 1$  and so for some  $x, y, x < y, \varphi_f(y) = 2^{\varphi_f(x)}$

Summarizing,  $\langle f, x \rangle \in \text{Halt}$  iff  $g \in \text{HasExp}$  and so

**Halt**  $\leq_m$  **HasExp** as we were to show.

Note: I have not overloaded the index of a function with the function in my proof, but I do not mind if you do such overloading.

# Assignment # 8.2 Sample Key

2. Show that **HasExp** reduces to **Halt**. (1 plus 2 show they are equally hard)

Let  $f$  be an arbitrary natural number.  $f \in \text{HasExp}$  iff for some  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $x < y$ ,  $\varphi_f(x) \downarrow$ ,  $\varphi_f(y) \downarrow$  and  $\varphi_f(y) = 2 \wedge \varphi_f(x)$

Define  $g$  as index of  $\varphi_g$  where  $\forall z \varphi_g(z) = \exists \langle x, y, t \rangle [ \text{STP}(f, x, t) \ \& \ \text{STP}(f, y, t) \ \& \ (x < y) \ \& \ (\text{VALUE}(f, x, t) = 2 \wedge \text{VALUE}(f, y, t)) ]$

Clearly,  $\forall z \varphi_g(z) = 1$ , iff there is some pair,  $x, y$ , such that  $x < y$  and  $\varphi_f(y) = 2 \wedge \varphi_f(x)$ ; and  $\forall z \varphi_g(z) \uparrow$ , otherwise

Summarizing,  $f \in \text{HasExp}$  iff  $\langle g, 0 \rangle \in \text{Halt}$  and so

**HasExp**  $\leq_m$  **Halt** as we were to show.

# Assignment # 8.3 Sample Key

3. Use Reduction from **TOTAL** to show that **IsExp** is not even re, where  
 $\text{IsExp} = \{ f \mid \text{for all } x, \text{ there is some } y, x < y, \varphi_f(y) > 2^{\varphi_f(x)} \}$   
**Note: If you use  $\varphi_f(y) = 2^{\varphi_f(x)}$ , that's okay**

Let  $f$  be an index of some arbitrary function.

Define  $g$  as index of  $\varphi_g$  where  $\forall x \varphi_g(x) = \varphi_f(x) - \varphi_f(x) + x$

Clearly,  $\forall x \varphi_g(x) = x$ , iff  $\forall x \varphi_f(x) \downarrow$ , and  $\forall x \varphi_g(x) \uparrow$ , otherwise.

But then,  $\forall x \varphi_f(x) \downarrow$  iff  $\forall x \varphi_g(x) = x$  and  $\varphi_g(2^x+1) = 2^x+1$  (Here,  $y$  is  $2^x+1$ )

Summarizing,  $f \in \text{TOTAL}$  iff  $g \in \text{IsExp}$  and so

**TOTAL  $\leq_m$  IsExp** as we were to show.

# Assignment # 8.4 Sample Key

4. Show **IsExp** reduces to **TOTAL**. (3 plus 4 show they are equally hard)

Let  $f$  be an arbitrary natural number.  $f$  is in IsExp iff

$$\forall x \exists y, x < y, \varphi_f(y) > 2^{\varphi_f(x)}.$$

**Note:** To be in IsExp,  $f$  must be in TOTAL since the property is true of all  $x$ .

Define  $g$  as index of  $\varphi_g$  where  $\varphi_g(x) = \exists y [x < y \ \& \ \varphi_f(y) > 2^{\varphi_f(x)}]$

Clearly,  $\forall x \varphi_g(x) \downarrow$  iff

$$\forall x \exists y [ y > x \ \& \ \varphi_f(x) \downarrow \ \& \ \varphi_f(y) \downarrow \ \& \ \varphi_f(y) > 2^{\varphi_f(x)}];$$

otherwise  $\exists x \varphi_g(x) \uparrow$ .

Summarizing,  $f \in \text{IsExp}$  iff  $g \in \text{TOTAL}$  and so

**IsExp**  $\leq_m$  **TOTAL** as we were to show.

# Assignment # 8.5 Sample Key

5. Use Rice's Theorem to show that **HasExp** is undecidable

First, IsExp is non-trivial as  $I(x) = x$  is in HasExp (for any  $x$  there is a  $y=2^x > x$ , such that  $I(y) = 2^x$ ) and  $C0(x) = 0$  is not.

Second, HasExp is an I/O property.

To see this, let  $f$  and  $g$  are two arbitrary indices such that

$$\forall x [\varphi_f(x) = \varphi_g(x)]$$

$f \in \text{HasExp}$  iff  $\exists y, z [y < z \ \& \ \varphi_f(y) \downarrow \ \& \ \varphi_f(z) \downarrow \ \& \ \varphi_f(z) = 2^{\varphi_f(y)}$

iff, since  $\forall x [\varphi_f(x) = \varphi_g(x)]$ ,  $\exists y, z [y < z, \text{ (same } y, z \text{ as above) } \ \&$

$\varphi_g(y) \downarrow \ \& \ \varphi_g(z) \downarrow \ \& \ \varphi_g(z) = 2^{\varphi_g(y)}$  iff  $g \in \text{HasExp}$

Thus,  **$f \in \text{HasExp}$  iff  $g \in \text{HasExp}$ .**

# Assignment # 8.6 Sample Key

6. Use Rice's Theorem to show that **IsExp** is undecidable

First, IsExp is non-trivial as  $I(x) = x$  is in IsExp (for every  $x$ , there is a  $y=2^x+1$ , such that  $I(y)>I(x)$ ) and  $C0(x) = 0$  is not.

Second, IsExp is an I/O property.

To see this, let  $f$  and  $g$  are two arbitrary indices such that

$\forall x [\varphi_f(x) = \varphi_g(x)]$ .

$f \in \text{IsExp}$  iff  $\forall x \exists y [x < y, \varphi_f(x) \downarrow, \varphi_f(y) \downarrow \text{ and } \varphi_f(y) > 2^{\varphi_f(x)}$   
iff, since  $\forall x [\varphi_f(x) = \varphi_g(x)]$ ,  $\forall x \exists y [\varphi_g(x) \downarrow, \varphi_g(y) \downarrow \ \&\& \ \varphi_g(y) > 2^{\varphi_g(x)}$   
iff  $g \in \text{IsExp}$ .

Thus,  **$f \in \text{IsExp}$  iff  $g \in \text{IsExp}$** .