

More Algorithm Analysis



Computer Science Department
University of Central Florida

COP 3502 – Computer Science I



Announcement

- Quiz 2 is TODAY
 - You have till 11:55 PM to hit “submit”
 - Study before the quiz and it should be easy

- Summation Homework:
 - A short summation homework is assigned
 - It is due Wednesday (in-class)
 - Monday’s lecture will be on solving summations
 - For those of you who want to begin working on the HW before Monday’s lecture, it has already been uploaded to the course website



Big-O Notation

- What is Big O?
 - Big O comes from Big-O Notation
 - In C.S., we want to know how efficient an algorithm is...how “fast” it is
 - More specifically...we want to know **how the performance of an algorithm responds to changes in problem size**
 - The goal is to provide a *qualitative* insight on the # of operations for a problem size of n elements.
 - And this total # of operations can be described with a mathematical expression in terms of n .
 - This expression is known as Big-O



More Algorithm Analysis

- Examples of Analyzing Code:
 - We now go over many examples of code fragments
 - Each of these functions will be analyzed for their runtime in terms of the variable n
 - Utilizing the idea of Big-O,
 - determine the Big-O running time of each



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 1:
 - Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:

```
for (k = 1; k <= n/2; k++) {  
    sum = sum + 5;  
}  
for (j = 1; j <= n*n; j++) {  
    delta = delta + 1;  
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

■ Example 1:

■ So look at what's going on in the code:

- We care about the total number of REPETITIVE operations.

- Remember, we said we care about the running time for LARGE values of n
- So in a for loop with n as part of the comparison value determining when to stop `for (k=1; k<= n /2; k++)`
- Whatever is INSIDE that loop will be executed a LOT of times
- So we examine the code within this loop and see how many operations we find
 - When we say operations, we're referring to mathematical operations such as $+$, $-$, $*$, $/$, etc.



More Algorithm Analysis

■ Example 1:

■ So look at what's going on in the code:

- The number of operations executed by these loops is the sum of the individual loop operations.
- We have 2 loops,
 - The first loop runs $n/2$ times
 - Each iteration of the first loop results in one operation
 - The + operation in: `sum = sum + 5;`
 - So there are $n/2$ operations in the first loop
 - The second loop runs n^2 times
 - Each iteration of the second loop results in one operation
 - The + operation in: `delta = delta + 1;`
 - So there are n^2 operations in the second loop.



More Algorithm Analysis

■ Example 1:

- So look at what's going on in the code:
 - The number of operations executed by these loops is the sum of the individual loop operations.
 - The first loop has $n/2$ operations
 - The second loop has n^2 operations
 - They are NOT nested loops.
 - One loop executes AFTER the other completely finishes
 - So we simply ADD their operations
 - The total number of operations would be $n/2 + n^2$
 - In Big-O terms, we can express the number of operations as $O(n^2)$



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 2:
 - Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:

```
int func1(int n) {
    int i, j, x = 0;
    for (i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
        for (j = 1; j <= n; j++) {
            x++;
        }
    }
    return x;
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 2:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - We care about the total number of REPETITIVE operations
 - We have two loops
 - AND they are NESTED loops
 - The outer loop runs n times
 - From $i = 1$ up through n
 - How many operations are performed at each iteration?
 - Answer is coming...
 - The inner loop runs n times
 - From $j = 1$ up through n
 - And only one operation ($x++$) is performed at each iteration



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 2:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - Let's look at a couple of iterations of the OUTER loop:
 - When $i = 1$, what happens?
 - The inner loop runs n times
 - Resulting in n operations from the inner loop
 - Then, i gets incremented and it becomes equal to 2
 - When $i = 2$, what happens?
 - Again, the inner loop runs n times
 - Again resulting in n operations from the inner loop
 - We notice the following:
 - For EACH iteration of the OUTER loop,
 - The INNER loop runs n times
 - Resulting in n operations



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 2:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - And how many times does the outer loop run?
 - n times
 - So the outer loop runs n times
 - And for each of those n times, the inner loop also runs n times
 - Resulting in n operations
 - So we have n operations per iteration of OUTER loop
 - And outer loop runs n times
 - Finally, we have $n \cdot n$ as the number of operations
 - We approximate the running time as $O(n^2)$



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 3:
 - Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:

```
int func3(int n) {  
    int i, x = 0;  
    for (i = 1; i <= n; i++)  
        x++;  
    for (i = 1; i<=n; i++)  
        x++;  
    return x;  
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 3:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - We care about the total number of REPETITIVE operations
 - We have two loops
 - They are NOT nested loops
 - The first loop runs n times
 - From $i = 1$ up through n
 - only one operation ($x++$) is performed at each iteration
 - How many times does the second loop run?
 - Notice that i is indeed reset to 1 at the beginning of the loop
 - Thus, the second loop runs n times, from $i = 1$ up through n
 - And only one operation ($x++$) is performed at each iteration



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 3:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - Again, the loops are NOT nested
 - So they execute sequentially (one after the other)
 - Therefore:
 - Our total runtime is on the order of $n+n$
 - Which of course equals $2n$

- Now, in Big O notation
 - We approximate the running time as $O(n)$



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 4:
 - Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:

```
int func4(int n) {  
    while (n > 0) {  
        printf("%d", n%2);  
        n = n/2;  
    }  
}
```




More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 4:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - We have one while loop
 - You can't just look at this loop and say it iterates n times or $n/2$ times
 - Rather, it continues to execute as long as n is greater than 0
 - The question is: **how many iterations will that be?**
 - Within the while loop
 - The last line of code divides the input, n , by 2
 - So n is halved at each iteration of the while loop
 - If you remember, we said this ends up running in $\log n$ time
 - Now let's look at how this works



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 4:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - For the ease of the analysis, we make a new variable
 - originalN:
 - originalN refers to the value originally stored in the input, n
 - So if n started at 100, originalN will be equal to 100
 - The first time through the loop
 - n gets set to $\text{originalN}/2$
 - If the original n was 100, after one iteration n would be $100/2$
 - The second time through the loop
 - n gets set to $\text{originalN}/4$
 - The third time through the loop
 - n gets set to $\text{originalN}/8$

Notice:

After **three** iterations, n gets set to $\text{originalN}/2^3$



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 4:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - In general, after k iterations
 - n gets set to $\text{originalN}/2^k$
 - The algorithm ends when $\text{originalN}/2^k = 1$, approximately
 - We now solve for k
 - Why?
 - Because we want to find the **total # of iterations**
 - Multiplying both sides by 2^k , we get $\text{originalN} = 2^k$
 - Now, using the definition of logs, we solve for k
 - $k = \log \text{originalN}$
 - So we approximate the running time as $O(\log n)$



Brief Interlude: Human Stupidity





More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 5:
 - Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:

```
int func5(int** array, int n) {
    int i = 0, j = 0;
    while (i < n) {
        while (j < n && array[i][j] == 1)
            j++;
        i++;
    }
    return j;
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 5:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - At first glance, we see two NESTED loops
 - This can often indicate an $O(n^2)$ algorithm
 - But we need to look closer to confirm
 - Focus on what's going on with i and j

```
int func5(int** array, int n) {
    int i = 0, j = 0;
    while (i < n) {
        while (j < n && array[i][j] == 1)
            j++;
        i++;
    }
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 5:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - Focus on what's going on with i and j
 - i and j clearly increase (from the j++ and i++)
 - BUT, they never decrease
 - AND, neither ever gets reset to 0

```
int func5(int** array, int n) {
    int i = 0, j = 0;
    while (i < n) {
        while (j < n && array[i][j] == 1)
            j++;
        i++;
    }
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

■ Example 5:

- So look at what's going on in the code:
 - And the OUTER while loop ends once i gets to n
 - So, what does this mean?
 - The statement $i++$ can never run more than n times
 - And the statement $j++$ can never run more than n times

```
int func5(int** array, int n) {
    int i = 0, j = 0;
    while (i < n) {
        while (j < n && array[i][j] == 1)
            j++;
        i++;
    }
}
```




More Algorithm Analysis

■ Example 5:

- So look at what's going on in the code:
 - The MOST number of times these two statements can run (combined) is $2n$ times
 - So we approximate the running time as $O(n)$

```
int func5(int** array, int n) {
    int i = 0, j = 0;
    while (i < n) {
        while (j < n && array[i][j] == 1)
            j++;
        i++;
    }
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 6:
 - Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:
 - What's the one big difference here???

```
int func6(int** array, int n) {
    int i = 0, j;
    while (i < n) {
        j = 0;
        while (j < n && array[i][j] == 1)
            j++;
        i++;
    }
    return j;
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

■ Example 6:

- So look at what's going on in the code:
 - The difference is that we RESET `j` to 0 at the beginning of the OUTER while loop

```
int func6(int** array, int n) {
    int i = 0, j;
    while (i < n) {
        j = 0;
        while (j < n && array[i][j] == 1)
            j++;
        i++;
    }
    return j;
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 6:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - The difference is that we RESET j to 0 at the beginning of the OUTER while loop
 - How does that change things?
 - Now j can iterate from 0 to n for EACH iteration of the OUTER while loop
 - For each value of i
 - This is similar to the 2nd example shown
 - So we approximate the running time as $O(n^2)$



More Algorithm Analysis

■ Example 7:

- Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:

```
int func7(int A[], int sizeA, int B[], int sizeB) {
    int i, j;
    for (i = 0; i < sizeA; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < sizeB; j++)
            if (A[i] == B[j])
                return 1;
    return 0;
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 7:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - First notice that the runtime here is NOT in terms of n
 - It will be in terms of sizeA and sizeB
 - And this is also just like Example 2
 - The outer loop runs sizeA times
 - For EACH of those times,
 - The inner loop runs sizeB times
 - So this algorithm runs $\text{sizeA} * \text{sizeB}$ times
 - We approximate the running time as $O(\text{sizeA} * \text{sizeB})$



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 8:
 - Determine the Big O running time of the following code fragment:

```
int func8(int A[], int sizeA, int B[], int sizeB) {
    int i, j;
    for (i = 0; i < sizeA; i++) {
        if (binSearch(B, sizeB, A[i]))
            return 1;
    }
    return 0;
}
```



More Algorithm Analysis

- Example 8:
 - So look at what's going on in the code:
 - Note: we see that we are calling the function binSearch
 - As discussed previously, a single binary search runs in $O(\log n)$ time
 - where n represents the number of items within which you are searching
 - Examining the for loop:
 - The for loop will execute sizeA times
 - For EACH iteration of this loop
 - a binary search will be run
 - We approximate the running time as $O(\text{sizeA} * \log(\text{sizeB}))$




More Algorithm Analysis

**WASN'T
THAT
SWEET!**



Daily Demotivator



More Algorithm Analysis



Computer Science Department
University of Central Florida

COP 3502 – Computer Science I