

Rough Grading Criteria

- Describe/identify the interface being evaluated
- Participants
 - Information about each participant (at least 3 required)
- Task List
 - What tasks were performed by the participants in your evaluation?
 - In what order were these tasks performed?
 - Flowchart? (optional)
 - What instructions were given to participants?
 - Provide some copy of what you gave the participants or if you told them verbally - put it into writing
 - Overall, what we want to see for “Task List” is that there was some form of standard procedure that all participants followed and that the procedure was well documented
- Data Collected
 - Please provide copies of the raw data that you collected
 - This should be easy to read. Tables/graphs/etc preferred
- Evaluation of Data / Discussion
 - Compute average, median, range for your data points if applicable
 - What else can you learn from the data collected? Do not just present the raw data, but try to explain what it means as far as the evaluation of your interface is concerned
- HCI Principles & Conclusion
 - Mention HCI principles from the book (especially *usability goals*) and discuss how the interface fared in each category, based on the data you collected and your observations
 - Give an overall evaluation of the interface in the conclusion, based on the data that you collected. Is it good/bad? What are the strong and weak points?

Recommended Format

- It is preferred that you break the report down into sections, complete with section titles, rather than write one long continuous essay
- Use tables, lists, and graphs as necessary to present the data you collected as well as your test method. Do not try to translate everything into paragraph form if it is easier to read and understand as a table, list, or graph.