

- ✓ 1. Use of Rules of Inference
(Difference btw these + Laws of Logic)
- ✓ 2. Application to a problem
3. Quantifiers, $\forall$, $\exists$, nested
4. Proof Structures
 - Direct Proof
 - Proof by Contradiction
 - Proof by Cases

We can use laws of logic to prove
Rules of Inference

Format

$$a_1 \wedge a_2 \dots \wedge a_k \rightarrow b$$

It's NOT necessarily the case that
if b true, then all the a 's are true.

Laws of logic $a \leftrightarrow b$ are
2 Rules of Inference $a \rightarrow b \wedge b \rightarrow a$.

(2)

Given:

$$(p \vee q) \rightarrow (r \vee s)$$

$$(r \vee s) \rightarrow t$$

$$\overline{t}$$

$$\overline{p} \rightarrow u$$

$$\overline{q} \rightarrow v$$

$$\therefore u \wedge v$$

$$1. (p \vee q) \rightarrow (r \vee s)$$

Given

$$2. (r \vee s) \rightarrow t$$

Given

$$3. (p \vee q) \rightarrow t$$

Law of Syllogism w/1,2

$$4. \overline{t}$$

Given

$$5. \overline{p \vee q}$$

Modus Tollens w/3,4

$$6. \overline{p} \wedge \overline{q}$$

DeMorgan's Law w/5

$$7. \overline{p}$$

Conjunctive Simplification w/6

$$8. \overline{p} \rightarrow u$$

Given

$$9. u$$

Modus Ponens w/7,8

$$10. \overline{q}$$

Conj. Simp. w/6

$$11. \overline{q} \rightarrow v$$

Given

$$12. v$$

Modus Ponens w/10,11

$$13. u \wedge v$$

Rule of Conjunction w/9,12

3

Problem: x and y are positive integers with $x < y$, a sum of 10, and a product of 16. What are the values of x and y ?

$$P: x + y = 10$$

$$Q: xy = 16$$

} Given

$$\text{if } a=b \wedge c=d, \text{ then } a+c=b+d$$

$$p \wedge q \rightarrow r$$

$$r: xy + x + y = 26$$

1st deduction

$$S: xy + x + y + 1 = 26 + 1$$

2nd deduction

$$(x+1)(y+1) = 27$$

3rd deduction

$$\text{if } a=b, \text{ then } a+c=b+c$$

Since $x < y$, $x+1 < y+1$.

Since $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ $\wedge$ $xy = 27$, then

$$(x+1=1 \wedge y+1=27) \vee (x+1=3 \wedge y+1=9) \vee$$

$$(x+1=9 \wedge y+1=3) \vee (x+1=27 \wedge y+1=1)$$

Since $x^+ < y^+$, ~~$x+1=1$~~ $\wedge$ ~~$y+1=27$~~ $\wedge$ ~~$x+1=27$~~ $\wedge$ ~~$y+1=1$~~

Since $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $x+1 \geq 2$. Thus $x+1=1 \wedge y+1=27$

It follows that $x+1=3 \wedge y+1=9$

$$\rightarrow x=2 \wedge y=8$$

Quantifiers

→ "Universe"

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z} p(x)$$

$\forall$ = "for all"

$x \in \mathbb{Z}$ = "x is an element of the integers"

$p(x)$ = "open statement about x"

"x is either odd or even"

$q(x)$ = "x is a prime number"

Prime number: integer 2 or greater whose only divisors are 1 and itself. "such that"

a is a divisor of b if and only if $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z} \mid b = ac$.

$q(1), q(2), q(3), q(4), q(5), q(6), q(7), q(8) \dots$

$r(x)$ = "x hits at least 50% of their free throws"

$x \in \text{People}$

For $\forall(x) p(x)$

x	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	...
$p(x_i)$	T	T	T	T	T

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{Z} \ q(x)$$

↓
There exists ...

$r(x)$ = "x is even"
 $s(x)$ = "x is odd"
 $q(x)$ = "x is prime"

$q(x)$ \ x	x_1	x_2	x_3	...
$q(x_i)$	F	F	F	...

↑
at least one

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (q(x) \wedge r(x))$$

Proof : Let $x=2$, 2 is prime and 2 is even.

To disprove $\forall$, just find one counter-example.

Prove a "for all" ?

Rule of Universal Specification

↳ To prove a for all statement, prove the statement for "an arbitrarily chosen element" from the universe.

Disproving a "there exists" is difficult too.

↳ often use proof by contradiction

$$\exists x [p(x) \wedge q(x)] \rightarrow [\exists x(p(x)) \wedge \exists x(q(x))]$$

$$\exists x [p(x) \vee q(x)] \leftrightarrow [\exists x(p(x)) \vee \exists x(q(x))]$$

$$\forall x [p(x) \wedge q(x)] \leftrightarrow [\forall x(p(x)) \wedge \forall x(q(x))]$$

$$\forall x [p(x) \vee q(x)] \leftrightarrow \forall x [p(x) \vee q(x)]$$

x	x ₁	x ₂	x ₃	...	x _k
p(x)					T
q(x)					T

must have 1 column fully true

table has at least 1 true somewhere

all columns are true row 1 and row 2 are all T.

If either row is completely true, then every column has at least 1 T.

F T F ...
T F T

} every coln has at least 1 true but no row is all true.

x	x ₁	x ₂	x ₃	x _n
p	T			
q				T

each row has at least 1 True.

$\exists x \exists y p(x,y)$
 at least 1 true
 in the table

$x \backslash y$	y_1	y_2	y_3	...
x_1				
x_2				
x_3			T	
...				

$\exists x \in R \exists y \in R$

$$xy = \frac{y}{x}$$

This is true let $x=y=1$

$$LHS = xy = 1 \times 1 = 1 \checkmark$$

$$RHS = \frac{y}{x} = \frac{1}{1} = 1 \checkmark$$

$\forall x \forall y p(x,y)$

all true

$x \backslash y$				
x_1	T	T	T	T
x_2	T	T		
x_3	T	T		

$\exists x \forall y p(x,y)$

at least 1 row
 has ALL true.

$$xy = \frac{y}{x}$$

works for $x=1,$
 $x=-1.$

$x \backslash y$						
x_1						
x_2						
x_3						
...						
x_n	T	T	T	T	T	...

$$\forall x \exists y p(x, y)$$

has to be at
least 1 true on
each row.

$$x + y = 0$$

This is ~~is~~ true for
 $y = -x$.

$x \backslash y$	y_1	y_2	y_3
x_1	T		
x_2			T
x_3		T	
$\vdots$			T

$\exists x \forall y \ x + y = 0$ is false.

9
Universe Integers ($\mathbb{Z}$)

$$\exists x \forall y \ [xy = 0]$$

True consider $x = 0$, LHS = $xy = 0y = 0 \checkmark$

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists x \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \frac{x}{y} = x^2 - x$$

$$\frac{x}{y} = x^2 - x \longrightarrow \frac{1}{y} = \frac{x^2 - x}{x}$$

$$x = yx^2 - xy$$

$$0 = yx^2 - xy - x$$

$$0 = x(yx - y - 1)$$

$$\frac{1}{y} = x - 1$$

$$x = 1 + \frac{1}{y}$$

$$x = \frac{y+1}{y}$$

True the ^{positive} rational value of x that makes the statement true is $\frac{y+1}{y}$.

Universe : Reals

$$\forall x \exists y [xy = 1]$$

This is false for $x = 0$. If $x = 0$, then

$xy = 0$ for all y , so no y exists in this case to make $xy = 1$.

Universe: Reals

$$\forall x \forall y [9x^2 \geq 4y(3x-y)]$$

We will equivalently prove that

$$9x^2 - 4y(3x-y) \geq 0.$$

$$(x+y)^2$$

$$x^2 + 2xy + y^2$$

$$(x-y)^2$$

$$x^2 - 2xy + y^2$$

$$9x^2 - 4y(3x-y) = 9x^2 - 12xy + 4y^2$$

$$= (3x-2y)^2$$

$$\geq 0$$

because $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ thus $3x-2y \in \mathbb{R}$ and
all real numbers squared are non-negative.

Arithmetic Mean x and y is $\frac{x+y}{2}$

Geometric Mean x and y is $\sqrt{xy}$

Prove:

$$\frac{x+y}{2} - \sqrt{xy} \geq 0$$

$$\frac{x+y}{2} - \sqrt{xy} = \frac{x}{2} - \sqrt{xy} + \frac{y}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (x - 2\sqrt{xy} + y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} ((\sqrt{x})^2 - 2\sqrt{xy} + (\sqrt{y})^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y})^2$$

$$\geq 0, \text{ since } \sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y} \in \mathbb{R}$$

Proof by Contradiction

$$p \rightarrow q$$

1. Assume the opposite of the conclusion ($\bar{q}$)

2. Make logical deductions $\bar{q} \rightarrow r$

$$r \rightarrow s$$

...

(F)

get to a
deduction
that is false

Prove $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational #.

Assume the opposite that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational

$$\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \sqrt{2} = \frac{x}{y} \text{ and } \gcd(x, y) = 1.$$

greatest
common
divisor

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{x}{y}$$

$$2 = \frac{x^2}{y^2}$$

$$2y^2 = x^2, \text{ LHS is even thus RHS even.}$$

It follows that x is even

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x = 2c$$

$$2y^2 = (2c)^2$$

$$2y^2 = 4c^2$$

$$y^2 = 2c^2, \text{ RHS is even, thus } y \in \text{Even}$$

This contradicts our known information

that $\gcd(x, y) = 1$.

It follows that our initial assumption was false proving that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.