## Hidden Markov Models Chapter 11

## CG "islands"

- The dinucleotide "CG" is rare
- C in a "CG" often gets "methylated" and the resulting $C$ then mutates to $T$
- Methylation is suppressed in some areas of genome, called "CG islands"
- Such CG islands often found around genes
- Problem: find CG islands in whole genome

- Two states.
- Each state emits sequence.
- Sequence emitted by "CG island" state is high in CG frequency
- Concatenation of sequence emissions = genome

- Two states.
- Each state emits a "coin toss" result.
- Sequence emitted by "Biased coin" state is high in "Heads" frequency


## CG Islands and the "Fair Bet Casino"

- The CG islands problem can be modeled after a problem named "The Fair Bet Casino"
- The game is to flip coins, which results in only two possible outcomes: Head or Tail.
- The Fair coin will give Heads and Tails with same probability $1 / 2$.
- The Biased coin will give Heads with prob. $3 / 4$.


## The "Fair Bet Casino" (cont'd)

- Thus, we define the probabilities:
$-P(H \mid F)=P(T \mid F)=1 / 2$
$-P(H \mid B)=3 / 4, P(T \mid B)=1 / 4$
-The crooked dealer chages between Fair and Biased coins with probability 10\%


## The Fair Bet Casino Problem

- Input: A sequence $x=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \ldots x_{n}$ of coin tosses made by two possible coins ( $F$ or $B)$.

Output: A sequence $\pi=\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \pi_{3} \ldots \pi_{n}$, with each $\pi_{i}$ being either $F$ or $B$ indicating that $x_{i}$ is the result of tossing the Fair or Biased coin respectively.

## Problem...

## Fair Bet Casino Problem

Any observed outcome of coin tosses could have been generated by any sequence of states!

Need to incorporate a way to grade different sequences differently.


Decoding Problem

## Hidden Markov Model (HMM)

- Can be viewed as an abstract machine with $k$ hidden states that emits symbols from an alphabet $\Sigma$.
- Each state has its own probability distribution, and the machine switches between states according to this probability distribution.
- While in a certain state, the machine makes 2 decisions:
- What state should I move to next?
- What symbol - from the alphabet $\Sigma$ - should I emit?


## Why "Hidden"?

- Observers can see the emitted symbols of an HMM but have no ability to know which state the HMM is currently in.
- Thus, the goal is to infer the most likely hidden states of an HMM based on the given sequence of emitted symbols.


## HMM Parameters

$\Sigma$ : set of emission characters.

$$
\text { Ex.: } \Sigma=\{H, T\} \text { for coin tossing }
$$

Q: set of hidden states, each emitting symbols from $\Sigma$.
$Q=\{F, B\}$ for coin tossing

## HMM Parameters (cont'd)

$A \equiv\left(a_{k k}\right): a|Q| x|Q|$ matrix of probability of changing from state $k$ to state $l$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}_{F F}=0.9 & \mathrm{a}_{F B}=0.1 \\
\mathrm{a}_{B F}=0.1 & \mathrm{a}_{B B}=0.9
\end{array}
$$

$E \equiv\left(e_{k}(b)\right):$ a $|Q| x|\Sigma|$ matrix of probability of emitting symbol $b$ while being in state $k$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
e_{F}(0)=1 / 2 & e_{F}(1)=1 / 2 \\
e_{B}(0)=1 / 4 & e_{B}(1)=3 / 4
\end{array}
$$

## HMM for Fair Bet Casino (cont'd)



HMM model for the Fair Bet Casino Problem

## Hidden Paths

- A path $\pi=\pi_{1} \ldots \pi_{n}$ in the HMM is defined as a sequence of states.
- Consider path $\pi=$ FFFBBBBBFFF and sequence $x=$ 01011101001



## $\mathrm{P}(x \mid \pi)$ Calculation

## $\mathrm{P}(x, \pi)$ : Probability that sequence $x$ was generated by the path $\pi$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(x, \pi) & =P\left(\pi_{0} \rightarrow \pi_{1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(\pi_{i} \rightarrow \pi_{i+1}\right) P\left(x_{i} \mid \pi_{i}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(\pi_{i-1} \rightarrow \pi_{i}\right) P\left(x_{i} \mid \pi_{i}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1} a_{\pi_{i-1}, \pi_{i}} e_{\pi_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Decoding Problem

- Goal: Find an optimal hidden path of states given observations.
- Input: Sequence of observations $x=x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$ generated by an $\operatorname{HMM} M(\Sigma, Q, A, E)$

Output: A path that maximizes $P(x, \pi)$ over all possible paths $\pi$.

## Dynamic programming for the Decoding Problem

- Andrew Viterbi used Dynamic programming to solve the Decoding Problem.
- Build a graph with one node for every (i,j), representing the possibility that the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ position of $\pi$ was emitted from state $j$.
- Every choice of $\pi=\pi_{1} \ldots \pi_{n}$ corresponds to a path in the graph.
- Edges are weighted. Sum of edge weights on a path $\pi$ corresponds to $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}, \pi)$


## Graph for Decoding Problem



## Decoding Problem

- Every path in the graph has the probability $P(x, \pi)$.
- The Viterbi algorithm finds the path that maximizes $P(x, \pi)$ among all possible paths.
- The Viterbi algorithm runs in $\mathbf{O}\left(\boldsymbol{n} / Q \mathbf{L}^{2}\right)$ time.


## Decoding Problem: weights of edges



The weight $w$ is given by: ???

## Decoding Problem: weights of edges <br> $$
\mathrm{P}(x, \pi)=\underbrace{n}_{(k, i)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} e_{\pi_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot a_{\pi_{i-1, \pi_{i}}}
$$ <br> <br> $(k, i) \quad(l, i+l)$

 <br> <br> $(k, i) \quad(l, i+l)$}The weight $\boldsymbol{w}$ is given by: ??

## Decoding Problem: weights of edges

$i+1$-th term $=e_{\pi_{i+1}}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \cdot a_{\pi_{i,} \pi_{i+1}}$

$$
=e_{l}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \cdot a_{k l}
$$



The weight $\boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i+1}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{k l}}$

## Dynamic programming recurrence

- $s_{k, i}$ is the probability of the most likely path for the prefix $x_{1} \ldots x_{i}$ that ends in $k$
- $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{i}+1}=\max _{\mathrm{k}}\left\{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}\right.$ weight of edge from $(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i})$ to $(1, \dot{i}+1)\}$

$$
=\max _{k}\left\{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{kl}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{l}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)\right\}
$$

- Let $\pi^{*}$ be the optimal path. Then,

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(x, \Pi^{*}\right)=\max _{k}\left\{s_{k, n} \cdot a_{k, e n d}\right\}
$$

- Time complexity: $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}|\mathrm{Q}|^{2}\right)$


## Viterbi Algorithm

- The value of the product can become extremely small, which leads to overflowing.
- To avoid overflowing, use log value instead: $S_{k, i}=\log s_{k, i}$
- New recurrence:

$$
S_{l, i+1}=\log e_{l}\left(x_{i+1}\right)+\max _{k}\left\{S_{k, i}+\log \left(a_{k l}\right)\right\}
$$

## Forward-Backward Problem

Given: a sequence $x=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ generated by an HMM.
Goal: find the probability that $x_{i}$ was generated by state $k$.
That is, given $x$, what is $P\left(\pi_{i}=k \mid x\right)$ ?

## Forward Algorithm

- Define $f_{k, i}$ (forward probability) as the probability of emitting the prefix $x_{1} \ldots x_{i}$ and reaching the state $\pi=k$.
- The recurrence for the forward algorithm:

$$
f_{k, i}=e_{k}\left(x_{i}\right), \sum_{l e Q} f_{l, i-l}, a_{l k}
$$

## Backward Algorithm

- However, forward probability is not the only factor affecting $P\left(\pi_{i}=k \mid x\right)$.
- The sequence of transitions and emissions that the HMM undergoes between $\pi_{i+l}$ and $\pi_{n}$ also affect $P\left(\pi_{i}=k \mid x\right)$.


## Backward Algorithm (contd)

- Define backward probability $b_{k, i}$ as the probability of being in state $\pi_{i}=k$ and emitting the suffix $x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n}$.
- The recurrence for the backward algorithm:

$$
b_{k, i}=\sum_{l c_{e}} e_{l}\left(x_{i+1}\right) \cdot b_{l, i+1} \cdot a_{k l}
$$

## Backward-Forward Algorithm

- The probability that the dealer used a biased coin at any moment $i$ :

$$
P\left(\pi_{i}=k \mid x\right)=\frac{P\left(x, \pi_{i}=k\right)}{P(x)}=\frac{f_{k}(i) \cdot b_{k}(i)}{P(x)}
$$

$P(x)$ is the sum of $P\left(x, \pi_{i} \equiv k\right)$ over all $k$

