

Computer Science Department University of Central Florida

COP 3502 – Computer Science I

S

Sorted List Matching Problem

- You are given two lists of Last Names
 - Within each list, all names are distinct
 - Also, each list is already sorted
- Problem:
 - Output the names common to both lists

Sorted List Matching Problem

- Perhaps a standard way to attack this problem:
- For each name on list #1, do the following:
 - a) Search for the current name in list #2
 - b) If the name is found, output it.
- If the list is unsorted, steps a and b above may take n steps, where n is the size of the second list.
 - Who can tell us why?
- Steps a and b are run for each of the n names in List #1, resulting in an n² running time.



Sorted List Matching Problem

If we don't take advantage of the fact that the lists are sorted, we can do a brute force algorithm as follows:



- Sorted List Matching Problem
 - The previous solution did NOT use the fact that the lists are already sorted.
 - We can exploit this fact by using a Binary Search in step (a)
 - So what is a Binary Search...



Binary Search

- a binary search is an algorithm for locating the position of an item in a sorted array.
- The idea is simple: compare the target to the middle item in the list.
- If the target is the same as the middle item
 - you've found the target.
- If it's before the middle item
 - repeat this procedure on the items before the middle.
- If it's after the middle item
 - repeat on the items after the middle.
- The method halves the number of items to check each time
 - It runs in logarithmic time: O(log n)

S

Sorted List Matching Problem

- Remember our initial algorithm:
- For each name on list #1, do the following:
 - a) Search for the current name in list #2
 - b) If the name is found, output it.
- So we use a Binary Search in step (a)
 - Assuming both lists are the same size (n)
 - Binary search takes about log n steps
 - This has to be repeated n times
 - Meaning, for each of the n names in List #1
 - So total number of steps is n*log n, or nlogn
 - Much better than our initial solution of n² steps



- Sorted List Matching Problem
 - Our code would look like this:

Now let's look at the binSearch function that we are calling within this code

Sorted List Matching Problem

```
int binSearch(char list[][SIZE], int len,
       char name[]) {
int low = 0, high = len-1;
while (low <= high) {</pre>
       int mid = (low+high)/2;
       int cmp = strcmp(name, list[mid]);
       if (cmp < 0)
              high = mid-1;
       else if (cmp > 0)
              low = mid+1;
       else
              return 1;
return 0;
```

Sorted List Matching Problem

- A question becomes: Can we do better?
 - The answer is YES!
- What is the one piece of information that the last algorithm did not assume?
 - Remember, we assumed that List #2 was sorted
 - This allowed us to do the Binary search on List #2
 - But we did NOT assume that List #1 is sorted.
 - Our algorithm works regardless of the order of names in List #1
 - But since List #1 is sorted, can we exploit this fact and make a better algorithm?



- Sorted List Matching Problem
 - Consider how you would actually do this task in real life (meaning with a pencil and paper)

<u>List #1</u>	List #2
Adams	Boston
Bell	Davis
Davis	Duncan
Harding	Francis
Jenkins	Gamble
Lincoln	Harding
Simpson	Mason
Zoeller	Simpson

Sorted List Matching Problem

- Consider how you would actually do this task in real life (meaning with a pencil and paper)
 - You'd see that Adams and Boston are fist on each list
 - Immediately you'd know Adams isn't a match
 - And you'd proceed down List #1 checking names, alphabetically, before Boston (from List #2)
 - So you'd skip right past Bell, knowing it can't be a match
 - Since the first name in List #2 is Boston
 - Then you come to Davis in List #1
 - And you immediately conclude that that Boston (from List #2) couldn't be a match either
 - So you move down in List #2 to Davis, and voila!, a match
 - Davis from List #1 and Davis from List #2

Sorted List Matching Problem

- Consider how you would actually do this task in real life (meaning with a pencil and paper)
 - So what do we recognize from this?
 - We see that we ONLY go down on the list of names
 - And for every "step", so to speak
 - You end up reading a new name (or more) off one of the two lists
- So now we have a more formalized version of the algorithm...

Sorted List Matching Problem

Sorted List Matching Problem

Best Algorithm:

- 1) Start two "markers"
 - One for each list, at the beginning of both lists
- Repeat the following steps until one marker has reached the end of the list
 - a) Compare the two names that the markers are pointing at
 - b) If they are equal,
 - Output the name and advance BOTH makers one spot
 - c) If they are NOT equal,
 - Simply advance the marker pointing to the name that comes earlier, alphabetically, one spot

Try coding this up on your own

Sorted List Matching Problem

- Best Algorithm: Run-Time Analysis
 - For each loop iteration, we advance at least one marker
 - As such, the maximum number of iterations would be the total number of names on both lists, which is n, the length of both lists
 - For each iteration, we are doing a constant amount of work
 - Essentially a comparison and/or outputting a name
 - Thus, this algorithm runs in about 2n steps
 - An improvement over our previous algorithm



Computer Science Department University of Central Florida

COP 3502 – Computer Science I