

Generally useful information.

- The notation $\mathbf{z} = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ denotes the pairing function with inverses $\mathbf{x} = \langle \mathbf{z} \rangle_1$ and $\mathbf{y} = \langle \mathbf{z} \rangle_2$.
- The minimization notation $\mu \mathbf{y} [\mathbf{P}(\dots, \mathbf{y})]$ means the least \mathbf{y} (starting at $\mathbf{0}$) such that $\mathbf{P}(\dots, \mathbf{y})$ is true. The bounded minimization (acceptable in primitive recursive functions) notation $\mu \mathbf{y} (\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{v}) [\mathbf{P}(\dots, \mathbf{y})]$ means the least \mathbf{y} (starting at \mathbf{u} and ending at \mathbf{v}) such that $\mathbf{P}(\dots, \mathbf{y})$ is true. Unlike the text, I find it convenient to define $\mu \mathbf{y} (\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{v}) [\mathbf{P}(\dots, \mathbf{y})]$ to be $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{1}$, when no \mathbf{y} satisfies this bounded minimization.
- The tilde symbol, \sim , means the complement. Thus, set $\sim \mathbf{S}$ is the set complement of set \mathbf{S} , and predicate $\sim \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})$ is the logical complement of predicate $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})$.
- The minus symbol, $-$, when applied to sets is set difference, so $\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{T} = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S} \ \&\& \ \mathbf{x} \notin \mathbf{T}\}$.
- The absolute value, $|\mathbf{z}|$, is the magnitude of \mathbf{z} . Thus, $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|$ is the difference between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , when \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are both non-negative.
- A function \mathbf{P} is a predicate if it is a logical function that returns either $\mathbf{1}$ (**true**) or $\mathbf{0}$ (**false**). Thus, $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})$ means \mathbf{P} evaluates to **true** on \mathbf{x} , but we can also take advantage of the fact that **true** is $\mathbf{1}$ and **false** is $\mathbf{0}$ in formulas like $\mathbf{y} \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})$, which would evaluate to either \mathbf{y} (if $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})$) or $\mathbf{0}$ (if $\sim \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})$).
- A set \mathbf{S} is recursive if \mathbf{S} has a total recursive characteristic function $\chi_{\mathbf{S}}$, such that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S} \Leftrightarrow \chi_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{x})$. Note $\chi_{\mathbf{S}}$ is a predicate. Thus, it evaluates to $\mathbf{0}$ (**false**), if $\mathbf{x} \notin \mathbf{S}$.
- When I say a set \mathbf{S} is re, unless I explicitly say otherwise, you may assume any of the following equivalent characterizations:
 1. \mathbf{S} is either empty or the range of a total recursive function $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{S}}$.
 2. \mathbf{S} is the domain of a partial recursive function $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{S}}$.
 3. \mathbf{S} is recognizable by a Turing Machine.
- If I say a function \mathbf{g} is partially computable, then there is an index \mathbf{g} (I know that's overloading, but that's okay as long as we understand each other), such that $\Phi_{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$. Here Φ is a universal partially recursive function.
Moreover, there is a total recursive function **STP**, such that **STP**($\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}$) is $\mathbf{1}$ (true), just in case \mathbf{g} , started on \mathbf{x} , halts in \mathbf{t} or fewer steps.
STP($\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}$) is $\mathbf{0}$ (false), otherwise.
Finally, there is another total recursive function **VALUE**, such that **VALUE**($\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}$) is $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$, whenever **STP**($\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}$).
VALUE($\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}$) is defined but meaningless if $\sim \mathbf{STP}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$.
- The notation $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow$ means that \mathbf{f} converges when computing with input \mathbf{x} , but we don't care about the value produced. In effect, this just means that \mathbf{x} is in the domain of \mathbf{f} .
- The notation $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \uparrow$ means \mathbf{f} diverges when computing with input \mathbf{x} . In effect, this just means that \mathbf{x} is **not** in the domain of \mathbf{f} .
- The **Halting Problem** for any effective computational system is the problem to determine of an arbitrary effective procedure \mathbf{f} and input \mathbf{x} , whether or not $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow$. The set of all such pairs is a classic re non-recursive one. The set of all such $\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ is denoted \mathbf{K}_0 . A related set \mathbf{K} is the set of all \mathbf{f} that halt on their own indices. Thus, $\mathbf{K} = \{\mathbf{f} \mid \Phi_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{f}) \downarrow\}$ and $\mathbf{K}_0 = \{\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \mid \Phi_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) \downarrow\}$
- The **Uniform Halting Problem** is the problem to determine of an arbitrary effective procedure \mathbf{f} , whether or not \mathbf{f} is an algorithm (halts on all input). The set of all such function indices is a classic non re one and is often called **TOTAL**.

1. Let set **A** be recursive, **B** be re non-recursive and **C** be non-re. Choosing from among **(REC) recursive, (RE) re non-recursive, (NR) non-re**, categorize the set **D** in each of a) through d) by listing **all** possible categories. No justification is required.

- a.) $D = \sim C$ _____
- b.) $D \subseteq (A \cup C)$ _____
- c.) $D = \sim B$ _____
- d.) $D = B - A$ _____

- 2. Prove that the **Halting Problem** (the set K_0) is not recursive (decidable) within any formal model of computation. (Hint: A diagonalization proof is required here.)
- 3. Using reduction from the known undecidable **HasZero**, $HZ = \{ f \mid \exists x f(x) = 0 \}$, show the non-recursive (undecidability) of the problem to decide if an arbitrary primitive recursive function **g** has the property **IsZero**, $Z = \{ f \mid \forall x f(x) = 0 \}$.
- 4. Choosing from among **(D) decidable, (U) undecidable, (?) unknown**, categorize each of the following decision problems. No proofs are required.

Problem / Language Class	Regular	Context Free
$L = \Sigma^*$?		
$L = \phi$?		
$x \in L^2$, for arbitrary x ?		

5. Choosing from among **(Y) yes, (N) No, (?) unknown**, categorize each of the following closure properties. No proofs are required.

Problem / Language Class	Regular	Context Free
Closed under intersection?		
Closed under quotient?		
Closed under quotient with Regular languages?		
Closed under complement?		

6. Prove that any class of languages, C , closed under union, concatenation, intersection with regular languages, homomorphism and substitution (e.g., the Context-Free Languages) is closed under **MissingMiddle**, where, assuming L is over the alphabet Σ ,
MissingMiddle(L) = { $xz \mid \exists y \in \Sigma^*$ such that $xyz \in L$ }
 You must be very explicit, describing what is produced by each transformation you apply.
7. Use **PCP** to show the undecidability of the problem to determine if the intersection of two context free languages is non-empty. That is, show how to create two grammars G_A and G_B based on some instance $P = \langle \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle, \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle \rangle$ of **PCP**, such that $L(G_A) \cap L(G_B) \neq \emptyset$ iff P has a solution. Assume that P is over the alphabet Σ . You should discuss what languages your grammars produce and why this is relevant, but no formal proof is required.
8. Consider the set of indices **CONSTANT** = { $f \mid \exists K \forall y [\phi_f(y) = K]$ }. Use Rice's Theorem to show that **CONSTANT** is not recursive. Hint: There are two properties that must be demonstrated.
9. Show that **CONSTANT** \equiv_m **TOT**, where **TOT** = { $f \mid \forall y \phi_f(y) \downarrow$ }.
10. Why does Rice's Theorem have nothing to say about each of the following? Explain by showing some condition of Rice's Theorem that is not met by the stated property.
 a.) **AT-LEAST-LINEAR** = { $f \mid \forall y \phi_f(y)$ converges in no fewer than y steps }.
 b.) **HAS-IMPOSTER** = { $f \mid \exists g [g \neq f \text{ and } \forall y [\phi_g(y) = \phi_f(y)]]$ }.

11. We described the proof that **3SAT** is polynomial reducible to **Subset-Sum**.

- a.) Describe **Subset-Sum**
 b.) Show that **Subset-Sum** is in **NP**
 c.) Assuming a **3SAT** expression ($a + \sim b + c$) ($b + b + \sim c$), fill in the upper right part of the reduction from **3SAT** to **Subset-Sum**.

	a	b	c	a + ~b + c	b + b + ~c
a	1				
~a	1				
b		1			
~b		1			
c			1		
~c			1		
C1				1	
C1'				1	
C2					1
C2'					1
	1	1	1	3	3

12. Use the appropriate Pumping Lemmas to show:
 a.) { $ww \mid w$ is over {**a,b**} } is not Regular
 b.) { $ww \mid w$ is over {**a,b**} } is not Context Free
13. Write a context-free grammar for the complement of the language { $ww \mid w$ is in {**a,b**}* }