Please refer to the attached handout on the maximum-subarray problem.

1. Write pseudocode for the brute force method of solving the maximum-subarray problem. Your procedure should run in $\Theta(n^2)$ time (15 pts).

2. Implement both the brute force and recursive algorithms for the maximum-subarray problem (use any programming language you wish). What problem size $n_0$ gives the crossover point at which the recursive algorithm beats the brute force algorithm? Then change the base case of the recursive algorithm to use the brute force algorithm whenever the problem is less than $n_0$. Does that change the crossover point? Please provide a listing of your code when you hand in the assignment (20 pts).

3. How would you modify the QUICKSORT algorithm so that it sorts the numbers in nonincreasing order? Provide pseudocode for your solution (15 pts).
4.1 The maximum-subarray problem

Suppose that you have been offered the opportunity to invest in the Volatile Chemical Corporation. Like the chemicals the company produces, the stock price of the Volatile Chemical Corporation is rather volatile. You are allowed to buy one unit of stock only one time and then sell it at a later date, buying and selling after the close of trading for the day. To compensate for this restriction, you are allowed to learn what the price of the stock will be in the future. Your goal is to maximize your profit. Figure 4.1 shows the price of the stock over a 17-day period. You may buy the stock at any one time, starting after day 0, when the price is $100 per share. Of course, you would want to “buy low, sell high”—buy at the lowest possible price and later on sell at the highest possible price—to maximize your profit. Unfortunately, you might not be able to buy at the lowest price and then sell at the highest price within a given period. In Figure 4.1, the lowest price occurs after day 7, which occurs after the highest price, after day 1.

You might think that you can always maximize profit by either buying at the lowest price or selling at the highest price. For example, in Figure 4.1, we would maximize profit by buying at the lowest price, after day 7. If this strategy always worked, then it would be easy to determine how to maximize profit: find the highest and lowest prices, and then work left from the highest price to find the lowest prior price, work right from the lowest price to find the highest later price, and take the pair with the greater difference. Figure 4.2 shows a simple counterexample.

![Graph showing stock price fluctuations](image)

**Figure 4.1** Information about the price of stock in the Volatile Chemical Corporation after the close of trading over a period of 17 days. The horizontal axis of the chart indicates the day, and the vertical axis shows the price. The bottom row of the table gives the change in price from the previous day.
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Figure 4.2 An example showing that the maximum profit does not always start at the lowest price or end at the highest price. Again, the horizontal axis indicates the day, and the vertical axis shows the price. Here, the maximum profit of $3 per share would be earned by buying after day 2 and selling after day 3. The price of $7 after day 2 is not the lowest price overall, and the price of $10 after day 3 is not the highest price overall.

demonstrating that the maximum profit sometimes comes neither by buying at the lowest price nor by selling at the highest price.

A brute-force solution

We can easily devise a brute-force solution to this problem: just try every possible pair of buy and sell dates in which the buy date precedes the sell date. A period of $n$ days has $\binom{n}{2}$ such pairs of dates. Since $\binom{n}{2}$ is $\Theta(n^2)$, and the best we can hope for is to evaluate each pair of dates in constant time, this approach would take $\Omega(n^2)$ time. Can we do better?

A transformation

In order to design an algorithm with an $o(n^2)$ running time, we will look at the input in a slightly different way. We want to find a sequence of days over which the net change from the first day to the last is maximum. Instead of looking at the daily prices, let us instead consider the daily change in price, where the change on day $i$ is the difference between the prices after day $i - 1$ and after day $i$. The table in Figure 4.1 shows these daily changes in the bottom row. If we treat this row as an array $A$, shown in Figure 4.3, we now want to find the nonempty, contiguous subarray of $A$ whose values have the largest sum. We call this contiguous subarray the maximum subarray. For example, in the array of Figure 4.3, the maximum subarray of $A[1..16]$ is $A[8..11]$, with the sum 43. Thus, you would want to buy the stock just before day 8 (that is, after day 7) and sell it after day 11, earning a profit of $43 per share.

At first glance, this transformation does not help. We still need to check $\binom{n-1}{2} = \Theta(n^2)$ subarrays for a period of $n$ days. Exercise 4.1-2 asks you to show
Figure 4.3 The change in stock prices as a maximum-subarray problem. Here, the subarray $A[8 \ldots 11]$, with sum 43, has the greatest sum of any contiguous subarray of array $A$.

that although computing the cost of one subarray might take time proportional to the length of the subarray, when computing all $\Theta(n^2)$ subarray sums, we can organize the computation so that each subarray sum takes $O(1)$ time, given the values of previously computed subarray sums, so that the brute-force solution takes $\Theta(n^2)$ time.

So let us seek a more efficient solution to the maximum-subarray problem. When doing so, we will usually speak of “a” maximum subarray rather than “the” maximum subarray, since there could be more than one subarray that achieves the maximum sum.

The maximum-subarray problem is interesting only when the array contains some negative numbers. If all the array entries were nonnegative, then the maximum-subarray problem would present no challenge, since the entire array would give the greatest sum.

A solution using divide-and-conquer

Let’s think about how we might solve the maximum-subarray problem using the divide-and-conquer technique. Suppose we want to find a maximum subarray of the subarray $A[low .. high]$. Divide-and-conquer suggests that we divide the subarray into two subarrays of as equal size as possible. That is, we find the midpoint, say $mid$, of the subarray, and consider the subarrays $A[low .. mid]$ and $A[mid + 1 .. high]$. As Figure 4.4(a) shows, any contiguous subarray $A[i .. j]$ of $A[low .. high]$ must lie in exactly one of the following places:

- entirely in the subarray $A[low .. mid]$, so that $low \leq i \leq j \leq mid$,
- entirely in the subarray $A[mid + 1 .. high]$, so that $mid < i \leq j \leq high$, or
- crossing the midpoint, so that $low \leq i \leq mid < j \leq high$.

Therefore, a maximum subarray of $A[low .. high]$ must lie in exactly one of these places. In fact, a maximum subarray of $A[low .. high]$ must have the greatest sum over all subarrays entirely in $A[low .. mid]$, entirely in $A[mid + 1 .. high]$, or crossing the midpoint. We can find maximum subarrays of $A[low .. mid]$ and $A[mid + 1 .. high]$ recursively, because these two subproblems are smaller instances of the problem of finding a maximum subarray. Thus, all that is left to do is find a
maximum subarray that crosses the midpoint, and take a subarray with the largest
sum of the three.

We can easily find a maximum subarray crossing the midpoint in time linear
in the size of the subarray \( A[low..high] \). This problem is not a smaller instance
of our original problem, because it has the added restriction that the subarray it
choices must cross the midpoint. As Figure 4.4(b) shows, any subarray crossing
the midpoint is itself made of two subarrays \( A[i..mid] \) and \( A[mid + 1..j] \), where
\( low \leq i \leq mid \) and \( mid < j \leq high \). Therefore, we just need to find maximum
subarrays of the form \( A[i..mid] \) and \( A[mid + 1..j] \) and then combine them. The
procedure \textsc{Find-Max-Crossing-Subarray} takes as input the array \( A \) and the
indices \( low, mid, \) and \( high, \) and it returns a tuple containing the indices demarcating
a maximum subarray that crosses the midpoint, along with the sum of the values in
a maximum subarray.

\textsc{Find-Max-Crossing-Subarray}(A, low, mid, high)
1 \ qquad left-sum = -\infty
2 \ qquad sum = 0
3 \qquad for i = mid downto low
4 \qquad \quad sum = sum + A[i]
5 \qquad \quad if sum > left-sum
6 \qquad \quad \quad left-sum = sum
7 \qquad \quad max-left = i
8 \qquad right-sum = -\infty
9 \qquad sum = 0
10 \qquad for j = mid + 1 to high
11 \qquad \quad sum = sum + A[j]
12 \qquad \quad if sum > right-sum
13 \qquad \quad \quad right-sum = sum
14 \qquad \quad max-right = j
15 \qquad return (max-left, max-right, left-sum + right-sum)
This procedure works as follows. Lines 1–7 find a maximum subarray of the left half, \( A[\text{low}..\text{mid}] \). Since this subarray must contain \( A[\text{mid}] \), the \textbf{for} loop of lines 3–7 starts the index \( i \) at \( \text{mid} \) and works down to \( \text{low} \), so that every subarray it considers is of the form \( A[i..\text{mid}] \). Lines 1–2 initialize the variables \textit{left-sum}, which holds the greatest sum found so far, and \textit{sum}, holding the sum of the entries in \( A[i..\text{mid}] \). Whenever we find, in line 5, a subarray \( A[i..\text{mid}] \) with a sum of values greater than \textit{left-sum}, we update \textit{left-sum} to this subarray’s sum in line 6, and in line 7 we update the variable \textit{max-left} to record this index \( i \). Lines 8–14 work analogously for the right half, \( A[\text{mid}+1..\text{high}] \). Here, the \textbf{for} loop of lines 10–14 starts the index \( j \) at \( \text{mid}+1 \) and works up to \( \text{high} \), so that every subarray it considers is of the form \( A[\text{mid}+1..j] \). Finally, line 15 returns the indices \textit{max-left} and \textit{max-right} that demarcate a maximum subarray crossing the midpoint, along with the sum \textit{left-sum} + \textit{right-sum} of the values in the subarray \( A[\text{max-left}..\text{max-right}] \).

If the subarray \( A[\text{low}..\text{high}] \) contains \( n \) entries (so that \( n = \text{high} - \text{low} + 1 \)), we claim that the call \textbf{FIND-MAX-CROSSING-SUBARRAY}(\( A, \text{low}, \text{mid}, \text{high} \)) takes \( \Theta(n) \) time. Since each iteration of each of the two \textbf{for} loops takes \( \Theta(1) \) time, we just need to count up how many iterations there are altogether. The \textbf{for} loop of lines 3–7 makes \( \text{mid} - \text{low} + 1 \) iterations, and the \textbf{for} loop of lines 10–14 makes \( \text{high} - \text{mid} \) iterations, and so the total number of iterations is

\[
(mid - low + 1) + (high - mid) = high - low + 1
\]

With a linear-time \textbf{FIND-MAX-CROSSING-SUBARRAY} procedure in hand, we can write pseudocode for a divide-and-conquer algorithm to solve the maximum-subarray problem:

\textbf{FIND-MAXIMUM-SUBARRAY}(\( A, \text{low}, \text{high} \))

1 \textbf{if} \( \text{high} == \text{low} \)
2 \hspace{1em} \textbf{return} \( (\text{low}, \text{high}, A[\text{low}]) \) \hspace{1em} // base case: only one element
3 \textbf{else} \hspace{1em} \textit{mid} = \lceil(\text{low} + \text{high})/2 \rceil
4 \hspace{1em} (\textit{left-low}, \textit{left-high}, \textit{left-sum}) = \textbf{FIND-MAXIMUM-SUBARRAY}(A, \text{low}, \text{mid})
5 \hspace{1em} (\textit{right-low}, \textit{right-high}, \textit{right-sum}) = \textbf{FIND-MAXIMUM-SUBARRAY}(A, \text{mid} + 1, \text{high})
6 \hspace{1em} (\textit{cross-low}, \textit{cross-high}, \textit{cross-sum}) = \textbf{FIND-MAX-CROSSING-SUBARRAY}(A, \text{low}, \text{mid}, \text{high})
7 \textbf{if} \textit{left-sum} \geq \textit{right-sum} \textbf{and} \textit{left-sum} \geq \textit{cross-sum}
8 \hspace{1em} \textbf{return} \( (\textit{left-low}, \textit{left-high}, \textit{left-sum}) \)
9 \textbf{elseif} \textit{right-sum} \geq \textit{left-sum} \textbf{and} \textit{right-sum} \geq \textit{cross-sum}
10 \hspace{1em} \textbf{return} \( (\textit{right-low}, \textit{right-high}, \textit{right-sum}) \)
11 \textbf{else} \hspace{1em} \textbf{return} \( (\textit{cross-low}, \textit{cross-high}, \textit{cross-sum}) \)
The initial call \texttt{FIND-MAXIMUM-SUBARRAY}(A, 1, \textit{A.length}) will find a maximum subarray of \(A[1 \ldots n]\).

Similar to \texttt{FIND-MAX-CROSSING-SUBARRAY}, the recursive procedure \texttt{FIND-MAXIMUM-SUBARRAY} returns a tuple containing the indices that demarcate a maximum subarray, along with the sum of the values in a maximum subarray. Line 1 tests for the base case, where the subarray has just one element. A subarray with just one element has only one subarray—itself—and so line 2 returns a tuple with the starting and ending indices of just the one element, along with its value. Lines 3–11 handle the recursive case. Line 3 does the divide part, computing the index \textit{mid} of the midpoint. Let’s refer to the subarray \(A[\text{low} \ldots \text{mid}]\) as the \textit{left subarray} and to \(A[\text{mid} + 1 \ldots \text{high}]\) as the \textit{right subarray}. Because we know that the subarray \(A[\text{low} \ldots \text{high}]\) contains at least two elements, each of the left and right subarrays must have at least one element. Lines 4 and 5 conquer by recursively finding maximum subarrays within the left and right subarrays, respectively. Lines 6–11 form the combine part. Line 6 finds a maximum subarray that crosses the midpoint. (Recall that because line 6 solves a subproblem that is not a smaller instance of the original problem, we consider it to be in the combine part.) Line 7 tests whether the left subarray contains a subarray with the maximum sum, and line 8 returns that maximum subarray. Otherwise, line 9 tests whether the right subarray contains a subarray with the maximum sum, and line 10 returns that maximum subarray. If neither the left nor right subarrays contain a subarray achieving the maximum sum, then a maximum subarray must cross the midpoint, and line 11 returns it.

\textbf{Analyzing the divide-and-conquer algorithm}

Next we set up a recurrence that describes the running time of the recursive \texttt{FIND-MAXIMUM-SUBARRAY} procedure. As we did when we analyzed merge sort in Section 2.3.2, we make the simplifying assumption that the original problem size is a power of 2, so that all subproblem sizes are integers. We denote by \(T(n)\) the running time of \texttt{FIND-MAXIMUM-SUBARRAY} on a subarray of \(n\) elements. For starters, line 1 takes constant time. The base case, when \(n = 1\), is easy: line 2 takes constant time, and so

\[
T(1) = \Theta(1). 
\]  

The recursive case occurs when \(n > 1\). Lines 1 and 3 take constant time. Each of the subproblems solved in lines 4 and 5 is on a subarray of \(n/2\) elements (our assumption that the original problem size is a power of 2 ensures that \(n/2\) is an integer), and so we spend \(T(n/2)\) time solving each of them. Because we have to solve two subproblems—for the left subarray and for the right subarray—the contribution to the running time from lines 4 and 5 comes to \(2T(n/2)\). As we have